

## Shorter, David

---

**From:** Carolyn Mitchell [CMitchell@cg.WRTdesign.com]  
**nt:** Tuesday, February 25, 2003 12:55 PM  
**J:** Shorter, David  
**Cc:** Gerald Marston  
**Subject:** Concrete parking lot details

Hi David

We need more information regarding the historic significance of the "concrete parking lot details" that are cited as non-contributing resources in the National Register of Historic Places nomination. Can you please have Viki Cole clarify the location, character and significance of these features so that we can address appropriate preservation goals for these features? Key issue is this: if the entire parking lot is "sacred" then museum site design becomes quite problematic. As you know, a museum was not part of the historic setting so in order to introduce such a feature in a way that is compatible with the historic resources, we need to know all we can about those resources. The standard used by the National Park Service is that "character defining site features with SIGNIFICANCE AND INTEGRITY" should be preserved. My question is whether it is her recommendation that the parking lot has SIGNIFICANCE and that altering it will negatively impact the integrity of the site? Has she given you any preservation guidelines that address the appropriateness of altering the historic setting by introducing a large museum building?

Carolyn Mitchell  
Associate  
Wallace, Roberts & Todd, LLC  
191 Giralda Avenue  
Coral Gables, Florida 33134  
phone: 305 448 0788 ext. 17  
fax: 305 443 8431

-----wrtmail--%3423wrt%-----