



Water Quality Assessment Report Mara River Basin, Kenya/Tanzania







Integrated Management of Coastal and Freshwater Systems Program

Water Quality Assessment Report Mara River Basin, Kenya/Tanzania

Funding for the Water Quality Assessment Report, Mara River Basin, Kenya/Tanzania was provided by the people of the United States of America through the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), as a component of the Integrated Management of Coastal and Freshwater Systems Leader with Associates (LWA) Agreement No. EPP-A-00-04-00015-00. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Agency for International Development of the United States Government or Florida International University.

Copyright © Global Water for Sustainability Program – Florida International University

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. No use of the publication may be made for resale or for any commercial purposes whatsoever without the prior permission in writing from the Florida International University - Global Water for Sustainability Program. Any inquiries can be addressed to the same at the following address:

Global Water for Sustainability Program

Florida International University

Biscayne Bay Campus 3000 NE 151 St. ACI-267

North Miami, FL 33181 USA

Email: glows@fiu.edu

Website: www.globalwaters.net

For bibliographic purposes, this document should be cited as:

GLOWS-FIU. 2007. Water Quality Baseline Assessment Report, Mara River Basin, Kenya/Tanzania. Global Water for Sustainability Program, Florida International University. 61 pp.

ISBN:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Individuals and Institutions Consulted	iv
Acronyms	v
Preface: About GLOWS	vi
Executive Summary	1
1.0 Introduction	4
2.0 Background	5
 2.1 Stakeholders and Sectors of the Mara River Basin 2.2 Potential Threats in the Mara River Basin 	5 7
3.0 Water Quality Standards	8
3.1 National Standards	8
4.0 Water Quality Monitoring	9
4.1 National Frameworks	9
4.1.1 Kenya	9
4.1.2 I anzania	9 a
4.2 Current Status of Monitoring	9
4.2.2 Tanzania	.14
5.0 Water Quality Monitoring Assessment	.14
5.1 Sampling Sites	.14
6.0 Findings of Water Quality Assessment	.20
6.1 Temperature	.20
6.2 Alkalinity and pH	.20
6.3 Electrical Conductivity, Total Dissolved Solids and Salinity	.21
6.4 Turbiality and Total Suspended Sediments	.23 21
6.6 Dissolved Oxvaen	.25
6.7 Nutrients and Dissolved Organic Carbon	.26
6.8 Mercury and Aluminium	.31
6.9 Pesticides	.33
6. To Comparisons with Other Water Quality Data	. 33 24
7.0 Summary and Conclusions	.34
	.40
Appendix A	.43
wetnodologies Employed in this Baseline Assessment	.43
Appendix B	.48

Appendix C	50
Appendix D	54

List of Tables

Table 1: Guidelines and standards for maximum allowable levels of the different variables as set by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the government of Kenya
Table 2: Guidelines and standards for maximum allowable levels of the different variables as set by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the government of Tanzania
Table 3: Summary of Mara river basin water laboratory analyses and methodologies in Kenya. 15
Table 4: Summary of Mara river basin water laboratory analyses and methodologies in Tanzania. 16
Table 5. Summary of site names and descriptions sampled during the May, 2005, water guality sampling campaign
Table 6: Summary of pesticide data in the Mara river basin during the May 2005 baseline water quality campaign. Bold text indicates detectable levels of measured compounds and highlighted text indicates pesticides that were detectable. All data are reported in units of path (units)
units of μρο (<i>μ</i> g/μ)

List of Figures

Figure 1. Map of the Mara River Basin	6
Figure 2: Sampling site locations during the May 2005 sampling campaign	17
Figure 3: Temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)	20
Figure 4. Alkalinity (ppm)	21
Figure 5. pH	21
Figure 6. Conductivity (EC; µS/cm ²)	22
Figure 7. Total dissolved solids (TDS; g/L)	22
Figure 8. Salinity (ppt)	23
Figure 9: Turbidity (NTU)	24
Figure 10: Total suspended solids (TSS; g/L)	24
Figure 11: Total hardness (ppm)	25
Figure 12: Dissolved oxygen (DO; %)	26
Figure 13: Total dissolved nitrogen (TDN; mg/L)	27
Figure 14: Total dissolved phosphorous (TDP; mg/L)	29
Figure 15: Phosphate (PO ₄ ⁻³ , mg/L)	29
Figure 16: N:P ratios (molar)	30
Figure 17: Dissolved organic carbon (DOC; mg/L)	31
Figure 18: Total mercury (THg, ppt) and aluminium (AI, ppb)	32

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge all those individuals and institutions that helped to make this assessment a success. A list of individuals and institutions with whom we consulted during the assessment is found on page v of this document. Without the participation, time, ideas and openness of these individuals, this report would not have been possible.

All activities, especially on-the-ground activities, for the water quality baseline assessment were greatly supported by the Global Water for Sustainability (GLOWS) consortium partners WWF – East Africa Regional Program Office (WWF-EARPO) and WWF-Tanzania Program Office (WWF-TPO). We thank Musonda Mumba (WWF-EARPO), Fred Mngube (WWF-TPO) Nina Bhola (WWF-EARPO), Doris Ombara (WWF-Mara), Daniel Koros (WWF-EARPO), Adams Dikirr (WWF-EARPO) and William Kasanga (WWF-TPO) for their time, guidance and assistance.

This assessment was supported by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the sponsors and their organizations.

Name	Agency/Organization	Country	Position
Adams Dikirr	WWF-EARPO, Mara Office, Narok	Kenya	Intern
Doris Ombara	WWF- EARPO, Mara Office, Narok	Kenya	Mara Project Executant, Kenya
Geoffery	Tenwek Hospital	Kenva	Administrator
Hugo Wood	Mara Water User's Association (MRWUA) and farmer.	Kenya	Chairman of MRWUA
Jeremiah Nyaga	Ministry of Water and Irrigation- Narok District Water Office	Kenya	Pollution Officer
Jonathon B.	Tenwek Hospital	Kenya	Assistant Director of Comm. Health and Dev.
John Karanja	Mara Serena Safari Lodge	Kenya	Assistant Manager
Kones	Ministry of Water and Irrigation- Bomet District Water Office	Kenya	Water Engineer
Daniel Koros	WWF-EARPO, Mara Office, Narok	Kenya	Mara Project Extentionist
Ledama Olekina	Maasai Education Center	Kenya	President
Lucy Kirinyet	Mara Water User's Association	Kenya	Board Member
Marwa Mwita	Ministry of Water and Irrigation - Kenya	Kenya	Gauge Monitor
Michael Otieno	Ministry of Water and Irrigation - Narok District Water Office	Kenya	District Water Officer
Mtinda Ngei	Bomet Municipality	Kenya	Town Clerk
Patrick Munyoki	Ministry of Water and Irrigation - Bomet District Water Office	Kenya	Water Engineer
Patrick Ngerechi	Bomet Municipality	Kenya	Mayor
Steven K. Mulai	Tenwek Hospital	Kenya	CEO
Bernie Wardle	Placer Dome Gold Mines	Tanzania	PDT Environmental Super- intendent
Egid Mubofu	University of Dar es Salaam	Tanzania	Lecturer in Chemistry
Emmanuel Sumay	Placer Dome Gold Mines	Tanzania	
Grant Hopcraft	Frankfurt Zoological Society	Tanzania	GIS/Database Coordinator
Dr. Mutakyahwa	University of Dar es Salaam	Tanzania	Professor in Sedimentol- ogy and Geochemistry
Pius Yanda	Institute of Resource Assessment, University of Dar es Salaam	Tanzania	Professor
Raymond Mngodo	Ministry of Water -Tanzania	Tanzania	Principal Hydrologist
Stephen Mariki	WWF-TPO, Dar es Salaam	Tanzania	Director of Conservation
Washington Mu- tayoba	Ministry of Water -Tanzania	Tanzania	Program Coordinator
William Kasanga	WWF-TPO, Mara Office, Musoma	Tanzania	Mara Project Executant, Tanzania

List of Individuals and Institutions Consulted

Acronyms

APHA	American Public Health Association
BMP	Best Management Practices
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DOC	Dissolved Organic Carbon
DON	Dissolved Organic Nitrogen
FIU	Florida International University
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GLOWS	Global Water for Sustainability
IWRM	Integrated Water Resources Management
LVEMP	Lake Victoria Environmental Management Program
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Limit
MMNR	Masai-Mara National Reserve
N:P	Nitrogen to Phosphorous Ratio
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
PPB	Parts per Billion (µg/L)
PPT	Parts per Trillion (ng/L)
SERC	Southeast Environmental Research Centre
SNP	Serengeti National Park
TANAPA	Tanzania National Parks
TDN	Total Dissolved Nitrogen
TDP	Total Dissolved Phosphorous
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
TTS	Tanzania Temporary Standards
UDSM	University of Dar es Salaam
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WHO	World Health Organization
WUA	Water Users' Association
WWF-EARPO	World Wide Fund for Nature East Africa Regional Program Office
WWF-Mara	World Wide Fund for Nature Mara Field Office
WWF-TPO	World Wide Fund for Nature Tanzania Program Office



Preface: About GLOWS

The Global Water for Sustainability (GLOWS) program is a consortium financed by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) working to increase social, economic, and environmental benefits to people through clean water, healthy aquatic ecosystems and sustainable water resources management. Launched in early 2005, GLOWS works on-the-ground to implement improved practices, build local capacity through multi-level training activities, and share lessons learned and advancements in the practice of Integrated Water Resources Management.

Because water resources touch so many elements of human systems and ecosystems, management must be integrated across water use sectors, across scales of governance, across space in a river basin context, and across time. Many current water problems stem from the fragmented, single-issue and single-sector approaches that have characterized water resources management in the past. GLOWS works to integrate the environmental, technical, governmental, and management elements of IWRM. The basic goal is to manage the human and environmental elements of IWRM to ensure that abundant quantities of sufficiently clean freshwater are available in the correct place at the correct time. This requires a governance and management system that integrates science-based understanding of the natural controls on water abundance and quality with appropriate and effective human technologies and actions.

Working at a basin, watershed or aquifer scale, the GLOWS partner organizations provide expertise across the policy, governance, institutional, educational, and technical dimensions of IWRM. Approaches combine advanced analytical techniques, innovative mechanisms for sustainable resource management and biodiversity conservation, community-based programs in poverty alleviation, improved sanitation and potable water supply, and global networking of local NGOs to achieve IWRM objectives.

Executive Summary

The Global Water for Sustainability (GLOWS) Program is supporting the efforts of the Ministry of Water and Irrigation, Kenya, and the Ministry of Water, Tanzania, to provide credible scientific guidance to water management decisions in the transboundary Mara River Basin. This water quality assessment examined the quality of surface water in the Kenyan and Tanzanian sections of the basin during May-2005, May-2006, and June-2007 with the goal of identifying present water quality issues and informing future monitoring and management actions. This document also summarizes the current policy framework for water quality monitoring and existing programs in the Mara River basin.

The Mara River basin is a transboundary basin shared by Kenya and Tanzania (approximately 65% and 35% of the basin, respectively). The Mara River and its tributaries flow through diverse landscapes. Beginning in the Enupuiyapi Swamp and Mau Forest complex of Kenya, the river flows southwest through regions characterized by small- and largescale agriculture, two internationally renowned conservation areas, savannah grasslands, and delta wetlands before discharging into Lake Victoria near Musoma Town, Tanzania. The basin supports a wide array of ecosystem and human needs. Stakeholders and sectors of the Mara River Basin include urban settlements and villages, subsistence and largescale agriculture, livestock, fisheries, tourism, conservation areas and biodiversity, mining and industries. However, stakeholders in the Mara River Basin increasingly face water shortages as well as problems with poor water quality and environmental degradation. Important threats include loss of native forest cover in the upper parts of the catchment and along rivers, agricultural expansion and intensification (including irrigation), human population growth, resource-intensive tourist facilities, and discharge of untreated wastewaters from settlements and tourist hotels.

Currently, there is little systematic monitoring of water quality in the Mara River Basin. On the Kenyan side of the basin, the Ministry of Water and Irrigation has established water quality laboratories in the Narok and Bomet District Offices and a limited number of monitoring campaigns have been conducted. On the Tanzanian side of the basin the Ministry of Water has established a water quality laboratory in Musoma with support from the Lake Victoria Environmental Management Programme, and this office conducts occasional water quality campaigns into the lower Mara Basin.

In order to provide comprehensive baseline information to an assortment of planned and ongoing activities in the Mara Basin, we conducted three surveys of water quality at 21 stations across the river basin from its source on the Mau Escarpment to its outlet at Lake Victoria. The objective of these surveys was to evaluate the condition of the Basin's water quality over multiple years and identify potential threats. All samples were analysed for physical properties, mineral abundances, and nutrients; a subset of samples was also analysed for mercury and pesticides. Data from prior sampling campaigns were also collected and listed in the appendices. No areas of serious contamination were observed, but a number of results warrant further consideration.

At the time of the surveys, patterns in water quality data varied as a function of position along the river, land use, and rainfall/discharge.

- In general, the mineral content of Mara River water increased downstream, probably due to the combined effects of mineral inputs from agriculture and mining and evaporation from the river surface.
- Nutrient concentrations were highest in the agricultural sectors of the basin, while organic matter was most abundant at the river's source in the Enupuiyapi Swamp and at its mouth in the wetlands bordering Lake Victoria.
- Sediment concentrations were highest in stations sampled after rain events. These stations, in Masai-Mara National Reserve in Kenya and Serengeti National Park in Tanzania, also had the highest concentrations of mercury and aluminium, suggesting that these metals were associated with sediments mobilized following the rains.
- Pesticides (Hexachlorobenzene and 4.4 DDE) were detected at one station on the Amala River near the Mulot trading post in Kenya.
- PCBs (PCB 28/31, PCB 52, PCB 44) were detected in 6 of 8 stations sampled, including those in Masai-Mara National Reserve and Serengeti National Park.

Although concentrations of nutrients, mercury, pesticides, and PCBs were all below existing standards, deleterious effects may still derive from these compounds. Nutrient concentrations are above natural levels and appear to be causing eutrophication in the wetlands at the mouth of the river. Increased nutrient concentrations can lead to increased algal growth or algal blooms which can then sufficate the ecosystem. Mercury and PCBs have a tendency to accumulate in organisms and along food chains, so even low concentrations in water may result in harmful accumulations in wildlife and people.

These findings highlight the need for more systematic monitoring of water quality across the basin, ideally using comparable methodologies and carried out at similar intervals. Furthermore results should be rapidly fed into the management and decision-making process involving both water management agencies in the capital cities as well as local water offices and water user associations. Specific recommendations are offered to begin working toward this goal.

- Water offices on the Kenyan and Tanzanian sides of the basin should harmonize protocols, methodologies and sampling regimes.
- All laboratories should pursue appropriate accreditation for analytical techniques used. In lieu of accreditation, laboratories should develop a program of inter-laboratory comparison and calibration to be repeated annually. This will ensure comparability of results.
- Monitoring programs on each side of the border should develop common quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) plans detailing protocols of collection, handling, and analysis.
- Kenyan and Tanzanian agencies should conduct joint training sessions for monitors to ensure consistent field techniques and to occasionally introduce new or revised methodologies.
- A joint protocol should be developed to rapidly process data and communicate results to relevant local, national and regional decision makers and stakeholders.

1.0 Introduction

An component to achieving the goals of GLOWS and its partners in the Mara River Basin is to understand the condition of and potential threats to the water quality of the Mara River system. In May-2005, May-2006, and June-2007, GLOWS conducted water quality surveys throughout the entire length of the Mara River Basin. The main purpose of these surveys was to evaluate the condition of the Basin's water quality and to identify potential threats. As up to date analytical procedures, functional laboratory equipment, proper methodologies and a regular sampling program are integral to water quality management, GLOWS also met with water engineers and water laboratories to gather information on the current sampling program within the Basin.

This document describes the results of the water quality surveys and describes the current sampling programs within the basin. In section 2, background information on the Mara River basin is described. The sectors and stakeholders of the basin are also introduced, as are the potential threats to water quality in the basin. Section 3 lists the standards established by the World Health Organization (WHO), Kenya and Tanzania on

Box 1: Vision for the Mara River Basin
The GLOWS consortium is working with local partners toward a future for the Mara River Ba-
 Water resources in the Mara Basin are managed according to a trans-national agreement that responds to the national water strategies of each country and specifies the transboundary flow prescriptions. Decisions about water allocation and management are made by basin-scale Water User Associations (WUAs) composed of members representing all key stakeholders. Water management is based on good scientific data collected and maintained by wa-
ter offices of the national governments on each side of the border. Data are shared freely between the countries.
 Water allocation decisions are based on accurate knowledge of environmental flows, and allocations are guaranteed to support the renowned natural ecosystems of the basin, including the Mau Forest, Mara-Serengeti ecosystem, and Musoma swamps of Lake Victoria.
 Water quantity and quality is maximized through the application of appropriate Best Management Practices by: Large scale industrialized farms and mines Ecotourism companies and hotels Small-scale farmers and Maasai ranchers
• Water is recognized as an economic good with real value. Water users pay a fair price for water and for the environmental services required to maintain water quantity and quality.
 Appropriate technologies are applied by households and communities for water puri- fication and waste disposal. All basin inhabitants enjoy potable water and proper sani- tation.
 Fisheries of Musoma Bay and the Mara swamp complex are managed according to legally recognized community-based plans.

water quality. In section 4, we describe the national frameworks for water quality monitoring programs and policies for the management of water in both Kenya and Tanzania. We then describe the water laboratories within the Mara River basin. Information on sampling regimes, analytical procedures, equipment, supplies and needs for the laboratories is also provided. Sections 5 and 6 relate to the baseline water quality surveys. In section 5, sampling sites and the methodologies are described. Section 6 provides the results of the studies in relation to existing and potential pollution. Conclusions and recommendations are presented in sections 7 and 8, respectively.

2.0 Background

The Mara River Basin is a transboundary basin shared by Kenya and Tanzania (approximately 65% and 35% of the basin, respectively)(Fig. 1). It is part of the larger Nile River Basin, which is shared by nine countries. The Mara River begins as the Amala and Nygangores tributaries that flow through the forested Mau Escarpment, tea plantations, settlements and small-scale agriculture in Kenya. The Amala and Nygangores tributaries converge to form the Mara River in a region characterized by large-scale agriculture. The Mara River then meanders through Maasai Group Ranches and two internationally renowned conservation areas, the Masai-Mara National Reserve in Kenva (1718 km², all of which is within the Mara River Basin) and the Serengeti National Park in Tanzania (of which 1741 km² falls within the Mara River Basin). In these protected areas two other main tributaries, the Talek River and the Sand River, join the Mara River. The mainstem Mara River continues flowing through the savannah grasslands that characterize the Serengeti region in Tanzania before entering the nonprotected Mara Swamp (max and discharging into Lake Victoria.

2.1 Stakeholders and Sectors of the Mara River Basin

The Mara River Basin flows though diverse landscapes supporting a wide array of ecosystem and human needs. Stakeholders and sectors of the Mara River include urban settlements and villages, subsistence and large-scale agriculture, forestery, livestock, fisheries, tourism, conservation areas and biodiversity, mining and other industries.

The Mara River Basin is dotted with urban settlements, villages, and missionary communities. On the Kenyan side of the basin, urban settlements include the towns of Bomet and Tenwek Missionary Hospital Community along the Nygangores tributary, and Mulot trading center along the Amala tributary. In Tanzania, urban settlements include Ngoreme and Buhemba and a portion of Mugomo.



Figure 1. Map of the Mara River Basin. The Masai-Mara National Reserve and Serengeti National Park shaded. Sub-catchments of existing gauging stations are outlined in red. Inset shows position of the Mara Basin in Kenya and Tanzania.

Agriculture accounts for approximately 80% of the employment for both Kenya and Tanzania and contributes an estimated 16 and 43% for the gross domes tic product (GDP), respectively. In Kenya, subsistence farming accounts for the majority of food crops whereas the majority of cash crops are grown on private large-scale plantations. Main agriculture crops for Kenya include maize, sorghum, cassava, sugar and beans, and for Tanzania, include rice, maize, cassava and millet. The main cash crops for both Kenya and Tanzania include tea, coffee and cotton. Livestock accounts for 30% of the agriculture GDP of both Kenya and Tanzania. Fish catch in Kenya was estimated at 162,000 metric tons in 1997 of which, only about 4% was marine (FAO, 2001). The annual fish catch in Tanzania is about 350,000 metric tons (includes both marine and freshwater species). The majority of fish caught is consumed locally (fish contributes to an estimated 30% of the diet of the Tanzanian population) while Nile perch, sardines and prawns are for export (URT, 2001).

The incredible biodiversity, concentrations of wildlife and annual wildlife migrations in the savannah grasslands of Kenya and Tanzania draw tourists from around the world. Protected areas of the Masai-Mara National Reserve and the Serengeti National Park are scattered with more than 60 tourist facilities on the Kenya side of the basin alone. In 2004, approximately 240,000 tourists visited Masai-Mara National Reserve, and in 2002 more than 375,000 visited Serengeti National Park (Kenya CBS 2005, Tanzania NBS 2002). Tourism, which is largely based on wildlife, is a keystone for both Kenya and Tanzania's GDP contributing approximately 12 and 16%, respectively.

The ecosystems of Kenya and Tanzania boast one of the most diverse and populated terrestrial wildlife populations and the largest intact wildlife migration on earth. Serengeti National Park alone covers approximately 14% of Tanzania's land area. Although the first series of laws were in place in the 1920's, Serengeti National Park was not officially created until 1951. In 1959, the neighbouring Ngorongoro Conservation Area was established to support coexistence of both wildlife conservation and Maasai pastoralism (Zeitler, 2000). The open savannah grasslands of the Serengeti continue north across the border into Kenya and are protected within the Masai-Mara National Reserve. The Masai-Mara is a National Reserve managed by local authorities of Narok and Transmara Districts.

Mining in Kenya accounts for less than 1% of the GDP and is dominated by nonmetallic minerals such as fluorspar, salt and soda ash. Gold mining in Kenya is mostly artisanal (Yager, 2003). Mining accounts for 2.3% of Tanzania's GDP with diamonds and gold a mainstay of the country's mineral production (URT, 2001).

2.2 Potential Threats in the Mara River Basin

There are several potential threats to water quality in the Mara Basin, including high human population growth rates, deforestation, potentially unsustainable agricultural expansion and irrigation, untreated wastewater release, and uncontrolled water abstractions. It is estimated that only 58% of the population has access to safe drinking water. Kenya is currently in a 'water stressed' condition (allocation of water is 1000-1700 m³ per person per year) and is forecasted to become a 'water scarce' nation (allocation of water is less than 1000 m³ per person per year) in the next 25 years. In Tanzania, it is estimated that 68% of the population has access to safe drinking water. Based on projected population growth, the UN projects that Tanzania will become a 'water stressed' nation by 2025 (UN-PFA, 2003 and UNEP, 2002).

The population in the Kenyan portion of the Mara Basin is estimated at 450,000. Nationally the population growth rate is estimated at 2.56%, the unemployment rate is approximately 40% and it is estimated that 50% of the population live below the poverty line, earning less than U\$1 per day. The population in the Tanzania portion of the Mara Basin is estimated at 240,000. Nationally the growth rate of 1.83% (2005 est.), and 36% of the population live below the poverty line, earning less than U\$ 1 per day. High population growth rates and agriculture expansion threaten forest ecosystems through increased deforestation. It is estimated that about one-tenth of Kenya's population lives within 5 km of forest, which cover over 30% of Kenya's land (UNEP, 2002). Forest systems are of high commodity value providing timber for fuel, construction material, and the wood carving industry. Impacts of deforestation include increased soil erosion and sediment loads in waterways, decreased soil fertility, loss of biodiversity and possible local climate changes.

Inappropriate agriculture practices contribute to poor water quality by increased pollution from agrochemical run-off. Excess fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides applied to crops eventually enter surface and ground waters. Increased inputs of nitrogen and phosphorous can lead to the eutrophication (see Box 2) of aquatic systems, possibly resulting in blooms of algae (potentially harmful), anoxic (low-oxygen) conditions and fish die-offs. Pesticides and herbicides in waterways can eventually enter the food chain. These chemicals accumulate up the food chain and can become toxic to organisms.

To complicate matters, poorly-managed water abstractions and wastewater releases can decrease much needed flows of clean water downstream. The famous wildebeest migration in the Serengeti/Masai Mara plains is driven by the search for water during the dry season. Hydropower supplies an estimated 78% of Kenya's electricity (UNEP, 2002) and 65% of Tanzania's electricity (Kitova, 2001). Currently, only one hydroelectric dam is operating in the Mara River Basin, at Tenwek on the Nyangores tributary, but more dams or diversions for hydroelectricity may be proposed. In other areas, increased abstractions and drought have led to decreased water supply to hydroelectric dams, with corresponding interruptions to supplies of electricity. Life and livelihoods, agriculture irrigation, biodiversity and wildlife populations, transport, tourism and recreation are all tied to sufficient water supply. Low water supplies can also lead to improper treatment or the non-treatment of wastewater prior to release.

3.0 Water Quality Standards

3.1 National Standards

Countries and organizations ensure 'quality' of freshwater systems through establishment of water quality guidelines. The water quality guidelines most often followed by monitoring programs within Kenya and Tanzania are those established by the World Health Organization (WHO) for drinking water. In Kenya, effluent discharge standards have not been established, but generalized guidelines have been adopted from the British Royal Commission Standards (NTEAP, 2005*a*). In Tanzania, the Tanzania Temporary Standards (TTS) for quality of domestic water have been established under the Water Utilization (Control and Regulation) act No. 42 of 1974, amendment No. 10 of 1981. Also established under this amendment, are standards for effluents and receiving waters (NTEAP, 2005*b*). Guidelines for the variables examined during the May, 2005, baseline water quality campaign from WHO (Drinking Water), Kenya (Effluent Discharge), Tanzania (Receiving, Effluent and Domestic) are presented in Table 1.

4.0 Water Quality Monitoring

4.1 National Frameworks

4.1.1 Kenya

The Ministry of Water and Irrigation (MWI), formerly the Ministry of Water Resources and Development (MWR&D) has a fundamental goal and purpose of conserving, managing and protecting water resources for socio-economic development. The Water Act No. 8 of 2002 provides an enabling institutional and legal framework for the implementation and realization of the objectives stated in the National Policy on Water Resources Management and Development. The Act provides for the Water Resources Management Authority (WRMA), which maintains the responsibility of ensuring the good management of the country's water resources. The WRMA has drafted a Country Strategy Paper on Integrated Water Resources Management.

4.1.2 Tanzania

The Water Utilization (Control and Regulation) Act No. 42 of 1974 with its amendment in 1981 declares that all of the country's water is vested to the state, sets conditions on the use of water and authorizes the Principal Water Officer with authority to be responsible for setting policy and allocation of water rights at the national level. For designated water drainage basins with established Basin Water Offices, the responsibilities are under the Basin Water Officer.

4.2 Current Status of Monitoring

There are currently four water laboratories with responsibility for the Mara River Basin, three of which are operated by government agencies and one private laboratory at Mara Mines. Equipment, methodologies, and parameters analysed are not consistent between laboratories. Information about the Kenyan and Tanzanian laboratories is presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

4.2.1 Kenya

Two water quality laboratories are operated by the Ministry of Water and Irrigation in Kenya, one in the Bomet District near the Nygangores River and one in the Narok District just south of the confluence of the Nygangores and Amalo Rivers. The water laboratory of the Bomet District is a well-stocked

governm	ent of	Kenya					
		WHO ¹		Kenya ²			
		Drinking Water	Domestic Water	Effluent Discharge into the Environment ³	Effluent Discharge into Public Sewers	Irrigation Water	Recreational Waters
Variable	Units	5					
Temperature	°C			Discharge of effluents shall not raise the temperature of the receiving water by more than 3° C	20-35		30
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1000	1200	1200	2000	1200	
Total Sus- pended Solids	mg/L		30		250		
Turbidity	NTU	5					50
PH		<8.0	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.0-9.0	6.5-8.5	6-9
Chlorine	mg/L	5		0.10 free residue	5 (as Free)		
Ammoniacal nitrogen	mg/L		0.5	100 ⁴	20		
Nitrate	mg/L	50	10	100 ⁴	20		
Phosphorous	mg/L				30		
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2				5	
Mercury	mg/L	0.001		0.005	0.05		0.001

Table 1: Guidelines and standards for maximum allowable levels of the different variables as set by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the

 ¹ Column modified from Chapman (1996).
 ² Column modified from WRMA (2006)
 ³ Values are daily/monthly average discharge values, however, the documents does not specify what each parameter falls under.
 ⁴ Parameter is defined as ammonia, ammonium compoundes, nitrate compounds and nitrite compounds (sum total of ammonia-N times 4 plus nitrate-N and nitrite-N)

		WHO ¹	Tanzania ²								
Drinki Wate		Drinking Water	Receiving Water S	tandard	ls	Effluent	Domestic Water				
Variable	Units		Cat. 1 ³	Cat. 2 ⁴	Cat. 3 ⁵	Cat. 4 ⁶	Cat. 5 ⁷				
Temperature	ç		Discharge of effluents shall not raise the tem- perature of the receiving water by more than 5℃			Discharge of efflu- ents shall not raise the temperature of the receiving water by more than 5℃	35 ℃ or not more than 5 ℃ above ambient tempera- ture of the supplied water whichever is greater				
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1000	2000	2000	No limit	3000	7500				
Total Sus- pended Solids	mg/L		Discharge of effluents shall not cause formation of sludge or scum in the receiving water.			Discharge of efflu- ents shall not cause formation of sludge or scum in the receiving water.	No Limit				
Turbidity	NTU	5	Discharge of effluents shall not cause formation of sludge or scum in the receiving water.					30			

Table 2: Guidelines and standards for maximum allowable levels of the different variables as set by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the government of Tanzania

¹ Column modified from Chapman (1996). ² Column modified from NTEAP (2005b).

³ Category 1 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as water that is suitable for drinking, water supplies, swimming pools, food and beverage manufacturing industries, pharmaceuticals manufacturing industries or industries requiring a water source of similar quality.

⁴ Category 2 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as water suitable for use in feeding domestic animals; in fisheries, shell cultures, recreation and water contact sports.

⁵ Category 3 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as water suitable for irrigation and other industrial activities requiring water of standards lower than those of water in category 1 and 2.

⁶ Category 4 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as effluents meant for direct discharge into receiving waters.

⁷ Category 5 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as effluents meant for indirect discharge into receiving waters (e.g. via a sewage treatment plant).

Table 2: Continue	ed									
		WHO ¹		Tanzania ²						
		Drinking Water	Receiving Water	Receiving Water Standards		Effluent	Domestic Water			
Variable	Units		Cat. 1 ³	Cat. 2 ⁴	Cat. 3 ⁵	Cat. 4 ⁶	Cat. 5 ⁷			
рН		<8.0	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.5-9.0	6.5-8.5		6.5-9.2		
Dissolved Oxy- gen ⁸	mg/L		6	5	3					
Dissolved Oxy- gen ⁹	%		80	60	40					
Chlorine	mg/L	5				1.0 (as Free)	5 (as Free)			
Total Hardness	mg/L							600		
Calcium Hard- ness	mg/L									
Magnesium Hardness	mg/L							300		
Ammoniacal nitrogen	mg/L					10	No Limit			
Nitrate	mg/L	50	50	50	100	50	80	31/100		

¹ Column modified from Chapman (1996). ² Column modified from NTEAP (2005*b*).

³ Category 1 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as water that is suitable for drinking, water supplies, swimming pools, food and beverage manufacturing industries, pharmaceuticals manufacturing industries or industries requiring a water source of similar quality.

⁴ Category 2 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as water suitable for use in feeding domestic animals; in fisheries, shell cultures, recreation and water contact sports.

⁵ Category 3 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as water suitable for irrigation and other industrial activities requiring water of standards lower than those of water in category 1 and 2.

 ⁶ Category 4 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as effluents meant for direct discharge into receiving waters.
 ⁷ Category 5 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as effluents meant for indirect discharge into receiving waters (e.g. via a sewage treatment plant).
 ⁸ Values listed are minimum allowable levels.

Organic phos- phorous	mg/L							
Table 2: Continu	ed	II			11			
		WHO ¹				Tanzania ²		
		Drinking Water	Receiving Wat	er Standard	ls	Effluent	Standards	Domestic Water
Variable	Units		Cat. 1 ³	Cat. 2 ⁴	Cat. 3⁵	Cat. 4 ⁶	Cat. 5 ⁷	
Phosphorous	mg/L		Variable ⁹			6	45	
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	2	5	
Mercury	mg/L	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.005	0.005	
Total pesticides	μg/L							
Organochlorine Pesticides	mg/L		0.0005	0.0005	0.001	0.005	0.005	
Aldrin and Diel- drin	μg/L	0.03						
Chlordane	μg/L	0.2						
Chlorphyrifos	mg/L	0.03						
DDT and me- tabolites	μg/L	1						
Methoxychlor	μg/L	20						

¹ Column modified from Chapman (1996). ² Column modified from NTEAP (2005*b*).

³ Category 1 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as water that is suitable for drinking, water supplies, swimming pools, food and beverage manufacturing industries, pharmaceuticals manufacturing industries or industries requiring a water source of similar quality.

⁴ Category 2 is defined by NTEAP (2005b) as water suitable for use in feeding domestic animals; in fisheries, shell cultures, recreation and water contact sports.

⁵ Category 3 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as water suitable for irrigation and other industrial activities requiring water of standards lower than those of water in category 1 and 2.

⁶ Category 4 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as effluents meant for direct discharge into receiving waters.

⁷ Category 5 is defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as effluents meant for indirect discharge into receiving waters (e.g. via a sewage treatment plant).

⁹ Defined by NTEAP (2005*b*) as the lowest possible concentration that should be aimed for in waters that are susceptible to eutrophication or excessive weed growth, or in rivers and streams draining into such waters.

laboratory but was without a water engineer in 2005. The Mara Field Office of WWF-EARPO has been working closely with the Narok district water engineers and had accomplished two monitoring surveys of the Kenyan Mara as of May 2005.

4.2.2 Tanzania

In Tanzania, the Ministry of Water operates a water quality laboratory in Musoma District, and Barrick Gold Mines has a laboratory on-site at their Tarime District location. The Musoma water laboratory is well-equipped and receives much of its funding from the Lake Victoria Environmental Management Programme. Focus is on the water quality of Lake Victoria and there are limited sampling sites in the Mara River. The WWF Mara Program has worked with the Musoma laboratory and provided funding for an initial water quality study in the Tanzanian Mara.

We are unsure of the water quality program Barrick Gold Corporation has underway presently. In 2005, Placer Dome operated the Mara Mine. Placer Dome did many of their physical and chemical parameters on-site; however, many samples (i.e.: trace metals, etc.) were sent off-site to accredited labs. Monitoring of surface water was done on a quarterly basis. Six sites were monitored along the Mara River and six sites were monitored along the Tigite River (a tributary to the Mara). Placer Dome had also initiated studies of sediment cores and fish tissue in the Mara Swamp. We do not have results from any of these studies.

5.0 Water Quality Monitoring Assessment

5.1 Sampling Sites

Samples were collected and readings taken from 21 sites along the mainstem Mara River and its tributaries during May-2005, June-2006, and June-2007 (Fig. 2). Locations of sampling sites were replicated from initial water quality studies previously performed by WWF (2004) and Kenya Ministry of Water and Irrigation Narok District (2004). A summary of site descriptions for each station is listed in Table 4.

	Kenya								
	Bomet Dis	trict ¹		Narok District					
Analyses	Instrument	Methodology	Analyses	Instrument	Methodology				
Acidity		Titration	Temperature	Hanna HI9810	Meter				
Color		Meter	Conductivity	Hanna HI9810	Meter				
Hardness		Titration	TDS	Hanna HI9810	Meter				
Alkalinity		Titration	рН	Hanna HI9810/Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Meter/Phenol Red				
pН		Phenol Red	Turbidity	Secchi Disk	Secchi Disk				
Suspended Solids		Volumetric	Alkalinity	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Acid/Indicator				
Calcium		EDTA Titration	Chlorine	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	DPD				
Chloride		Titration	Total Hardness	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Metallphthalein				
Fluoride		SPADNS	Calcium Hard- ness	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Murexide				
Ammonium		Colorimetric/ Titra- tion	Magnesium Hardness	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Calculated from Total and Calcium Hardness				
Total Nitro- gen		Titration/Colorimetric	Chloride (Cl ⁻)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Silver Nitrate/Turbidity				
Nitrate		Colour Brucine	Fluoride (Fl ⁻)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	SPADNS				
Nitrite		Spectrophotometer	Nitrate (NO3 ⁻)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Chromotropic Acid				
Dissolved Oxygen		Modified Winkler Method	Nitrite (NO ₂ ⁻)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	N(1- Naphthyl)ethylenediamine				
Phosphate		Ascorbic Acid	Orthophosphate (PO ₄ -)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Ascorbic Acid				
Silica		Colour	Sulphate (SO ₄ ²⁻)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Bariumsulphate-Turbidity				
Sulphate		Turbid/ Gravitational	Aluminium (Al)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Eriochrome Cyanine				
Sulfite		Titration	Bromine (Br)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	DPD				
COD		Titration	Iron (Fe)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	PPST				
Oil and Grease		Funnel Extraction	Manganese (Mn)	Lovibond PC MultiDirect	Formaldoxim				
BOD	Respirometric Oxitop Box								

Table 3: Summary of Mara river basin water laboratory analyses and methodologies in Kenya.

¹At time of visit (May 2005) there is no water engineer on-site. List of methods found at the laboratory included EPA 1979 Methodologies and other sheets with procedures. Methodologies listed on these sheets are included above.

Tanzania									
Placer Dome Gold Mines ¹			Musoma District ²						
Analyses	Instrument	Methodology	Analyses	Instrument	Methodology				
Temperature			Total Phosphorus		APHA				
Conductivity			Orthophosphate		APHA				
TDS			Silicate		APHA				
pН			Biogenic Silicate		APHA				
Alkalinity			Nitrite		APHA				
Free Chlorine			Nitrate		APHA				
Total Chlo- rine			Ammonium		APHA				
Chloride			Total Nitrogen		APHA				
Nitrate and Nitrite (N+N)			BOD		APHA				
Sulphate (SO ₄ ²⁻)			PH		APHA				
Total Mercury (THg)			Dissolved Oxygen		APHA				
Total Cyanide	PharmSpec UV-1700								
Free Cyanide	PharmSpec UV-1700								
Weak Acid Dissociable Cyanide	PharmSpec UV-1700								

Table 4: Summary of Mara river basin water laboratory analyses and methodologies in Tanzania.

¹Placer Dome Gold Mines no longer operates Mara Mine. We have no information about the laboratory in the mine today under the new operator, Barrick Gold Corporation. ²Musoma Water Laboratories fall under the LVEMP program and have a well-stocked laboratory. Their

methodologies follow the APHA as listed in Table 3.



Figure 2: Sampling site locations along the Mara River and its tributaries. The Mara river watershed is outlined in grey and national parks/forest complexes are shaded green. Sampling sites are indicated by a blue triangle.

Station Number	Station Name	Tributary/ River	Land-Use Type/Major Issue	Station Description
1	Enupuiyapi Swamp	Amala	Plantations	Headwaters for the Mara River. Surrounding area is used for Cypress and Eucalyptus plantations.
2	Matecha Bridge	Amala	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	Settlements, agriculture (tea).
3	Kapkimolwa	Amala	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	Settlements, agriculture (tea).
4	Mulot Bridge	Amala	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	Located downstream of the convergence of the Ngasiat River with the Amala River. Trading center, small-scale agriculture.
5	Silibwet Bridge	Nyangores	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	The surrounding community is largely in- volved in tea farming.
6	Tenwek Dam	Nyangores	Hospital, Dam, Waste Management	This is the location of the sole dam in the Mara River system. The dam was developed to provide electrical power to the surrounding hospital and community. Recent years have found increased silting of the reservoir enough to significantly reduce (to 30% of full) capacity of dam.
7	Tenwek Treated Wastewater		Hospital, Dam, Waste Management	Sample was taken from pipe which feeds treated wastewater into the hillside.
8	Tenwek Downstream	Nyangores	Hospital, Dam, Waste Management	The surrounding community was developed around the missionary hospital.
9	Bomet Bridge	Nyangores	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	Located in the Bomet district downstream of Tanwek community hospital. Bomet is in the process of securing funding for the construc- tion of a wastewater treatment plant.
10	Emarti Bridge	Mara	Large-scale Agriculture	Located downstream of the convergence of the Amala and Nyangores Rivers. Acts as a division between the Transmara and Narok Districts. Flows through Group ranches of both urban and traditional settlements
11	Old Mara Bridge	Mara	Tourism	Located within the Masai-Mara National Re- serve.
12	Talek Bridge	Talek	Tourism	Located within the Masai-Mara National Re- serve.

 Table 5.
 Summary of site names and descriptions sampled during the May, 2005, water quality sampling campaign.

00	mping sumpaig			
Station Number	Station Name	Tributary/ River	Land-Use Type/Major Issue	Station Description
13	New Mara Bridge	Mara	Tourism	Located in the Masai-Mara National Reserve just above the Kenyan-Tanzanian border.
14	Tabora	Tabora	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	Tabora stream originates from Mugomo, which is the main town in Serengeti District. This stream feeds into the Mara upstream of the Tarime/Serengeti bridge. Farming and domestic activities are common.
15	Tarime	Mara	Mining, Waste Management	Settlements, agriculture and other human activities.
16	Somonche	Somonche	Mining, Waste Management	Settlements, agriculture, human activities and mining.
17	Mara Mines	Mara	Mining, Waste Management	Settlements, agriculture and human activity.
18	Tigite	Tigite	Mining, Waste Management	Tigite stream feeds into the Mara upstream of Bisarwi. Common activities along this stream include gold mining (Placer Dome), small- scale-gold mining, farming and domestic uses. There are a number of settlements within this region.
19	Kwesawa	Mara	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	Small-scale farming and fishing are main ac- tivities within this area. This station is sam- pled quarterly by the Musoma Lab for the LVEMP program concerning water hyacinths. Anoxia is commonly noted in this area.
20	Kirumui Bridge	Mara	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	Small-scale farming, domestic activities and fishing.
21	Lake Victoria at Mara River Mouth	Lake Vic- toria/ Mara	Waste Man- agement, Ag- riculture	Activities in this area include fishing and farming. There are noted problems with eutrophication and weed (papyrus and hyacinth) overgrowth.

Table 5. Summary of site names and descriptions sampled during the May, 2005, water quality sampling campaign.

6.0 Findings of Water Quality Assessment

6.1 Temperature

Temperature for all three surveys ranged from 10.4°C to 25.7°C along the Mara River and its tributaries (Fig. 3). Temperature is affected by many factors including but not limited to season, time of day, altitude and cloud cover. Temperature tended to increase along the Mara River as altitude decreased. The lowest temperature was recorded at the Enupuiyapi Swamp (sta. 1), the source of the Mara River. The highest temperature was recorded at the Tarime (sta. 15) station on the Mara main stem located in the open savannah grasslands of Tanzania. Variations in temperature among stations also may be attributed to differences in time of sampling and/or the presence of rains.



6.2 Alkalinity and pH

Alkalinity is one of a number of measures of the mineral content of natural waters. During the three surveys alkalinity ranged from 12 ppm at the Enupuivapi Swamp (sta. 1 - 2005) to 215 ppm at Tabora (sta. 14 - 2007) (Fig. 4). Higher alkalinity was detected in the treated wastewater from Tenwek (sta. 7) in 2005 and in Talek Bridge (sta. 12) and Tigite (sta. 18) in 2006. Tabora Stream (sta 14) had the highest levels of alkalinity in both years. Elevated alkalinity values alone are not a cause for concern. pH is a measure of the acidity of water. pH of Mara waters during the three campaigns ranged from 4.8 at the Enupuiyapi Swamp (sta. 1) to 7.6 at Somonche (sta. 16) and Mara Mines (sta. 17), with an average of 7.1 \pm 0.7 (Fig. 5). All values, except the 4.8 level at Enupuiyapi, fall within acceptable pH values from WHO, Kenya and Tanzania (Table 1). There was not a significant difference in pH between the years sampled. Most natural waters fall within a pH range of 6.0 to 8.5. The low value measured at the Enupuiyapi swamp sta-

tion is likely caused by an abundance of natural organic acids in the swamp waters (Chapman, 1996).



6.3 Electrical Conductivity, Total Dissolved Solids and Salinity

Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) and Salinity are all measures of the mineral content of natural waters, and results for these parameters followed similar patterns across the Mara Basin. Lowest values were detected in the Enupuiyapi Swamp (sta. 1) and highest values were detected in Talek Bridage (sta. 18) and the stream at Tabora (sta. 14) (Figs. 6-8). In general, values increased downstream. Increases may be related to mineral inputs as well as evaporation from the river channel. TDS levels are well under the maximum acceptable levels as defined by the WHO, Kenya and Tanzania guidelines (Table 1). Conductiv-

Global Water for Sustainability (GLOWS) Program - www.globalwaters.net

ity, TDS and salinity levels along the Amala River increased from the headwaters in the Enupuiyapi Swamp (sta. 1) to the point of convergence with the Nyangores River to form the Mara River. However, the Nygangores River maintained consistent levels of conductivity (~50 µS/cm²), TDS (~0.04 g/L) and salinity (~0.02 ppt) at all three sampling sites (Silibwet (sta. 5), Tenwek (sta. 6) and Bomet (sta. 9)). Low conductivity and TDS are often characteristics of forested rivers (Chapman and Chapman, 2003; Ngoye and Machiwa, 2004); however, from this study alone it is difficult to ascertain whether differences between the two tributaries are a natural occurrence or are the result of anthropogenic impacts.





Figure 6. Conductivity (EC;

and its tributaries during the

three sampling campaigns.





Box 2: Sediments, Ecosystems and Health

The story of sediments in water goes beyond the muck. Sediment loads may increase in aquatic systems through erosion from poor agriculture practices, grazing and deforestation, mining activities, construction and dredging. Increased sediments load may negatively impact aquatic biota by reducing light penetration, reducing suitable habitat, smothering fish fry, clogging gills and ultimately altering the biodiversity of the system.

Beyond the physical effects, increased sediment loads may also alter the chemistries of aquatic systems. Sediment plays a major role in the transport of pollutants attached to sediments. Contaminated sediments can have lethal effects on benthic (bottom-dwelling) organisms or can be ingested and accumulated through the food chain affecting higher trophic levels. Disturbances (i.e., dredging) can re-suspended contaminated sediments, exposing organisms in the water to potentially toxic contaminants.

6.4 Turbidity and Total Suspended Sediments

Turbidity and Total Suspended Sediments (TSS) are measures of the level of suspended solids in water, which may be mineral or organic material. High levels of turbidity and TSS reduce light penetration in the water column, which may then reduce photosynthesis by submerged aquatic plants (See Box 1). During May, 2005, turbidity ranged from 7.1 NTU at the river mouth (sta. 21) to 1999 NTU at the New Mara site (sta.13) located within the Masai-Mara National Reserve (Fig. 9). Total suspended sediments (TSS) ranged from 0.02 g/L at the river mouth to 2.79 g/L at New Mara. The WHO and Tanzania set maximum turbidity levels for drinking water at 5 and 30 NTU, respectively (Table 1). Higher levels of turbidity and TSS experienced at the Old Mara, Talek, and New Mara stations in 2005 occurred after rainstorms (Fig. 10). These rainstorms were most likely the cause of increased turbidity and TSS levels at these locations. Deforestation (Chapman and Chapman, 2003) and insufficient soil conservation practices in agricultural regions (Bugenyi and Balirwa, 2003) may also be attributed to increased turbidity and TSS values. Both turbidity and TSS were low on dates sampled in 2006 and 2007.





Figure 10: Total suspended solids (TSS; g/L) along the Mara River and its tributaries during the 2005 and 2006 sampling campaigns.

6.5 Hardness

Hardness is another parameter reflecting the mineral content of natural waters and was only measured during the 2005 sampling campaign. Total hardness ranged from 15 ppm at Enupuiyapi

Global Water for Sustainability (GLOWS) Program - www.globalwaters.net

swamp to 68 ppm at Tabora (sta. 14) (Fig. 11). Total hardness levels fell well below the maximum allowable level as set for Tanzania domestic waters (600 mg/L; Table 1). Calcium hardness ranged from 8 ppm at Enupuiyapi swamp (sta. 1) to 44 ppm at Talek Bridge (sta. 12). Magnesium hardness ranged from 7 ppm at Enupuiyapi swamp (sta. 1) to 35 at Kwesawa (sta. 19) station. Calcium salts were most prevalent, ranging from 53% to 82% of total hardness, excluding Tigite (sta. 18) and Kwesawa (sta. 19) stations (43 and 38%, respectively), which is typical of surface waters (Chapman, 1996). Hardness can vary with river flow, where low flow typically has increased hardness values relative to high flow/flood values.



Station Number

6.6 Dissolved Oxygen

Dissolved oxygen (DO) is among the most important water quality parameters for its strong influences on aquatic organisms. DO levels below 50% saturation are generally an indication of high levels of dissolved organic matter, which may derive from natural or anthropogenic sources. Anthropogenic sources of organic material include domestic sewage and agricultural wastes. Patterns in DO concentrations were similar during the three surveys, ranging from a low of 5.7% at Kwesawa (sta. 19) in 2005, near the river mouth, to 95% at Tigite (sta. 18) in 2007 (Fig. 12). The lowest levels were measured in the Mara swamp near the mouth of the river. Relatively low DO was also measured at Station 1 in the Enupuiyapi swamp. With the exception of these swamp locations, DO levels are above the guideline criteria as set by Tanzania for receiving waters suitable for fisheries and domestic livestock (Table 1) in all other stations. Low DO levels found at the Enupuiyapi swamp are likely attributed to high organic matter (Chapman, 1996) as previously noted under the pH section.

Box 3: Eutrophication

Eutrophication is the result of excess inputs of nitrogen and phosphorous (nutrients) into aquatic systems. Increased nutrient availability stimulates algae growth and leads to large concentrations. Oxygen, which is produced during algal growth, off-gases to the atmosphere and is consumed during the decomposition algal detritus. Consequently, oxygen levels in eutrophic waters drop and hypoxic (low-oxygen) conditions develop. Under such low-oxygen conditions, fish and other aquatic organisms may suffocate, causing potentially massive dieoffs. The prevention of eutrophication requires minimizing excessive nutrient inputs. Sources of these inputs include generally agricultural run-off, development and wastewater effluent. It is important for the prevention of eutrophication to properly manage effluents.

Increased fertilizer use and runoff has contributed to a widespread occurrence of eutrophication within Lake Victorian waters and, subsequently, anoxic conditions as indicated by low DO levels (Bugenyi and Balirwa, 2003) as found within the river mouth sites (Kwesawa, Kirumui Bridge and River Mouth).

Figure 12: Dissolved oxygen (DO; %) along the Mara River and its tributaries during the three sampling campaigns.



It is unclear whether the low DO measured near the mouth of the Mara River are related to nutrient inputs from the Mara Basin or from Lake Victoria itself. Whatever the source, the low DO levels at these stations are known to be harmful to many fish species (Chapman, 1996).

6.7 Nutrients and Dissolved Organic Carbon

Nutrients and Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) are integral to the functioning of healthy aquatic ecosystems. Problems arise, however, when concentrations of these parameters greatly exceed natural abundances. Waters containing an excess of nutrients are said to be eutrophic (See Box 3). The dominant nutrients in aquatic systems are nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), and both

Global Water for Sustainability (GLOWS) Program - www.globalwaters.net
occur in organic and inorganic forms. We analysed total dissolved N and P, which include the sum of organic and inorganic forms. We also analysed inorganic forms, which for N include nitrate/nitrite (N+N) and ammonium (NH₄⁺) and for P includes phosphate (PO₄³⁻). Algal blooms are fuelled by inorganic forms of these nutrients, but total dissolved values (from which organic nutrient levels can be calculated) also provide useful information to assess the condition of freshwaters.

During the 2005 campaign, total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) ranged from a low of 0.3. mg/L at Talek Bridge (sta. 12) to 15.0 mg/L at Silibwet Bridge (sta. 5). Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) (calculated as the difference between TDN and the sum of inorganic values) ranged from 0.9 mg/L in the Tigite River (sta. 18, a tributary to the Mara) to 5.58 mg/L at Silibwet Bridge (sta. 5) (Fig. 13). The concentrations of N at Silibwet are nearly seven times those detected at other sites. Silibwet is a station on the Nyangores River in an area dominated by tea plantations. At the next downstream station (Tenwek Dam), concentrations were again below 2 mg/L and similar to other stations in the middle portion of the basin. Concentrations of TDN in 2006 were also generally below 2.0 mg/l, with the exception of the stream at Tigite, which recorded a concentration of 21.2 mg/l, the majority of which is organic N.





Nitrate is present in both ground- and surface waters as the end product of the aerobic decomposition of organic nitrogenous matter. Nitrate is taken up by plants as a nutrient and assimilated into proteins. Anthropogenic inputs of nitrate include fertilizers, domestic and industrial wastewaters. Extremely high nitrate concentrations in drinking waters have been associated with 'blue baby' syndrome; in which, nitrate is reduced to nitrite and reacts with haemoglobin to form methohaemoglobin, which is not an effective carrier of oxygen in the blood. Nitrite is another, more toxic, form of inorganic N that is rarely present in significant concentrations because it is rapidly oxidised to nitrate. In our analyses we analysed for the sum of nitrate/nitrite (N+N), assuming that nitrate was by far the dominant form. N+N levels detected in Mara River waters were all below the WHO maximum contaminant level (MCL) for drinking water of 45 mg/L (Fig. 13). N+N levels ranged from 0 mg/L at Kwesawa (sta. 19), Kirumui Bridge (sta. 20) and the River Mouth (sta. 21) to 6.19 mg/L at Silibwet. N+N concentrations were generally below 2 mg/L in the basin, which precludes the possibility of direct toxicity to humans but may still contribute to eutrophication of waters in the Mara Swamp at the mouth of the river.

Ammonia is a common form of inorganic N present in both ground- and surface waters and is the dominant form of inorganic N under low-oxygen conditions. Plants and microbes take up ammonia as a nutrient source. As expected, ammonium is the dominant form of inorganic N in Enupuiyapi Swamp and in the three stations (19-21) at the mouth of the basin. Ammonium concentrations were below the WHO standard of 0.5 mg/L at all stations except Silibwet (sta. 5)(3.17 mg/L)(Fig. 13).

Phosphorous (P) is often the limiting nutrient in freshwater systems, which means that aquatic primary production changes in direct proportion to concentrations of P until another factor becomes the limiting factor. Natural inputs of phosphorous include decay of organic matter, excretion by organisms and weathering of P-containing rocks and sediments. Excessive inputs of phosphorous (as found in fertilizers, detergents and mining processes) lead to eutrophication. As with N, the highest concentrations of total dissolved phosphorous (TDP) and PO_4^{3-} in the Mara Basin were found in the tea-producing area of Silibwet Bridge (sta. 5) (1.21 and 1.15 mg/L, respectively, Fig. 14-15) in 2005. Concertrations in 2006 were generally lower.



The Redfield ratio is a useful indicator of limiting nutrients in aquatic ecosystems. This ratio is an approximation of the average value of the chemical composition of aquatic algae (N:P=16) (Redfield, 1934). Freshwater systems are often characterized by phosphate limitation with nitrogen in excess of the 16 N:P Redfield ratio (Valiela, 1995). Ratios below this value indicate nitrogen limitation. The N:P ratios of the Mara River during 2005 ranged from 6.7 N:P (molar) at Somonche (sta. 16) to 200 at Silibwet Bridge (sta. 5) (Fig. 16), but most stations recorded N:P ratios in excess of 16, suggesting that P-limitation dominates in the Mara system.



Figure 16: The nitrogen to phosphorous (N:P) ratios (molar) along the Mara River and its tributaries during a) 2005 and b) 2006 sampling campaigns.

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) levels ranged from 19.0 mg/L in Enupuiyapi Swamp (St. 1) to 1.92 mg/L downstream of Tenwek Dam (sta. 6) in 2005 (Fig. 17). The influence of tidal waters from

Global Water for Sustainability (GLOWS) Program – www.globalwaters.net

Lake Victoria is suggested by the increased DOC levels at the river mouth stations (Kwesawa, Kirumui Bridge and River Mouth) (Allan, 1995). Increased DOC levels at the Enupuiyapi swamp further indicate the presence of high organic matter as was noted in the sections on pH and dissolved oxygen.



Neither the WHO, Kenya nor Tanzania have established standards for DOC, but the South African Water Quality Guidelines (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 1996) have defined an ideal DOC range of 0-5 mg/L for domestic waters. Though quantitative links between DOC and potential human health effects have not been established, it is important to note for drinking waters that DOC may react with chlorine during the chlorination process to form potentially toxic and carcinogenic compounds called trihalomethanes (THMs) (Clesceri, 1998; Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 1996).

6.8 Mercury and Aluminium

Total Mercury (THg) levels at all stations in the Mara Basin in were below WHO drinking water, Kenyan effluent, and Tanzanian receiving and effluent water standards (Table 1), ranging from 1.09 ppt (parts per trillion) at Kirumi Bridge (sta. 20) to 11.20 ppt at Talek Bridge (sta. 12) in 2005 (Fig. 18). Considering the tendency of Hg to bioaccumulate in tissues and to biomagnify along food chains (See Box 4), even low levels of Hg could be deleterious to Mara aquatic ecosystems. Aluminium levels ranged from 60.5 ppb (parts per billion) at the river mouth (sta. 21) to 8194 ppb at

the New Mara bridge (sta. 13) site. Aluminium levels as found in this study fall well below the guidelines for WHO drinking waters and Tanzanian receiving waters (Table 1). It is of interest to note that both THg and Al levels are higher within the Masai-Mara National Reserve and the Serengeti National Park relative to sites upstream and downstream of the conservation areas (Fig. 18). It would be expected to find increased THg levels near and/or downstream of gold mining operations. However, given the nature of THg to bind to sediments, the increased levels of THg within the national parks are well correlated to the increased levels of TSS within these sites.

Figure 18: Total mercury (THg, ppt) and aluminium (Al, ppb) along the Mara River and its tributaries during the 2005 and 2006 sampling campaigns. THg is indicated by light grey shading and Al by dark grey shading in the 2005.



Box 4: Bioacculumation and Biomagnification

Bioaccumulation and biomagnification are important processes in how substances move through the food chain. <u>Bioaccumulation</u> is the increase of a substance within an organism over a period of chronic exposure. Bioaccumulation occurs when the ingestion rate of a substance is greater than its excretion rate resulting in a higher concentration within the organism compared to the substance's concentration in the environment. <u>Biomagnification</u> is the increase of a substance from one trophic level to the next (as it moves up the food chain).

Compounds such at DDT and its daughter DDE, PCBs and mercury have all been found to bioaccumulate and biomagnify. In the case of mercury, it becomes methylated to a bioavailable (usable by organisms) form called methylmercury. Methylmercury is taken up by bacteria and plants, which are then eaten by fish that are then consumed by humans. The consumption of methylmercury-contaminated fish exposes humans to high levels of mercury, which can bioaccumulate resulting in adverse health affects to humans.

6.9 Pesticides

As an initial survey, water samples were collected in 2005 at a subset of eight stations and analysed for 26 pesticide compounds and 18 PCBs (Appendix A). Table 5 lists all compounds analyzed and their corresponding concentrations at sampling sites. The majority of samples had undetectable levels of pesticides. At the Mulot site (sta. 4), trace levels of DDE were detected. All sampled stations, with the exception to Mulot and Bomet (sta. 9), had detectable levels of PCB 44 (polychlorinated biphenyl 44). Neither WHO, Kenya, nor Tanzania have set guidelines for PCBs, however, the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) has set a standard for PCBs at 0.5 ppb (EPA, 2002). All PCB levels detected in this study fall below the guideline, but again due to the bioaccumulative behaviour of PCBs additional study is required to determine whether PCBs are accumulating in Mara food chains. The US EPA has also set a maximum contaminant limit (MCL) for Hexachlorobenzene at 1 ppb (EPA, 2002), below which the level at Mulot Bridge (sta. 4) falls.

6.10 Comparisons with Other Water Quality Data

This current baseline assessment reports the results of three sampling campaigns (one per year) in the Mara River Basin. Sound management of water quality requires routine monitoring of water quality data upon which trends or anomalies can be detected. Section 4.2 of this report describes some of the other water quality monitoring efforts in the basin. Where possible, results from those efforts were obtained and compared to the results of this study. Appendix C presents Kenyan Mara water quality data as reported by Nile Basin Initiative Transboundary Environmental Action Project (NTEAP 2005a) and from the Bomet and Narok District Water Offices. Appendix D lists the water quality data reported by WWF-TPO (2004). In both Appendices, results of this study from 2005 are reported for comparison.

The data presented in this report are generally comparable to previous data reported from the same sites. However, inconsistencies are present and are most likely a function of methodological sensitivity or potential contamination within the analysis process. The most obvious of these inconsistencies are the results presented for Ammonium. In all instances, Ammonium data presented in this report are lower than those presented in the other reports, suggesting that the methods used in other studies were not as sensitive.

Effective monitoring programs set protocols for sampling, site selection, analytical methodologies, quality assurance and control, and data management. Adherence to established protocols makes it possible to compare data from different sampling campaigns. The overall consistency between the findings of this study and those of previous campaigns suggest that proper protocols were followed in each study. Thus, the combined data sets can be confidently used to assess longer term water quality conditions in the Mara River Basin.

7.0 Summary and Conclusions

The Mara River supports a wide array of human and ecosystem water needs. However, population growth, agricultural expansion, headwater deforestation, water abstractions, and untreated wastewater releases threaten the supply of sufficient and clean water to all stakeholders and sectors within the basin, particularly in the dry season. Increasing water demands in the upper basin in combination with contamination sources also seriously threaten the environmental flows needed to sustain wildlife in Masai-Mara National Reserve, Serengeti National Park, and Mara Wetlands. Systematic monitoring and reporting of water quality across the basin by local water offices and major water users is essential to achieving an integrated program of water management that meets the needs of the various water use sectors while simultaneously supporting ecosystem needs.

The results of water quality monitoring programs are generally compared against established standards to assess the condition of water bodies and their level of impairment for prescribed uses. Both Kenya and Tanzania recognize guidelines established for drinking water by the WHO. Kenya also recognizes British Royal Commission Standards for effluent discharges. Only Tanzania, however, has established national standards for effluents, receiving waters, and domestic waters. Although provisional, these Tanzania Temporary Standards are an important step towards fully enforceable standards. Where applicable, the results of this study were compared to WHO drinking water standards, given that many inhabitants of the Mara Basin take their drinking water directly from rivers.

Currently, there is little systematic monitoring of water quality in Mara River Basin. On the Kenyan side of the basin, the Ministry of Water and Irrigation has established water quality laboratories in the Narok and Bomet District Offices and a limited number of monitoring campaigns have been conducted. On the Tanzanian side of the basin the Ministry of Water has established a water quality laboratory in Musoma with support from the Lake Victoria Environmental Management Programme, and this office conducts occasional water quality campaigns into the lower Mara Basin. TANAPA conducts occasional water quality monitoring in Serengeti National Park (including at a UNESCO Ecohydrology study site), and Barrick Gold Mines has conducted regular monitoring of rivers in the area of its activities. Barrick's monitoring, however, is for the company's own compliance purposes and results are not routinely distributed to local authorities.

In order to provide comprehensive baseline information to an assortment of planned and ongoing activities in the Mara Basin, we conducted annual surveys of water quality at 21 stations across the river basin from its source on the Mau Escarpment in Kenya to its outlet at Lake Victoria at Musoma Town in Tanzania. All samples were analysed for physical properties, mineral abundances, and nutrients; a subset of samples was also analysed for mercury and pesticides. No areas of serious contamination were observed, but a number of results warrant further consideration and followup actions.

At the times of the surveys in May-2005, June-2006, and June-2007 patterns in water quality data varied as a function of position along the river, land use, and rainfall/discharge.

- In general, the mineral content of Mara River water increased downstream, probably due to the combined effects of run-off and mineral inputs from agriculture and mining and evaporation from the river surface.
- Nutrient concentrations were highest in the agricultural sections of the basin, while organic matter was most abundant at the river's source in the Enupuiyapi Swamp and at its mouth in the wetlands bordering Lake Victoria.
- Sediment concentrations were highest in stations sampled following rain events. These stations in and around Masai-Mara National Reserve and Serengeti National Park also had the highest concentrations of mercury and aluminium, suggesting that these metals were associated with sediments mobilized following the rains.

- Pesticides (Hexachlorobenzene and 4,4' DDE) were detected at only one station on the Amala River near the Mulot trading post.
- PCBs (PCB 28/31, PCB 52, PCB 44) were detected in 6 of 8 stations sampled, including those in Masai-Mara National Reserve and Serengeti National Park.

Although concentrations of nutrients, mercury, pesticides, and PCBs were all below existing standards, deleterious effects may still derive from these compounds. Nutrient concentrations are above natural levels and appear to be causing eutrophication in the wetlands at the mouth of the river. Mercury and PCBs have a tendency to accumulate in organisms and along food chains, so even low concentrations in water may result in harmful accumulations in wildlife and people.

Table 6: Summary of pesticide data in the Mara river basin during the May 2005 baseline water quality campaign. **Bold** text indicates detectable levels of measured compounds and highlighted text indicates pesticides that were detectable. All data are reported in units of ppb (μ g/L).

Station Number	4	9	10	11	13	15	16	17
Chlrorinated Benzenes								
Tetrachlorobenzene 1,2,4,5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Tetrachlorobenzene 1,2,3,4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pentachlorobenzene	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hexachlorobenzene	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hexachlorocyclohexanes								
Alpha HCH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Beta HCH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gamma HCH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Delta HCH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Chlorodane-related Compounds								
Heptachlor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Heptachlor Epoxide/OCS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Alpha Chlordane	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gamma Chlordane	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Methoxychlor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Cyclodiene Pesti- cides								
Aldrin	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dieldrin	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Endrin	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Chlorinated Pesti- cides								
Chlorpyrifos	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mirex	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Endosulfan sulfate	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Endosulfan II	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DDTs and Related Com- pounds								
2,4' DDE/ENDOSULFAN I	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4,4' DDE	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2,4' DDD	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4,4' DDD	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2,4' DDT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Station Number	4	9	10	11	13	15	16	17
4,4' DDT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Individual PCBs								
PCB8/5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB18/17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB28/31	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB44	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.10
PCB66/95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB101/90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB87/115	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB153/132	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB105	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB138 /160	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB187	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB180	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB170/190	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB195/208	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB206	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PCB209	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

A Water Quality Baseline Assessment of the Mara River Basin – Updated October 20	07
--	----

8.0 Recommendations

The results presented in this report represent 'snapshots' of the water quality throughout the Mara Basin during May-2005, June-2006, and June-2007. Nevertheless, the results suggest that, al-though no parameters were detected in excess of recognized standards, high nutrient loads and detectable amounts of mercury, pesticides, and PCBs may be impairing water quality. These findings highlight the need for more systematic monitoring of water quality across the basin, ideally using comparable methodologies and carried out at similar intervals. Furthermore results should be rapidly fed into the management and decision-making processes in the basin that affect water resources quality and quantity. That requires reporting results both to water management agencies in the capital cities as well as to local water offices and water user associations. Given these observations, we offer the following specific recommendations.

- Water offices on the Kenyan and Tanzanian sides of the basin should harmonize protocols, methodologies and sampling regimes.
- All laboratories should pursue appropriate accreditation for analytical techniques used. In lieu of accreditation, laboratories should develop a program of inter-laboratory comparison and calibration to be repeated annually. This will ensure comparability of results.
- Monitoring programs on each side of the border should develop common quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) plans detailing protocols of collection, handling, and analysis.
- Kenyan and Tanzanian agencies should conduct joint training sessions for monitors to ensure consistent field techniques and to occasionally introduce new or revised methodologies.
- A joint protocol should be developed to rapidly process data and communicate results to relevant local, national and regional decision makers and stakeholders.

The GLOWS program is committed to supporting the efforts of water management agencies to continue developing their water quality monitoring programs over the coming years. As a direct followup to our 2005 activities, we propose the following activities for 2006, in collaboration with local partners.

- Conduct additional analyses of water quality as a function of flows levels. There is potential for increased levels of contamination during low water periods.
- Test for biological contamination in river samples.
- Assist local water offices to implement the recommendations made above.

9.0 Literature Cited

- Allan, J.D. 1995. Stream Ecology: Structure and Function of Running Waters. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Bronk, D.A., M. Lomas, P.M. Glibert, K.J. Schukert, and M.P. Sanderson. 2000. Total dissolved nitrogen analysis: comparisons between the persulfate, UV and high temperature oxidation method. *Mar. Chem.* 69:163-178.
- Bugenyi, W.B. and J.S. Balirwa. 2003. East African Species Introductions and Wetland Management: Sociopolitical Dimensions. Pp. 79-103 *in:* Conservation, Ecology, and Management of African Fresh Waters. Crisman, T.L., L.J. Chapman and C.A. Chapman. (eds.). The University Press of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.
- Chapman, D. (ed.) 1996. Water Quality Assessments A Guide to Use of Biota, Sediments and Water in Environmental Monitoring – Second Edition. E & FN Spon, University Press, Cambridge
- Chapman, L.J. and C.A. Chapman. 2003. Fishes of the African Rain Forests: Emerging and Potential Threats to a Little-Known Fauna. Pp. 176-209 *in:* Conservation, Ecology, and Management of African Fresh Waters. Crisman, T.L., L.J. Chapman and C.A. Chapman. (eds.). The University Press of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.
- Clesceri, L.S., A.E. Greenberg, A.D. Eaton. (eds.) 1998. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th ed. APHA, AWWA, WEF. United Book Press, INC., Baltimore, Maryland.
- Crisman, T.L., L.J. Chapman and C.A. Chapman. (eds.) 2003. Conservation, Ecology, and Management of African Fresh Waters. The University Press of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.
- FAO. February, 2001. Fishery Country Profile: The Country of Kenya, accessed March 7, 2006 at http://www.fao.org/fi/fcp/en/KEN/profile.htm.
- Kenya Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS) 2005. Ministry of Planning and National Development. Statistical Abstract.
- Kenya. Ministry of Water Development, Water Quality Monitoring Programme. February 2004. Water Analysis Report Sheet. Bomet Water Laboratory. Samples by J.K. Mereput.
- Kenya. Ministry of Water and Irrigation, Narok District. July 2004. Water Quality Along the Mara River.
- Kenya. Ministry of Water and Irrigation, Narok and Bomet Districts. December 2004. Results of Water Quality Sampling and Analysis. Mara River, Kenya.
- Kitova, H. September, 2001. Presentation paper on the development and management of hydropower resources in Tanzania. Accessed March 8, 2006 at URL

http://www.ich.no/kurs/he2003/Paper-Tanzania-new2.pdf

Nile Basin Initiative Transboundary Environmental Action Project (NTEAP). March 2005 *a*. National Nile Basin Water Quality Monitoring Baseline Report for Kenya, accessed January 26, 2006 at URL

http://www.nileteap.org/docs/publications/WQ/WQ Baselin e report Kenya.pdf

Nile Basin Initiative Transboundary Environmental Action Project (NTEAP). March 2005 *b*. National Nile Basin Water Quality Monitoring Baseline Report for Tanzania, accessed January 26, 2006 at URL

http://www.nileteap.org/docs/publications/WQ/WQ Baselin e report Tanzania.pdf

- Nyoge, E. and J.F. Machiwa. 2004. The influence of land-use patterns in the Ruvu river watershed on water quality in the river system. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 29:1161-1166.
- Redfield, A.C. 1934. On the proportion of organic derivatives in sea water and their relation to the composition of plankton. Pp. 26-77 *in*: James Johnston Memorial Volume. Univ. Press of Liverpool.
- South Africa. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 1996. South African Water Quality Guidelines (second editions). Volume 1: Domestic Use, accessed January 21, 2006 at URL

http://www.dwaf.gov.za/IWQS/wg_guide/domestic.pdf.

- Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) 2002. Economic Survey. Accessed January 10, 2006 at URL <u>http://www.nbs.go.tz/abstract2002/pdf/hotelsandparks.pdf</u>.
- United Republic of Tanzania (URT). September 2001. National Website: Mining accessed March 7, 2006 at URL <u>http://www.tanzania.go.tz/miningf.html</u>.
- United Republic of Tanzania (URT). September 2001. National Website: Natural Resources, accessed March 7, 2006 at URL <u>http://www.tanzania.go.tz/naturalresourcesf.html</u>.
- UNEP. 2002. Africa Environment Outlook: Past, present and future perspectives, accessed March 7, 2006 at URL <u>http://www.unep.org/dewa/Africa/publications/aeo-</u>1/index.htm.
- UNPFA. 2003. State of World Population 2003. Making 1 Billion Count: Investing in Adolescents' Health and Rights, accessed March 6, 2006 at URL <u>http://www.unfpa.org/upload/lib pub file/221 filename sw</u> <u>p2003 eng.pdf</u>
- U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). July 2002. List of Contaminants and their MCLs, accessed January 30, 2006 at URL <u>http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html</u>.
- Valderrama, J.C. 1981. The simultaneous analysis of total nitrogen and total phosphorous in natural waters. *Mar. Chem.* 10:109-122.

- Valiela, I. 1995. Marine ecological processes. Second edition. Springer Science and Business Media, Inc. New York, NY.
- WWF-Tanzania Program Office (TPO). Mara River Basin Management Initiative. December 2004. Water Quality Assessment and Sanitary Survey Report. Water Quality Section, Musoma, Tanzania.
- Yarger, T. 2003. The Mineral Industries of Kenya and Uganda. Pp. 18.1-18.6 *in*: U.S. Geological Survey Minerals Yearbook – 2003 (Volume III. – Area Reports: International), accessed March 7, 2006 at URL <u>http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/country/2003/keug</u> <u>myb03.pdf</u>.
- Zeitler, D and P. Zimmerman. (eds.) 2000. Discover Serengeti, accessed March 2, 2006 at URL <u>http://www.serengeti.org/index.html</u>

Appendix A

Methodologies Employed in this Baseline Assessment

In situ physical and chemical parameters (temperature [°C], electrical conductivity [EC], total dissolved solids [TDS], salinity, dissolved oxygen [DO] and pH) were measured on-site with an YSI 556 handheld multimeter probe. Samples for turbidity, alkalinity, hardness (total, calcium and magnesium) and total and free chlorine were analyzed at the end of the sampling day's activities. Samples for analysis at Florida International University's (FIU) watershed laboratory were collected in 60 ml HDPE bottles. All bottles were acid-cleaned, rinsed twice with distilled water and rinsed twice with sample water prior to collection. Samples for total dissolved nitrogen (TDN), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved organic nitrogen (DON), nitrate + nitrite (N+N), ammonium (NH₄⁺) and orthophosphates (PO₄) were filtered through a 0.45 µm Millipore Nylon 47mm membrane. Samples were preserved with H_2SO_4 to a pH<2, with exception for PO_4 and total suspended solids (TSS). All were maintained on ice or in a freezer until transported to FIU, where they were kept frozen until analysis. Samples for total mercury (THg) and aluminum (AI) were collected in nitric acid-cleaned 125 ml HDPE bottles. Pesticide samples were collected in I-Chem certified 500 ml wide-mouth glass jars.

Alkalinity and hardness (total, calcium and magnesium) were sampled using LaMotte environmental test kits. TDN and TDP were digested following the persulfate oxidation method for the simultaneous digestion of total nitrogen and phosphorous (Valderamma, 1981; Bronk, et al., 2000). DOC was analyzed on a Shimadzu TOC-V_{CSH} employing the high oxidation method. TDN, TDP, N+N, NH_4^+ , and PO_4^- were analyzed on a Technicon RFA. N+N was analyzed by the automated cadmium reduction method; NH_4^+ by the automated phenolate methodology; and PO₄ by the automated ascorbic acid method (Clesceri, et al., 1998). THg and Al⁻ were analyzed at FIU's Southeast Environmental Research Center (SERC) tracemetal laboratory using an HP-4500 ICP-MS. Pesticides were analyzed at SERC's pesticide laboratory using HP-6890/HP-5973 GC-MS. A summary table of methodologies employed in this baseline study is listed in Appendix A.

Table A1: Methodologies and instrumentation used for water sample analysis as part of the May 2005 sampling of the Mara River in Kenya and Tanzania. Italized parameters indicated those that were analyzed in the field, normal text was analyzed back at FIU.

Analysis	Units	Instrument	Specification/Type	APHA Methodology #	APHA Methodology Title
Temperature	°C	YSI 556 Multiprobe System	YSI Precision TM thermistor	2550	Laboratory and Field Method
Specific Conductivity	(mS/cm)	YSI 556 Multiprobe System	4-electrode cell with autorang- ing	2510	Laboratory Method
Conductivity	(mS/cm)	YSI 556 Multiprobe System	4-electrode cell with autorang- ing	2510	Laboratory Method
TDS	(g/L)	YSI 556 Multiprobe System	4-electrode cell with autorang- ing	*Particular method not listed in 20th edition	*Particular method not listed in 20th edition
Salinity	(ppt)	YSI 556 Multiprobe System	Calculated from conductivity and temperature	2520 B.	Electrical Conductivity Method
Dissolved Oxygen [DO] Saturation	(%)	YSI 556 Multiprobe System	Steady state polarographic	4500-O G.	Membrane Electric Method
Dissolved Oxygen [DO] Concentration	(mg/L)	YSI 556 Multiprobe System	Steady state polarographic	4500-O G.	Membrane Electric Method
РН	pH scale	YSI 556 Multiprobe System	Glass combination electrode	4500-H ⁺	Electrometric Method
Turbidity	(NTU)	LaMotte Portable Turbidity Meter Model 2020	Nephelometric turbidity	2130 B.	Nephelometric Method
Total Hardness	(ppm)	LaMotte Individual Test Kit, Hardness	Direct Read Titrator	2340 C.	EDTA Titrimetric Method
Calcium Hardness	(ppm)	LaMotte Individual Test Kit, Hardness (PHT-CM)	Direct Read Titrator	2341 C.	EDTA Titrimetric Method
Magnesium Hardness	(ppm)	LaMotte Individual Test Kit, Hardness (PHT-CM)	Calculated from Total and Calcium Hardness	2342 C.	EDTA Titrimetric Method

Analysis	Units	Instrument	Specification/Type	APHA Methodology #	APHA Methodology Title
Alkalinity	(ppm)	LaMotte Individual Test Kit, Alkalinity	Direct Read Titrator	2320 B.	Titration Method
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	(g/L)	Microscale		2540 D.	TSS Dried at 103-105C
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	(mg/L)	Shimadzu TOC- V_{CSH}		5310 B.	High Temperature Com- bustion Method
Total Dissolved Nitrogen (TDN)	(mg/L)	Technicon RFA		4500-N C.	Persulfate Method
Total Dissolved Phosphorus (TDP)	(mg/L)	Technicon RFA		4500-Р Н.	Manual Digestion and Flow Injection Analysis for Total Phosphorus
Nitrate and Nitrite (N+N)	(mg/L)	Technicon RFA		4500-NO3 F.	Automated Cadmium Re- duction Method
Ammonium (NH4 ⁺)	(mg/L)	Technicon RFA		4500-NH4 G.	Automated Phenate Method
Orthophosphate (PO ₄)	(mg/L)	Technicon RFA		4500-Р F.	Automated Ascorbic Acid Method
Dissolved Organic Nitrogen (DON)	(mg/L)	Technicon RFA	Calculated from TDN, N+N, and NH ₄ ⁺		
Dissolved Organic Phospho- rus (DOP)	(mg/L)	Technicon RFA	Calculated from TDP and PO ₄		
Carbon:Nitrogen Ratio (C:N)	(molar)	Technicon RFA	Calculated from DOC and DON		
Analysis	Units	Instrument	Specification/Type	APHA Methodology #	APHA Methodology Title
Carbon:Phosphorus Ratio (C:P)	(molar)	Technicon RFA	Calculated from DOC and DOP		

Nitrogen:Phosporus (N:P)	(molar)	Technicon RFA	Calculated from DON and DOP		
Total Mercury (THg)	(ppt) (ng/L)	HP-4500 Plus: Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spec- trometry (ICP-MS)		3500-Нg/3125 В.	Mercury/Inductively Cou- pled Plasma/Mass Spec- trometry (ICP/MS) Method
Aluminum (Al)	(ppm) (mg/L)	HP-4500 Plus: Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spec- trometry (ICP-MS)		3500-Al/3125 B.	Mercury/Inductively Cou- pled Plasma/Mass Spec- trometry (ICP/MS) Method
Chlorinated Benzenes	(ppb) (µg/L)	HP-6890/HP-5973 GC-MS	Tetrachlorobenzene 1,2,4,5 Tetrachlorobenzene 1,2,3,4 Pentachlorobenzene Hexa- chlorobenzene		
Hexachlorocyclohexanes (HCH)	(ppb) (µg/L)	HP-6890/HP-5973 GC-MS	Alpha HCH Beta HCH Gamma HCH Delta HCH		
Chlorodane-related Com- pounds	(ppb) (µg/L)	HP-6890/HP-5973 GC-MS	Heptachlor Heptachlor Epox- ide/OCS Alpha Chlordane Gamma Chlordane Methoxy- chlor		
Other Cyclodiend Pesticides	(ppb) (µg/L)	HP-6890/HP-5973 GC-MS	Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin		
Analysis	Units	Instrument	Specification/Type	APHA Methodology #	APHA Methodology Title
Other Chlorinated Pesticides	(ppb) (µg/L)	HP-6890/HP-5973 GC-MS	Chlorpyrifos Mirex Endosul- fan sulfate Endosulfan II		

DDTs and Related Com- pounds	(ppb) (µg/L)	HP-6890/HP-5973 GC-MS	2,4' DDE/ENDOSULFAN I 4,4' DDE 2,4' DDD 4,4' DDD 2,4' DDT 4,4' DDT	
Individual PCBs	(ppb) (µg/L)	HP-6890/HP-5973 GC-MS	PCB8/5 PCB18/17 PCB29 PCB28/31 PCB52 PCB44 PCB66/95 PCB101/90 PCB87/115 PCB153/132 PCB105 PCB138 /160 PCB187 PCB180 PCB170/190 PCB195/208 PCB206 PCB209	

Appendix B

Table B1: Complete dataset for GLOWS May 2005 water quality baseline assessment.

	Country			Kenya Tanzania																		
	Station Name	Enupuiyapui Bridge	Matecha Bridge	Kapkimolwa Bridge	Mulot Bridge	Silibwet Bridge	Tanwek Dam	Tanwek Wastewater	Tanwek Downstream	Bomet Bridge	Emarti Bridge	Old Mara Bridge	Talek Bridge	New Mara Bridge	Tabora	Tarime	Somonche	Mara Mines	Tigite	Kwesawa	Kirumui Bridge	River Mouth
	Station Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Variable	Unit																					
Temperature	С	10.42	16.58	19.89	21.16	19.19	18.01			18.65	22.13	23.07	22.44	22.18	25.67	25.72	25.32	24.93	25.03	23.19	25.54	25.19
pН		4.75	7.45	7.34	7.42	7.18	7.35			7.14	7.53	7.19		6.90	7.16	7.46	7.62	7.62	6.65		6.96	6.93
Alkalinity	ppm	12	31	40	52	24	20	104	20	24	56	56		60	144	56	76	60	100	92	92	100
Conductivity	μ S/cm ²	24	55	85	107	45	46			46	146	119	121	117	258	130	129	148	189	209	235	232
TDS	g/L	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.03	0.04			0.04	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.17	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.15
Salinity	ppt	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.02			0.02	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.11
Turbidity	NTU	14.1	44.5	115	112	110.5	67.5	39.5	77.5	120	55.4	900	1840	1999	180	380	230	550	170	9.9	8	7.1
Total Suspended Solids	g/L	0.03	0.09	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.96	1.38	2.79	0.34	0.47	0.34	0.63	0.38	0.04	0.04	0.02
Total Hardness	ppm	15	24	28	30	19	16	52	16	20	30	40	64	61	68	32	40	36	56	56	40	44
Calcium Hard- ness	ppm	8	14	16	16	14	10	40	12	12	22	24	44	40	40	20	28	20	24	21	21	36
Magnesium Hardness	ppm	7	10	12	14	5	6	12	4	8	8	16	20	21	28	12	12	16	32	35	19	8

	Station Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Dissolved Oxygen	%	32.6	75	73.9	71.7	69.5	77.6			71.3	72.3	80	67.8	61.6	82.8	86.4	85.5	87.7	78.5	5.7	16.4	14.6
Total Dissolved Nitrogen	mg/L	0.60	0.81	1.02	1.24	14.94	1.43	1.02	1.34	1.04	1.06	0.79	0.34	0.35	0.54	1.42	0.37	0.48	0.62	0.44	0.57	0.55
Dissolved Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	0.56	0.23	0.17	0.44	5.58	0.17	0.32	0.12	0.36	0.37	0.36	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.18	0.26	0.15	0.09	0.41	0.54	0.37
Nitrate	mg/L	0.02	0.55	0.83	0.78	6.19	1.21	0.70	1.21	0.68	0.69	0.43	0.08	0.08	0.12	1.21	0.11	0.32	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ammonium	mg/L	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	3.17	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.18
Total Dissolved Phosphorous	mg/L	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	1.21	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03
Phosphate	mg/L	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	1.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02
Nitrogen: Phos- phorous Ratios	molar	79.4	101.5	24.9	143.7	199.8	46.4	106.8	9.9	95.0	130.2	15.1	19.8	23.3	16.5	10.8	6.7	0.0	14.5	47.7	67.6	68.0
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	18.99	3.13	4.33	4.75	3.17	2.74	3.04	1.92	3.07	7.88	5.04	4.10	3.71	7.55	3.49	7.74	2.64	2.33	11.81	14.52	13.06
Carbon: Nitrogen Ratios	molar	39.3	15.8	29.4	12.5	0.7	18.4	11.1	19.1	10.1	25.0	16.2	18.7	16.4	23.5	22.3	35.3	20.9	29.8	33.5	31.3	41.5
Carbon: Phos- phorous Ratios	molar	3119.5	1606.6	6733.1	1796.5	5132.4	851.6	1188.6	189.5	957.0	3251.1	245.1	369.7	381.9	386.2	239.4	238.1	0.0	433.6	1601.5	2113.1	2819.8
Total Mercury	ppt	2.76		2.44			1.69			3.04	2.13	4.53	11.20	4.61	2.04	1.63	2.39	1.86	2.48	1.67	1.09	2.73
Aluminium	ppb	267		866			586			571	667	<mark>4094</mark>	6691	8194	1981	1955	1618	2799	1836	118	72	60
Pesticides										See	e Table	5										

Appendix C

Table C1: Water quality dataset for Kenya modified from NTEAP (2005a) with comparisons to data collected for the GLOWS March 2006 water quality baseline assessment. Grey shading indicates GLOWS sampling sites similar to those presented by NTEAP.

	Station Name	Mulot Bridge	ILB2 Amala at Mu- lot	Bomet Bridge	ILA3-Nyangores at Bomet	ILA3-Nyangores at Bomet	ILA3-Nyangores at Bomet	ILA3-Nyangores at Bomet	Mara-Transmara/ Narok Border	Mara	ILA3 Mara					
Variable	Unit															
Date	dd/mm/yy	2/5/05	31/8/01	23/3/02	22/7/03	28/11/03	5/6/04	7/9/04	3/5/05	30/9/02	11/4/01	31/8/01	23/3/02	14/4/01	30/8/01	22/7/03
pН		7.42		6.58					7.14							
Total Nitro- gen	(mg/L)		0.70					0.58				0.81			1.12	
Total Dis- solved Nitro-		1.24							1.04							
gen	(mg/L)		0.67				0.66	0.88				0.67			0.82	
Nitrate	(mg/L)	0.78	0.44			0.46	1.24		0.68		2.13	0.67		1.86	0.57	
Ammonium	(mg/L)	0.02	0.50	0.60					0.00			0.14	0.92		0.12	
Nitrite	(mg/L)		0.02	0.11		0.05	0.00				0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	
Total Phos- phorous	(mg/L)		0.08	0.10	0.21	0.04	0.20			0.04	0.38	0.06	0.11	0.89	0.06	0.29
Total Par- ticulate Phosphorous	(mg/L)		0.06												0.03	
Total Dis- solved Phos-		0.01							0.01							
phorous	(mg/L)		0.02	0.07	0.05		0.41					0.01	0.08		0.03	0.03
Phosphate	(mg/L)	0.01		0.09	0.04	0.04	0.00		0.00				0.06			0.03

Table C2: Water quality dataset from the Kenyan Mara with comparisons to data collected for the GLOWS March 2006 water quality baseline assessment. Grey shading indicates GLOWS sampling sites, italized data are from the Narok District Water Office (2004) and **bold** data are modified from the Narok and Bomet District Water Offices (2004) and from the Bomet District Water Office (2004).

	Station Name	Matecha Bridge	Matecha Bridge	Matecha Bridge	Matecha Bridge	Matecha Bridge	Kapkimolwa Bridge	Kapkimolwo Bridge	Kapkimolwo Bridge	Kapkimolwo Bridge	Kapkimolwo Bridge
Variable	Unit										
Date	dd/mm/yy	2/5/05	21/7/04	29/7/04	5/8/04	16/12/04	2/5/05	21/7/04	29/7/04	5/8/04	16/12/04
Temperature	С	16.58	16.9	14.2	15.1	19.6	19.89	17.7	15.1	15.2	19.2
рН		7.45	7.4	7.3	7.4	7.1	7.34	7.5	7.3	7.4	6.9
Colour	(Pt/Co)					12					13
Alkalinity	ppm	31	21	13	18	40	40	43	16	20	40
Conductivity	μ S/cm ²	55	310)	40	50	110	85	160	50	110	110
TDS	g/L	0.04	0.15	0.02	0.04	61	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.05	60
Turbidity	NTU	44.5				180	115				180
Total Hardness	ppm	24	9	7	7	20	28	23	10	12	22
Calcium Hardness	ppm	14	<50	<50	<50	<50	16	<50	<50	<50	<50
Chloride	(mg/L)					<0.5					<0.5
Bromine	(mg/L)		0.31	0.1	0.2	0.2		0.29	0.28	0.13	0.27
Fluoride	(mg/L)		1.49	0.32	0.29	0.75		0.61	0.38	0.36	0.81
Nitrate	(mg/L)	0.03	<1	<1	<1	1.1	0.02	<1	<1	<1	1.1
Nitrite	(mg/L)		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01
Sulphate	(mg/L)		5.4	6.4	5.6	11		16.2	8.8	7.5	12
Iron	(mg/L)		0.07	0.07	0.09	0.1		0.07	0.1	0.07	0.1
Copper	(mg/L)					< 0.05					< 0.05

Table C2: Continued.

	Station Name	Mulot Bridge	Mulot Bridge	Mulot Bridge	Mulot Bridge	Mulot Bridge	Emarti Bridge	Emarti Bridge	Emarti Bridge	Emarti Bridge
Variable	Unit									
Date	dd/mm/yy	2/5/05	21/7/04	29/7/04	5/8/04	16/12/04	2/5/05	21/7/04	29/7/04	16/12/04
Temperature	C	21.16	18.1			19.5	22.13	17.6	15.3	19.5
рН		7.42	7.6	7.4	7.7	6.9	7.53	7.3	7.4	6.8
Colour	(Pt/Co)					15				15
Alkalinity	ppm	52	36	19	29	42	56	39	22	46
Conductivity	μ S/cm ²	107	160	70	130	120	146	330	280	120
TDS	g/L	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.16	0.13	0.08
Turbidity	NTU	112				200	55.4			300
Total Hardness	ppm	30	19	14	14	21	30	21	15	22
Calcium Hardness	ppm	16	<50	<50	<50	<50	22	<50	<50	<50
Chloride	(mg/L)					<0.5				<0.5
Bromine	(mg/L)		0.09	0.43	0.18	0.28		0.2	0.15	0.3
Fluoride	(mg/L)		0.7	0.4	0.43	0.8		0.96	0.49	0.98
Nitrate	mg/L	0.02	<1	<1	<1	1.3	0.00	<1	<1	1.5
Nitrite	(mg/L)		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.02		<0.01	<0.01	0.02
Sulphate	(mg/L)		38.2	7.3	8	12.3		19.8	21.8	12.3
Iron	(mg/L)		0.07	0.06	0.08	0.11		0.05	0.09	
Copper	(mg/L)					C0.05				

Table C2: Continued.

	Station Name	Old Mara Bridge	Old Mara Bridge	Old Mara Bridge	New Mara Bridge	New Mara Bridge	New Mara Bridge	Mara Serena	Mara Serena	ILB2 Nyan- gores River (Opposite In- take)	ILB2 Nyan- gores River (In- take Screen)	1LB2 Nyango- res River (T.M.Const.Dis charge En- trance)	ILB2 Nyango- res River (T.M.Const.SW Discharge Pt.)
Variable	Unit												
Date	dd/mm/yy	6/5/04	15/12/04	16/11/04	6/5/04	15/12/04	16/11/04	15/12/04	16/11/04	5/2/04	5/2/04	5/2/04	5/2/04
Temperature	С	23.07	20	18.3	22.18	20.1	19.2	20.6	19	23	23	23	24
рН		7.19	6.5	7.2	6.90	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.5	8	8	7.9	7.6
Colour	(Pt/Co)		18	10		20	10	20	13	58	58	60	64
Alkalinity	ppm	56	48	46	60	50	42	48	39	20	20.1	20	19.8
Conductivity	mS/cm^2	119	130	110	117	130	130	130	120	71.4	70.9	76	117.3
TDS	g/L	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.1	0.06	0.1	0.05				
Turbidity	NTU	900	340	50	1999	350	120	350	100	22.8	22.6	23	26.4
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L									8.8	8.9	6.8	6.7
Total Hardness	ppm	40	23	20	61	25	21	25	21	13	12.9	13	13.1
Calcium Hardness	ppm	24	<50	<50	40	<50	<50	<50	<50	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.5
Magnesium Hardness	ppm	16			21					2	2.1	2.2	2.1
Chloride	(mg/L)		<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.8	3.7	4.2	4
Bromine	(mg/L)		0.32	0.32		0.2	0.2	0.32	0.32				
Fluoride	(mg/L)		0.97	0.5		0.98	0.98	0.98	0.94				
Nitrate	mg/L	0.00	1.3	1	0.00	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.1				
Nitrite	(mg/L)		0.02	0.01		0.04	0.02	0.04	0.01				
Sulphate	(mg/L)		11	12.9		14	12.3	13.9	11				
Iron	(mg/L)			0.12		0.15		0.16	0.13				
Copper	(mg/L)			<0.05		<0.05		<0.05	<0.05				
Mangenese	(mg/L)									< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Free CO ₂	(mg/L)									2.4	2	2.4	2.3
Oil & Grease	(mg/L)									Nil	Nil	Nil	0.1

Appendix D

Table D1a: Water quality dataset for the Tanzanian Mara River from the Tanzanian/Kenyan border site to the Mara Mine Gauging Station. Italized data is from WWF-TPO (2004) and is compared to data collected for the GLOWS March 2006 water quality baseline assessment as indicated by grey shading.

	Station Name	New Mara Bridge	TZ/KNY-Border (Mara River)	Confluence of Borogonja Stream and Mara River	Borogonja Stream	Kogatende Bridge	Tabora	Tabora Stream	Tarime	Tarime/Serengeti Bridge	Mara Mines	Mara Mine Gaug- ing Station						
Variable	Unit																	
Date	dd/mm/yy		23/11/04	23/11/04	23/11/04	23/11/04		24/11/03		01/03/04	1	21/05/04	14/02/04	17/01/04	17/01/04	21/01/04	22/01/04	27/01/04
Temperature	С	22.18	23.4	24.1	27	24.4	25.67	20.6	25.72	25.4	24.93	24.2	32.8	23.8	24.3	25.1	24.4	28.3
pН		6.90	7.23	7.34	8	7.5	7.16	7.9	7.46	8.18	7.62	9.13	8.15	7.63	7.98	7.51	7.43	8.52
Colour	(Pt/Co)									425								
Alkalinity	ppm	60	54	76	50	64	144	82	56	60	60	102	116	42	42	84	110	64
Conductivity	mS/cm^2	117	170	186	180	170	258	200	130	377	148	334	504	127.5	131.8	494	427	222
TDS	g/L	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.17	0.10	0.08	0.19	0.10	0.17	0.25	0.06	0.07	0.25	213	111
Salinity	ppt	0.06					0.12		0.06		0.07							
Turbidity	NTU	1999					180		380	90	550							
Total Sus- pended Sol- ids	g/L	2.79					0.34		0.47		0.63	0.18	0.05	0.14	0.34	0.11	0.09	0.01
PV	(mg/L)		84	112	104	152		124		6								
Total Hard- ness	ppm	61	26	35	24	39	68	32	32		36	36	74	26	25	56	30	31
Calcium Hardness	ppm	40	8	10.8	6.4	12.4	40	7.6	20		20	10	22	6.4	8.8	2.4	3.2	10.8
Magnesium Hardness	ppm	21	0.15	0.2	0.2	0.2	28	0.32	12		16	0.27	0.46	0.24	0.07	0.63	0.54	0.58
Chloride	(mg/L)		17.02	25.52	19.14	12.9		58.23		24.09		19.85	35.45	98.55	39.00	24.79	28.34	17.75

Table D1a:	Continue	ed																
	Station Name	New Mara Bridge	TZ/KNY-Border (Mara River)	Confluence of Borogonja Stream and Mara River	Borogonja Stream	Kogatende Bridge	Tabora	Tabora Stream	Tarime	Tarime/Serengeti Bridge	Mara Mines	Mara Mine Gaug- ing Station						
Variable	Unit																	
Dissolved Oxygen	%	61.6	5.83	7.08	6.74	6.81	82.8	6.81	86.4		87.7							
Total Dis- solved Ni- trogen	mg/L	0.35	3	2.9	0.8	2	0.54	1.1	1.42		0.48							
Ammonium	mg/L	0.00	0.99	0.29	0.19	0.2	0.05	0.31	0.02		0.02							
Nitrite	(mg/L)		0.6	0.4	0.55	0.7		0.9				0.25	0.27	0.95	0.46	0.44	0.48	0.57
Total Phos- phorous	(mg/L)		0.4	0.25	0.44	0.25		0.2				0.08						
Total Par- ticulate Phosphorous	(mg/L)		0.28	0.27	0.26	0.27		1.41				1.37	0.34	1.07	1.05	0.95	4.47	3.03
Silica	(mg/L)		0.08	0.1	0.09	0.1		0.4				0.45	0.32	0.44	0.32	0.29	0.47	0.47
Dissolved Reactive Silica	(mg/L)											12.60	4.44	5.27	6.04	11.54	11.59	5.87

Table D1b: Water quality dataset for the Tanzanian Mara River from the Tigite Bridge and Kirumi Bridge stations. Italized data is from WWF-TPO (2004) and is compared to data collected for the GLOWS March 2006 water quality baseline assessment as indicated by grey shading.

	Station Name	Tigite	Tigite Stream	Kirumi Bridge													
Variable	Unit																
Date	dd/mm/yy		1/3/02		21/7/99	21/7/99	21/7/99	6/8/99	2/9/99	2/9/99	24/11/99	7/12/99	8/11/99	8/12/99	10/9/03	6/10/03	10/12/03
Temperature	C	25.03	25.4	25.54					28	24.1	25.9	28.7	24.4	23.1	24.1	25.1	
pН		6.65	7.67	6.96											7.91	7.66	7.22
Colour	(Pt/Co)		617		1000	100	NIL	128	200	145	40	45	120	130			
Alkalinity	ppm	100	50	92	130	104	134	120	110	102	120		118		80	74	80
Conductivity	<i>m</i> S/cm ²	189	258	235	575	233	255	262.4	285	240	242.8	145	290	156	182.6	189	506
TDS	g/L	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.29	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.15	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.25
Salinity	ppt	0.09		0.11													
Turbidity	NTU	170	140	8	500	20	NIL	80	40	30	15	15	NIL	65			
Sed/Load	(T/d)																0.00
T. Coliform	(per 100 mL)															840	
F. Coliform	(per 100 mL)															110	
PV	(mg/L)		9.6		4.8	5	3.8	2.8	24	35		4.03		5.1		54	
Total Hard- ness	ppm	56		40											80	43	
Calcium Hardness	ppm	24		21											9.2	10	
Magnesium Hardness	ppm	32.00		19.00											0.39	0.04	
Chloride	(mg/L)		12.05			19.14	39.70	14.18	22.60	20.56	24.20	20.07	22.60	19.00	17.02	16.00	14.18
Dissolved Oxygen	%	78.50		16.40								7.08		9.17			4.70
Ammonium	mg/L	0.02		0.02												52.76	234.68
Nitrite	(mg/L)																108.71

Table D1b:	Continued																
	Station Name	Tigite	Tigite Stream	Kirumi Bridge													
Variable	Unit																
Total Phos- phorous	(mg/L)															15.07	54.46
Total Par- ticulate																	
Phosphorous	(mg/L)														35.00	96.92	92.79
Silica	(mg/L)														24.00	17.48	41.79
Dissolved Reactive Silica	(mg/L)															12.32	5.21
Sulphate	(mg/L)															5.76	2.44

Table D1c: Water quality dataset for the Tanzanian Mara River from the Mara River Mouth site from June 1999 to December 2000. Italized data is from WWF-TPO (2004) and is compared to data collected for the GLOWS March 2006 water quality baseline assessment as indicated by grey shading.

	Station Name	River Mouth	Mara River Mouth														
Variable	Unit																
Date	dd/mm/yy		6/8/99	6/8/99	6/8/99	7/8/99	28/7/00	3/8/00	21/8/00	21/8/00	13/9/00	20/9/00	25/9/00	9/10/00	16/10/00	23/10/00	16/12/00
Temperature	С	25.19			24.2	23.5	23	23.6	24.2	26	25.1	24.9	24.8	25.5	26.7	24.8	26.3
рН		6.93			7.1	7.3	7.55	6.27	7.6	8.9	7.34	7.16	7.12	7.02	7.1	6.75	6.84
Colour	(Pt/Co)		120	100		105	120	75		500	300	100	200	140	150	NIL	750
Alkalinity	ppm	100	120	115	78	74	78	58		66	58	62	64	72	68	70	120
Conductivity	mS/cm^2	232	180.8	168.2	222	207	176	119.9	213	139.5	163.1	139.9	178.5	185.5	168.2	161.9	337
TDS	g/L	0.15	90.4	84.1	111	103.5	80	85	106	69.8	81.7	70.1	89.4	93	84.1	81	168.7
Turbidity	NTU	7.1	40	30		20	20	15		250	120	60	80	30	30	NIL	80
Total Sus- pended Solids	g/L	0.02			0.012												
T. Coliform	(per 100 mL)				280	2000		8000	<1	<1	T. N. T. C	1600	20	40	<1	20	980
F. Coliform	(per 100 mL)				90	100		<1	<1	<1	200	800	<1	<1	<1	<1	200
PV	(mg/L)		3.4	2.8	25.16	24	9.8	62			4.2	7.4	10.4	6	7.2	7	
Total Hardness	ppm	44			44	36	48	49	39	30	33	30	29	32	28	36	82
Calcium Hard- ness	ppm	36			7.6	9.2		11.2	8.4	9.6	10	10	9.6	11.6	10	9.2	17.2
Magnesium Hardness	ppm	8			0.54	0.32		0.51	0.44	0.15	0.20	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.07	0.32	0.95
Chloride	(mg/L)		12.05	9.98	41.83	31.20	45.47	33.32			25.52	19.14	24.82	21.27	19.14	33.32	33.32
Table D1c: Conti	nued																

Dissolved Oxy-	0%	14.6											
gen	70	14.0				3.2		4.3	2.5	2.1	3.7	2.5	
Nitrite	(mg/L)			0.00									
Total Phospho-													
rous	(mg/L)			0.11									
Phosphate	mg/L	0.02		0.08									
Silica	(mg/L)			0.36									

Table D1d: Water quality dataset for the Tanzanian Mara River from the Mara River Mouth site from January 2001 to June 2004. Italized data is modified from WWF-TPO (2004) and is compared to data collected for the GLOWS March 2006 water quality baseline assessment as indicated by grey shading.

	Station Name	River Mouth	Mara River Mouth																			
Variable	Unit																					
Date	dd/mm/yy		25/1/01	26/1/01	20/4/01	22/5/01	22/5/01	23/5/01	30/6/01	2/7/01	27/7/01	20/8/01	27/11/02	8/1/03	13/01/03	4/3/03	8/7/03	10/9/03	10/12/03	29/5/04	3/6/04	10/6/04
Temperature	С	25.19		22.4			24.8	24.8		25	21		27.3	24.7	24.7	26.7	25.1	24.1	24.1	23.8	23.8	
рН		6.93		7.03			6.5	6.75		7.29	6.99		8	7.6	7.6	7.56	6.67	6.98	7.22	6.82	6.59	6.7
Colour	(Pt/Co)		330	330		200		200	100	100	NIL	500										
Alkalinity	ppm	100	86	86	66	53	68	53	70	70	60	66	74	110	110	80	76	80	80			
Conductivity	μ S/cm ²	232	240	240	224	211	173.2	211	162	162	135.4	139.5		292	292	204	172	182.6	506	182.2	182	183
TDS	g/L	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07		0.15	0.15	0.20	0.09	0.09	0.25	0.09	0.09	0.09
Turbidity	NTU	7.1	70	70		40		40	25	25	NIL	250							15.2			
Total Sus- pended Sol- ids	g/L	0.02													0.00				5.21			
T. Coliform	(per 100 mL)			1400			100			60						40	200					
F. Coliform	(per 100 mL)			120			300			40						10	80					
PV	(mg/L)				34	16	5.2	16	31.2	31.2	10.6			14	14		37.5					
Total Hard- ness	ppm	44		48			111	63		25	15		19	50	50	43	40	39				
Calcium Hardness	ppm	36		13.6			18.8	12		16	4.4		6.4	14	14	8.8	11.2	9.2				
Magnesium Hardness	ppm	8		0.34			1.56			0.8	0.10		0.07	0.36	0.36	0.49	0.29	0.39				

Table D1d: C	ontinued																			
Chloride	(mg/L)		19.14	19.14	14.18	16.31	23.38	16.31	16.31	16.31		17.73	26.92	26.92	13.49	12.76	17.02	14.18		
BOD5	(mg/L)															71.97				
COD	(mg/L)															114.24				
Ammonium	mg/L	14.6															0.053			
Nitrite	(mg/L)															0.01	0.02	0.23		
Total Phos- phorous	(mg/L)													0.34		0.17	0.10	3.14		
Phosphate	mg/L	0.02												0.08		0.08	0.02	0.00		
Silica	(mg/L)																12.32	2.44		

Notes
Notes

Notes

Global Water for Sustainability Program



Florida International University

Biscayne Bay Campus 3000 NE 151St. ACI-267 North Miami, FL 33181 USA Phone: (+1-305) 919-4112

