1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Variable responses within epiphytic and benthic microalgal communities to nutrient enrichment
6	
7	
8	Anna R. Armitage*, Thomas A. Frankovich, and James W. Fourqurean
9	
10	Department of Biological Sciences and Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida
11	International University, Miami, Florida, USA 33199
12	
13	
14	*Corresponding author contact information:
15	Email: armitage@fiu.edu
16	Phone: 305-348-7317
17	Fax: 305-348-4096
18	
19	This paper has not been submitted elsewhere in identical or similar form, nor will it be during the
20	first three months after its submission to Hydrobiologia.
21	
22	Key words: Chemotaxonomy, HPLC, Florida Bay, microphytobenthos, seagrass, subtropical
23	estuaries

24 Abstract

25 We evaluated how changes in nutrient supply altered the composition of epiphytic and 26 benthic microalgal communities in a *Thalassia testudinum* (turtle grass) bed in Florida Bay. We 27 established study plots at four sites in the bay and added nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) to the 28 sediments in a factorial design. After 18, 24, and 30 months of fertilization we measured the 29 pigment concentrations in the epiphytic and benthic microalgal assemblages using high 30 performance liquid chromatography. Overall, the epiphytic assemblage was P-limited in the 31 eastern portion of the bay, but each phototrophic group displayed unique spatial and temporal 32 responses to N and P addition. Epiphytic chlorophyll a, an indicator of total microalgal load, and 33 epiphytic fucoxanthin, an indicator of diatoms, increased in response to P addition at one eastern 34 bay site, decreased at another eastern bay site, and were not affected by P or N addition at two 35 western bay sites. Epiphytic zeaxanthin, an indicator of the cyanobacterial/coralline red algae 36 complex, and epiphytic chlorophyll b, an indicator of green algae, generally increased in 37 response to P addition at both eastern bay sites but did not respond to P or N addition in the 38 western bay. Benthic chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*, fucoxanthin, and zeaxanthin showed complex 39 responses to N and P addition in the eastern bay, suggesting that the benthic assemblage is 40 limited by both N and P. Benthic assemblages in the western bay were variable over time and 41 displayed few responses to N or P addition. The contrasting nutrient limitation patterns between 42 the epiphytic and benthic communities in the eastern bay suggest that altering nutrient input to the bay, as might occur during Everglades restoration, can shift microalgal community structure, 43 which may subsequently alter food web support for upper trophic levels. 44

45 Introduction

46 Habitat management balances multiple ecological, social, and economic objectives (Arthur et 47 al., 2004; Sklar et al., 2005) and often requires trade-offs (Brodziak et al., 2004; Pejchar et al., 48 2005), as management policies can benefit some community components and simultaneously 49 negatively impact others. Understanding the links among ecosystem components and interpreting 50 community-level responses to ecosystem changes can increase the overall success of 51 management strategies by facilitating the prediction of indirect impacts of land-use projects and 52 increasing the potential for positive community-level impacts. 53 Hydrological management in watersheds and coastal marshes can alter the supply of 54 terrestrially-derived compounds, particularly nutrients such as nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), 55 to nearshore communities (Valiela et al., 1997). In the context of habitat management, nutrient 56 limitation within the primary producer community is often assumed to be uniform, but in coastal 57 habitats, macro-producers such as seagrasses and macroalgae often show different responses to 58 N and P enrichment (Fong et al., 1993; Udy & Dennison, 1997; Ferdie & Fourgurean, 2004; 59 Armitage et al., 2005). Less is known about nutrient limitation within epiphytic or benthic 60 microalgal communities, where biomass or production of typically diverse communities are 61 usually represented by whole community estimates (Sullivan & Currin, 2000). Nitrogen and 62 phosphorus enrichment has been associated with shifts towards cyanobacterial assemblages in 63 benthic estuarine habitats (Pinckney et al., 1995; Armitage & Fong, 2004), particularly when diatoms are limited by silica (Rocha et al., 2002) or grazing pressure (Cuker, 1983). Green algae 64 (Chlorophyta) are often palatable and limited by grazing but are also fast-growing and may 65 respond rapidly to increased nutrient input (Valiela et al., 1997; Lotze et al., 2000). Epiphytic 66 67 and benthic microalgal communities have distinct compositions and patterns of nutrient

limitation may vary between these assemblages. These communities often provide support for 68 69 higher trophic levels (Moncreiff & Sullivan, 2001), and shifts in microalgal community 70 composition can have important implications for food web dynamics (Armitage & Fong, 2004). 71 Implementation of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan in south Florida might 72 change freshwater input and associated nutrient supply to Florida Bay, which is directly 73 connected to the southern border of the Everglades. Previous work in the Bay and the Florida 74 Keys has demonstrated that increased nutrient input can alter the relative composition of seagrass 75 and macroalgal assemblages, although the degree of alteration depends on the nutrient 76 availability status of the area (Fourgurean et al., 1995; Ferdie & Fourgurean, 2004; Armitage et 77 al., 2005). The objective of this study was to further evaluate how increased nutrient supply 78 might alter marine primary producer communities by focusing on nutrient enrichment responses 79 within the epiphytic and benthic microalgal communities. We hypothesized that nutrient 80 enrichment would shift microalgal community composition, increasing the abundance of faster 81 growing groups including palatable green algae and less palatable cyanobacteria.

82 Methods

83 To evaluate the epiphytic and benthic microalgal responses to N and P enrichment over time within Everglades National Park in Florida Bay, we used a three-way ANOVA design, where the 84 85 factors were P addition, N addition, and sampling date. In October 2002 we established four 86 study sites (all depths ≤ 2 m) as part of a long-term enrichment study (Armitage et al., 2005). 87 The two eastern sites (Duck Key and Bob Allen Keys Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) 88 sites, Fig. 1) were characterized by a sparse, short *Thalassia testudinum* Banks ex König canopy 89 with some calcareous green macroalgae, primarily Penicillus capitatus Lamarck and P. 90 lamourouxii Decaisne. These two sites occurred in an area of severe P-limitation (Fourgurean &

21 Zieman, 2002; Armitage et al., 2005). The two western sites (Nine Mile Bank, Sprigger Bank 22 LTER site) were located in a region that may experience both N- and P-limitation but varied in 23 their vegetation characteristics. Nine Mile Bank featured a dense, tall *T. testudinum* canopy with 24 few macroalgae. Sprigger Bank was characterized by a dense and diverse macroalgal community 25 mixed with the seagrasses *Syringodium filiforme* Kützing (manatee grass) and *T. testudinum*. At 26 each site we established 24 0.25 m² study plots demarcated with a PVC frame secured to the 27 benthos at one meter intervals.

98 We randomly assigned treatments [control (C), nitrogen only (N), phosphorus only (P), both 99 nitrogen and phosphorus (NP)] to six plots per site (at the Sprigger Bank LTER site, n = 3 per 100 treatment due to the loss of 12 plots from erosion and boat disturbance over the course of the 101 study). Bimonthly fertilizer applications began in October 2002. Nitrogen was added in the form 102 of slow release nitrogen fertilizer (Polyon[™], Pursell Technologies Inc., 38-0-0) and phosphorus 103 as granular phosphate rock (Multifos[™], IMC Global, Ca₃(PO₄)₂, 18% P). Loading rates of 1.43 g N m⁻² dav⁻¹ and 0.18 g P m⁻² dav⁻¹ (molar N:P ratio 17.6:1) were selected based on potential 104 105 sewage loading rates (MCSM, 2001) and previous studies in the region (Ferdie & Fourgurean, 106 2004; Armitage et al., 2005). We sprinkled the fertilizer evenly on the sediment surface and 107 gently worked it into the sediment by hand. Sediment in the control plots was similarly disturbed 108 but no fertilizer was added. Benthic fertilizer applications ensured accessibility of nutrients to 109 both above-ground and benthic primary producers (Ferdie & Fourgurean, 2004; Mutchler et al., 110 2004; Armitage et al., 2005). The plots and experimental treatments in this study are the same plots sampled for a recent study (Armitage et al., 2005), but all samples collected for this 111 112 experiment are independent of the previous study.

113 In February 2004, August 2004, and February 2005, we collected one T. testudinum short-114 shoot from each plot and removed the epiphytes by gently scraping the seagrass leaves with a 115 razor blade. At Sprigger Bank, T. testudinum was not present in all plots. Leaf morphometrics 116 were measured to calculate two-sided leaf area. We measured shoot density in each plot and calculated leaf area index (LAI = cm^2 seagrass leaf m^{-2} habitat). We also collected a 2.5 cm 117 118 diameter, 1 cm deep core haphazardly located within each plot. Due to logistical constraints, 119 sediment cores were collected only on the two 2004 sampling dates. Epiphytes and sediments 120 were freeze dried and stored at -20° in the dark until further analysis. 121 We determined the relative abundance of major phototrophic groups with high performance 122 liquid chromatography (HPLC), which measures the relative concentrations of taxa-specific 123 indicator pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, fucoxanthin, and zeaxanthin) (Pinckney et al., 124 1995). Pigments were extracted from freeze-dried epiphytes and sediments with 90% acetone for 125 at least 12 hours at -20°C. An ion-pairing solution (1.00 M ammonium acetate) was added to the 126 filtered extracts at a ratio of 4 parts extract: 1 part ammonium acetate just prior to injection. 127 Extracts (250 µl) were injected into a Hewlett Packard 1090 HPLC equipped with a monomeric reverse-phase C₁₈ column (Rainin-Microsorb-MV, 100 x 4.6 mm, 3 µm) and a polymeric 128 129 reverse-phase C₁₈ column (Vydac, 201TP, 250 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm) in series and a photodiode array 130 detector set at 440 nm. Solvents and flow rates followed Pinckney et al. (1999) and the column 131 temperature was 40°C. Pigments were identified based on retention times and comparisons with 132 pure standards extracted from phytoplankton cultures in 90% acetone (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll 133 b) or 100% ethanol (fucoxanthin, zeaxanthin) obtained from DHI Water & Environment (Denmark). Epiphyte load is represented as μg pigment cm⁻² of seagrass leaf; benthic load is μg 134

135 pigment cm⁻² of sediment. Microalgal biomass is represented as the average pigment

136 concentration (mg) m^{-2} of habitat. Epiphytic biomass is (µg pigment cm⁻² seagrass

137 leaf)*(LAI)/1000, and benthic biomass is (μ g pigment cm⁻² sediment)*10.

In February 2005 we collected one additional *T. testudinum* leaf from each plot, removed the epiphytes, and preserved them in 6% Lugol's solution. We qualitatively verified composition of the microalgal assemblages by examining the cells at 100x under a light microscope and noting the cell types present.

142 All data were tested for normality and variances for homoscedasticity using the F_{max} test and log transformed if necessary to conform to the assumptions of ANOVA. We performed a three-143 144 way ANOVA with Type III Sums of Squares for unequal sample size within each site separately 145 for epiphyte and benthic pigment concentrations. The three fixed factors were date (3 dates for 146 epiphytes, 2 dates for benthic pigments), P addition (\pm P), and N addition (\pm N). Dependent 147 variables were epiphyte loads, represented by µg chlorophyll a, fucoxanthin, zeaxanthin, and chlorophyll $b \text{ cm}^{-2}$ of seagrass leaf or cm⁻² of sediment. T. testudinum was not present in all plots 148 149 at Sprigger Bank ($n \le 2$), resulting in insufficient replication for ANOVA, and so means and 150 standard errors are reported for epiphytic loads at that site.

151 **Results**

Qualitative microscopic examination indicated that the most common components of the epiphytic microalgal community were diatoms and calcareous red algae. Hence, we interpreted fucoxanthin concentration to primarily represent diatom abundance, though a few dinoflagellate cysts were also noted at most sites (excepting Nine Mile Bank). Diatom species lists for this region are presented in Frankovich et al. (this issue). At Sprigger Bank, part of the fucoxanthin signal came from brown algae (e.g., *Cladosiphon occidentalis* Kylin), particularly in the February samplings. The zeaxanthin signal represented a red algal/cyanobacterial complex. Most 159 of the red algae were encrusting calcareous forms (e.g., *Melobesia membranacea* (Esper)

160 Lamouroux, Hydrolithon farinosum (J.V. Lamouroux) Penrose & Y.M. Chamberlain), though

161 uncalcified forms (e.g., Polysiphonia binneyi Harvey, Ceramium brevizonatum var. caraibicum

162 H.E. Petersen & Børgesen in Børgesen) were also present. The cyanobacteria were primarily

163 Lyngbya spp. and unidentified sheathed filaments. The chlorophyll b signal represented green

164 microalgae (e.g., Ulvella lens P. Crouan & H. Crouan).

165 Comparisons of epiphytic and benthic microalgal biomass (as represented by mg chlorophyll 166 $a \text{ m}^{-2}$ habitat) in control plots suggest that benthic microalgal biomass was 6-10 times higher 167 than epiphytic biomass at all sites (Fig. 2). Epiphytic biomass (mg m⁻² habitat) was higher at 168 Nine Mile and Sprigger Banks than at the other sites (Fig. 2a). Benthic biomass was lowest at 169 Duck and similar between the other three sites (Fig. 2b).

170 At Duck Key, each pigment responded differently to date and nutrient addition treatments. In 171 the epiphyte community, a significant interaction between date and P addition for chlorophyll a 172 (df = 2, F = 16.336, p < 0.001) was caused by a large increase in response to P addition in 173 February 2004 but not on any other date (Fig. 3a). A significant P*N interaction (df = 1, F =174 8.412, p = 0.005) was driven by consistently lower chlorophyll *a* concentrations in plots that 175 received both N and P compared to P only treatments. A significant interaction between date and 176 P addition for epiphytic fucoxanthin (df = 2, F = 14.307, p < 0.001) was driven by a P-induced 177 increase in February 2004, a P-induced decrease in August 2004, and no nutrient effects in 178 February 2005 (Fig. 3b). N addition did not affect epiphytic fucoxanthin. A significant 179 interaction between date and P addition for epiphytic zeaxanthin (df = 2, F = 15.860, p < 0.001) 180 was caused by a larger response to P addition in the February samplings than in August (Fig. 3c). 181 Epiphytic zeaxanthin was not affected by N addition. A significant date*P interaction for

182 epiphytic chlorophyll b (df = 2, F = 14.100, p < 0.001) was driven by the largest response to P 183 addition occurring in February 2004 and the smallest response in February 2005 (Fig. 3d). A 184 significant interaction between N and P addition (df = 1, F = 4.532, p = 0.037) was caused by 185 lower chlorophyll b concentration in NP than in P only treatments. 186 The Duck Key benthic microalgal community responded differently to nutrient addition than 187 the epiphyte assemblage. Both P (df = 1, F = 17.564, p < 0.001) and N (df = 1, F = 9.921, p =188 (0.003) had significant and additive effects on benthic chlorophyll *a* concentration, with no date 189 effect and no interactions between factors (Fig. 3e). Fucoxanthin was significantly affected by all 190 three factors with no interactions (Date df = 1, F = 5.449, p = 0.025; P df = 1, F = 11.007, p =191 0.002; N df = 1, F = 8.306, p = 0.006). Benthic fucoxanthin was higher in August than in 192 February and was increased by both N and P addition (Fig. 3f). Zeaxanthin increased in response 193 to P addition (df = 1, F = 36.509, p < 0.001) but was not affected by date or N addition, with no 194 interactions between factors (Fig. 3g). Likewise, chlorophyll b increased in response to P 195 addition (df = 1, F = 6.589, p = 0.014) but was not affected by date or N addition, with no 196 interactions between factors (Fig. 3h). 197 The Bob Allen epiphyte assemblage was variable over time and generally responded to P but

197 The Bob Allen epiphyte assemblage was variable over time and generally responded to P but 198 not N addition. Epiphytic chlorophyll *a* was significantly affected by date (df = 2, F = 5.058, p = 199 0.009) and P addition (df = 1, F = 25.779, p < 0.001) but was not affected by N addition, with no 200 interactions between factors. Chlorophyll *a* was lower in February 2004 than on the other dates 201 and decreased in response to P addition on all dates (Fig. 4a). Epiphytic fucoxanthin was 202 significantly affected by date (df = 2, F = 17.516, p < 0.001) and P addition (df = 1, F = 27.746, 203 p < 0.001) but was not affected by N addition, with no interactions between factors. Fucoxanthin 204 was lower in February 2004 than on the other dates and decreased in response to P addition on all dates (Fig. 4b). Zeaxanthin significantly increased in response to P addition (df = 1, F = 5.533, p = 0.022) but was not affected by date or N addition, with no interactions between factors (Fig. 4c). A significant date*P interaction for epiphytic chlorophyll *b* (df = 2, F = 6.821, p = 0.002) was driven by a larger increase in response to P in August than in February (Fig. 4d). Chlorophyll *b* was not affected by N addition.

210 The Bob Allen benthic microalgal community exhibited complex responses to date and 211 nutrient addition treatments. A significant date*N interaction for benthic chlorophyll a (df = 1, F 212 = 5.463, p = 0.025) was caused by a stronger response to N addition in August than in February 213 (Fig. 4e). A significant P*N interaction (df = 1, F = 6.408, p = 0.015) was driven by an increase 214 in chlorophyll *a* in response to P addition only when N was also added. Benthic fucoxanthin was 215 significantly higher in August than in February (df = 1, F = 21.022, p < 0.001). A significant 216 P*N interaction (df = 1, F = 4.842, p = 0.034) was driven by an increase in fucoxanthin in 217 response to P addition only when N was also added (Fig. 4f). Benthic zeaxanthin increased in 218 response to N addition (df = 1, F = 6.197, p = 0.017). A significant date*P interaction (df = 1, F = 4.293, p = 0.045) was driven by a stronger zeaxanthin response to P addition in August than in 219 220 February (Fig. 4g). Benthic chlorophyll b concentration was not significantly affected by date or 221 nutrient treatment (Fig. 4h).

The benthic and epiphytic communities at Nine Mile Bank were variable over time but largely unaffected by nutrient addition treatments (Fig. 5). The exception was epiphytic zeaxanthin, where a significant date*P interaction (df = 2, F = 3.250, p = 0.046) was driven by a strong increase in response to P in February 2005, a weak P response in February 2004, and no P response in August 2004 (Fig. 5c). Date significantly affected epiphytic chlorophyll *a* (df = 2, F = 20.274, p < 0.001), fucoxanthin (df = 2, F = 22.449, p < 0.001), and chlorophyll *b* (df = 2, F =

228 58.830, p < 0.001). The concentrations of each of these pigments were higher in August than in 229 the February samplings (Figs. 5a, b, d). Date significantly affected benthic chlorophyll a (df = 1, 230 F = 27.425, p < 0.001) and benthic fucoxanthin (df = 1, F = 23.726, p < 0.001). The 231 concentrations of both of these pigments were higher in August than in February but were not 232 significantly affected by nutrient treatment (Figs. 5e, f). Benthic zeaxanthin and chlorophyll b 233 were unaffected by date or nutrient treatments (Figs. 5g, h). 234 The benthic and epiphytic communities at Sprigger Bank were generally unaffected by 235 nutrient treatments. No statistical analyses were performed for the epiphyte community at this 236 site due to insufficient replication ($n \le 2$), but mean estimates of chlorophyll a, fucoxanthin, and 237 zeaxanthin were higher on the February dates than in August and did not appear to be affected by 238 nutrient addition (Figs. 6a-c). Mean chlorophyll b was higher in August than in February but did 239 not appear to be affected by N or P addition (Fig. 6d). A significant date*P interaction for benthic zeaxanthin (df = 1, F = 4.758, p = 0.044) was driven by a P-induced decrease in February 240 241 and no P effect in August (Fig. 6g). None of the other benthic pigments were significantly 242 affected by date or nutrient treatments (Figs. 6e, f, h).

243 Discussion

Spatial, temporal, and taxa-specific variability in microalgal responses to nutrient enrichment
demonstrated that the primary producer components of the Florida Bay ecosystem do not
respond uniformly to changes in nutrient input. In general, nutrient responses were stronger in
the eastern bay, corresponding with previous studies documenting severe nutrient limitation in
seagrass (Armitage et al., 2005) and phytoplankton (Fourqurean et al., 1993) in that region.
However, each microalgal group displayed a unique spatial pattern in response to N and P
enrichment. Epiphytic chlorophyll *a* and fucoxanthin responded to P addition differently at each

251 site, with a P-induced increase at one site, a decrease at another site, and no P response at two 252 western sites. In contrast, epiphytic zeaxanthin and chlorophyll b were consistently higher in P 253 addition treatments in the eastern bay. In the benthos, both N and P impacted chlorophyll a, 254 fucoxanthin, and zeaxanthin concentrations, though nutrient addition effects were generally 255 complex. These taxa-specific patterns are consistent with previous work documenting within-256 community variability in nutrient limitation patterns in a variety of habitats, including salt 257 marshes (Sundareshwar et al., 2003), freshwater wetlands (Havens et al., 1999), and marine 258 seagrass beds (Ferdie & Fourgurean, 2004).

259 Nutrient limitation patterns were markedly different between the epiphytic and benthic 260 communities, especially in the two eastern bay sites (Duck Key, Bob Allen Keys). In particular, 261 N addition had more positive effects on benthic pigments than on epiphytic pigments at both 262 sites. Positive effects of N addition were detected for benthic chlorophyll a and fucoxanthin at 263 both sites and for benthic zeaxanthin at Bob Allen Keys. In contrast, N addition had negative 264 effects on epiphytic chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b at Duck Key and no effects on epiphytic 265 pigments at Bob Allen Keys. These patterns suggest that N limitation may be stronger in the 266 benthos than in the epiphytes in the eastern bay. Thalassia testudinum tissue N content is 267 generally high in Florida Bay (Fourgurean & Zieman, 2002), suggesting high N availability in 268 this habitat. N-limitation in an N-replete environment may occur through microbial 269 transformations such as denitrification that increase the loss of N (Ferdie & Fourgurean, 2004). 270 In addition, P has a high affinity for carbonate sediments such as those in our study (de Kanel & 271 Morse, 1978), but the rhizosphere of seagrass beds can actively dissolve carbonate sediments 272 (Burdige & Zimmerman, 2002) and make P more available for uptake (Jensen et al., 1998). Such 273 processes may increase the bioavailability of P relative to N in the sediments and explain why

274 there was a tendency toward more N-limitation in the benthic than in the epiphytic microalgal 275 community. Alternatively, species-specific patterns of nutrient limitation have been documented 276 within microalgal communities in freshwater and marine systems (Tilman, 1977; Coleman & Burkholder, 1994). Little is known about how similar the epiphytic and benthic microalgal 277 communities are in Florida Bay, but the contrasting nutrient limitation patterns that we observed 278 279 suggest that they are taxonomically distinct from each other. Coralline algae in particular were 280 unlikely to be present in the benthic algal community, as they require firmer substrate for growth 281 (T.A. Frankovich, pers. obs.).

282 Taxonomic groups within microalgal assemblages have shown different nutrient limitation 283 patterns in a wide range of habitats including coral reef turf communities (Miller et al., 1999), 284 marine microalgal mats (Pinckney et al., 1995), and planktonic assemblages (Kononen, 2001). 285 Nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria are particularly able to increase in response to P addition in both 286 epiphytic (Neckles et al., 1994) and benthic assemblages (Pinckney et al., 1995; Armitage & 287 Fong, 2004). Our study generally concurred with these previous studies in that cyanobacteria 288 were part of the zeaxanthin signature that increased in P addition treatments, particularly in the 289 eastern bay. However, our microscopic examinations of the epiphytic assemblages suggest that 290 coralline red algae were a major component of the epiphytic zeaxanthin signal. The relative 291 dominance model (Littler & Littler, 1984) predicts that crustose coralline algae will dominate in 292 high nutrient, high herbivory conditions. There is some evidence for this pattern on coral reefs 293 (Smith et al., 2001), but little is known about epiphytic coralline algal responses to nutrient 294 enrichment. The strong zeaxanthin responses to P enrichment that we observed suggest an 295 increase in epiphytic coralline reds in enriched conditions, as predicted by the relative dominance 296 model. Because the zeaxanthin signal represented a cyanobacterial-red algal complex and

297 zeaxanthin is a relatively minor pigment in red algae relative to water soluble pigments such as 298 phycoerythrin (van den Hoek et al., 1995) that were not detected with our HPLC protocol, 299 further microscopic examination and cell enumeration is necessary to document the extent of 300 independent cyanobacterial and coralline red algal responses to N and P enrichment. 301 Blooms of green macroalgae are often associated with N enrichment in marine habitats 302 (Valiela et al., 1997; Kamer et al., 2001). In contrast, we detected little chlorophyll b response to 303 N addition, but the strong P-induced increases we observed are consistent with the P-limited 304 nature of the benthic community in eastern Florida Bay (Armitage et al., 2005). Despite 305 substantial increases in green algal load following P addition, the concentration of chlorophyll b 306 was relatively low, even in enriched treatments, suggesting that the contribution of green algae to 307 the total epiphytic biomass was small. Green algae are often highly palatable and recruitment and 308 growth may be controlled by grazers (Gacia et al., 1999; Lotze et al., 2000). Grazer density was 309 higher in P-enriched treatments in another study in Florida Bay (Gil et al., this issue), suggesting 310 that grazers could have potentially limited green algal responses to the nutrient treatments. 311 We did not detect consistent responses of diatoms as a group to nutrient enrichment. In fact, 312 the site with the strongest fucoxanthin response to nutrient treatments, Bob Allen Keys, exhibited 313 a decrease following P addition. Increased T. testudinum productivity and corresponding reduced 314 leaf turnover period or high grazer abundance at that site may explain this pattern, which has 315 been previously observed in this region (Ferdie & Fourgurean, 2004; Armitage et al., 2005). In 316 addition, diatom responses to increased nutrients can be variable. In temperate benthic 317 microalgal communities, nutrient addition can stimulate diatom growth (Sundbäck & Snoeijs, 318 1991), though that response may vary with sediment type (Armitage & Fong, 2004). Nutrients 319 may cause shifts within diatom guilds, altering species composition (Sundbäck & Snoeijs, 1991;

320 Coleman & Burkholder, 1994) and masking group-level responses to enrichment. Alternatively, 321 intense grazing pressure in enriched treatments, as with green algae, may limit epiphytic and 322 benthic diatom responses to nutrients (Cuker, 1983; Neckles et al., 1994). 323 The shifts in epiphytic and benthic community composition that we observed in P-enriched 324 treatments in the eastern bay may alter support for upper trophic levels in Florida Bay. Green 325 algae, which are generally palatable (Gacia et al., 1999; Lotze et al., 2000), increased in P 326 addition treatments in the eastern bay, but our microscopic examinations of the epiphytic cells 327 suggest that green algae were always rare relative to coralline red algae, diatoms, and 328 cyanobacterial filaments. Fucoxanthin was abundant relative to the other pigments we measured, 329 and diatoms are an important food source for epiphyte grazers (Sullivan & Currin, 2000), but the 330 P-induced increase in coralline algae and cyanobacteria may have decreased the accessibility of 331 diatoms to grazers by creating a more complex algal matrix with increased resistance to 332 herbivory (Klumpp et al., 1992; Geddes & Trexler, 2003).

Our estimates of microalgal biomass (mg chlorophyll $a \text{ m}^{-2}$ habitat) suggest that benthic 333 334 microalgal productivity may be higher than epiphytic production in Florida Bay. We did not 335 directly test extraction efficiencies, and the use of acetone to extract pigments from carbonate 336 sediments may underestimate benthic microalgal biomass (Louda et al., 2000). In addition, 337 water-soluble pigments such as phycoerythrin that were not detected with our HPLC protocol are 338 more abundant in red algae than chlorophyll a (van den Hoek et al., 1995), suggesting that we 339 underestimated the biomass of the epiphytic microalgal community as well. Although our 340 estimation of the difference between benthic and epiphytic productivity is not an absolute value, 341 few comparisons between epiphytic and benthic productivity within habitats exist in subtropical 342 estuaries. One notable exception found that epiphytic production was about three times higher

than benthic production in *Halodule wrightii* Ascherson beds in the nutrient-enriched northern
Gulf of Mexico (Moncreiff et al., 1992), which contrasts with the patterns we observed in
oligotrophic Florida Bay.

346 The complex patterns of nutrient limitation within and between the epiphytic and benthic 347 microalgal communities illustrate the importance of using experimental manipulations to aid in 348 the prediction of ecosystem responses to alterations. This study contributes to a growing body of 349 work in the region (Ferdie & Fourgurean, 2004; Armitage et al., 2005; Gil et al., this issue) 350 revealing that the potential impacts of nutrient enrichment are not uniform among closely 351 associated primary producers. Varying nutrient responses within the primary producer 352 assemblage in Florida Bay suggest that increased freshwater flow and associated nutrient input 353 during Everglades restoration efforts may cause shifts in microalgal community composition and 354 cascade up to higher trophic levels by modifying food web support (Sullivan & Currin, 2000; 355 Armitage & Fong, 2004). Consideration of strategies that will minimize nutrient input during 356 restoration will lessen the indirect impacts of Everglades management on the Florida Bay faunal 357 community.

358 Acknowledgements

This research was funded by a grant from the Everglades National Park (ENP) under cooperative agreement 1443CA528001022 and by the Florida Coastal Everglades Long Term Ecological Research Program funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation (Cooperative Agreement #DEB-9910514). Doug Morrison and Bill Perry facilitated permit issuance and use of ENP facilities. We thank Kelsey Downum and Adam Edwards for use of the HPLC apparatus and valuable technical advice. Pursell Technologies Inc. and IMC Global donated the nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers, respectively, for this study. We are indebted to the many people who

366	helped in the field and laboratory and to Evelyn Gaiser and Ania Wachnicka for assisting with
367	algal identification. This is contribution number XXX from the Southeast Environmental
368	Research Center.
369	
370 371	Literature cited
372	Armitage, A. R. & P. Fong, 2004. Upward cascading effects of nutrients: shifts in a benthic
373	microalgal community and a negative herbivore response. Oecologia 139: 560-567.
374	Armitage, A. R., T. A. Frankovich, K. L. Heck, Jr. & J. W. Fourqurean, 2005. Experimental
375	nutrient enrichment causes complex changes in seagrass, microalgae, and macroalgae
376	community structure in Florida Bay. Estuaries 28: 422-434.
377	Arthur, J. L., J. D. Camm, R. G. Haight, C. A. Montgomery & S. Polasky, 2004. Weighing
378	conservation objectives: maximum expected coverage versus endangered species
379	protection. Ecological Applications 14: 1936-1945.
380	Brodziak, J. K. T., P. M. Mace, W. J. Overholtz & P. J. Rago, 2004. Ecosystem trade-offs in
381	managing New England fisheries. Bulletin of Marine Science 74: 529-548.
382	Burdige, D. J. & R. C. Zimmerman, 2002. Impact of sea grass density on carbonate dissolution
383	in Bahamian sediments. Limnology and Oceanography 47: 1751-1763.
384	Coleman, V. L. & J. M. Burkholder, 1994. Community structure and productivity of epiphytic
385	microalgae on eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) under water-column nitrate enrichment.
386	Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 179: 29-48.
387	Cuker, B. E., 1983. Grazing and nutrient interactions in controlling the activity and composition
388	of the epilithic algal community of an arctic lake. Limnology and Oceanography 28: 133-
389	141.
390	de Kanel, J. & J. W. Morse, 1978. The chemistry of orthophosphate uptake from seawater on to
391	calcite and aragonite. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 42: 1335-1340.
392	Ferdie, M. & J. W. Fourqurean, 2004. Responses of seagrass communities to fertilization along a
393	gradient of relative availability of nitrogen and phosphorus in a carbonate environment.
394	Limnology and Oceanography 49: 2082-2094.

- Fong, P., R. M. Donohoe & J. B. Zedler, 1993. Competition with macroalgae and benthic
 cyanobacterial mats limits phytoplankton abundance in experimental microcosms.
 Marine Ecology Progress Series 100: 97-102.
- 398Fourqurean, J. W., R. D. Jones & J. C. Zieman, 1993. Processes influencing water column
- 399 nutrient characteristics and phosphorus limitation of phytoplankton biomass in Florida
- 400 Bay, FL, USA: inferences from spatial distributions. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science
 401 36: 295-314.
- Fourqurean, J. W., G. V. N. Powell, W. J. Kenworthy & J. C. Zieman, 1995. The effects of longterm manipulation of nutrient supply on competition between the seagrasses *Thalassia testudinum* and *Halodule wrightii* in Florida Bay. Oikos 72: 349-358.
- Fourqurean, J. W. & J. C. Zieman, 2002. Nutrient content of the seagrass *Thalassia testudinum*reveals regional patterns of relative availability of nitrogen and phosphorus in the Florida
 Keys USA. Biogeochemistry 61: 229-245.
- Frankovich, T. A., E. E. Gaiser, J. C. Zieman & A. H. Wachnicka, this issue. Spatial and
 temporal distributions of epiphytic diatoms growing on seagrass in a shallow subtropical
 estuary. Hydrobiologia.
- Gacia, E., M. M. Littler & D. S. Littler, 1999. An experimental test of the capacity of food web
 interactions (fish-epiphytes-seagrasses) to offset the negative consequences of
- 413 eutrophication on seagrass communities. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 48: 757414 766.
- Geddes, P. & J. C. Trexler, 2003. Uncoupling of omnivore-mediated positive and negative
 effects on periphyton mats. Oecologia 136: 585-595.
- Gil, M., A. R. Armitage & J. W. Fourqurean, this issue. Nutrients increase epifaunal abundance
 and shift species composition in subtropical seagrass beds.
- Havens, K. E., T. L. East, A. J. Rodusky & B. Sharfstein, 1999. Littoral periphyton responses to
 nitrogen and phosphorus: an experimental study in a subtropical lake. Aquatic Botany 63:
 267-290.
- Jensen, H. S., K. J. McGlathery, R. Marino & R. W. Howarth, 1998. Forms and availability of
 sediment phosphorus in carbonate sand of Bermuda seagrass beds. Limnology and
 Oceanography 43: 799-810.

- Kamer, K., K. A. Boyle & P. Fong, 2001. Macroalgal bloom dynamics in a highly eutrophic
 southern California estuary. Estuaries 24: 623-635.
- Klumpp, D. W., J. S. Salita-Espinosa & M. D. Fortes, 1992. The role of epiphytic periphyton and
 macroinvertebrate grazers in the trophic flux of a tropical seagrass community. Aquatic
 Botany 43: 327-349.
- Kononen, K., 2001. Eutrophication, harmful algal blooms and species diversity in phytoplankton
 communities: examples from the Baltic Sea. Ambio 30: 184-189.
- 432 Littler, M. M. & D. S. Littler, 1984. Models of tropical reef biogenesis: the contribution of algae.
 433 Progress in Phycological Research 3: 323-364.
- Lotze, H. K., B. Worm & U. Sommer, 2000. Propagule banks, herbivory and nutrient supply
 control population development and dominance patterns in macroalgal blooms. Oikos 89:
 436 46-58.
- Louda, J. W., J. W. Loitz, D. T. Rudnick & E. W. Baker, 2000. Early diagenetic alteration of
 chlorophyll-a and bacteriochlorophyll-a in a contemporaneous marl ecosystem; Florida
 Bay. Organic Geochemistry 31: 1561-1580.
- 440 MCSM, 2001. Monroe County Stormwater Management Master Plan; Volume 1; Section 2.3;
 441 Pollution loads targets and analysis.
- Miller, M. W., M. E. Hay, S. L. Miller, D. Malone, E. E. Sotka & A. M. Szmant, 1999. Effects of
 nutrients versus herbivores on reef algae: a new method for manipulating nutrients on
 coral reefs. Limnology and Oceanography 44: 1847-1861.
- 445 Moncreiff, C. A. & M. J. Sullivan, 2001. Trophic importance of epiphytic algae in subtropical
 446 seagrass beds: evidence from multiple stable isotope analyses. Marine Ecology Progress
 447 Series: 93-106.
- Moncreiff, C. A., M. J. Sullivan & A. E. Daehnick, 1992. Primary production dynamics in
 seagrass beds of Mississippi Sound: the contributions of seagrass, epiphytic algae, sand
 microflora, and phytoplankton. Marine Ecology Progress Series 87: 161-171.
- 451 Mutchler, T., M. J. Sullivan & B. Fry, 2004. Potential of ¹⁴N isotope enrichment to resolve
 452 ambiguities in coastal trophic relationships. Marine Ecology Progress Series 266: 27-33.
- 453 Neckles, H. A., E. T. Koepfler, L. W. Haas, R. L. Wetzel & R. J. Orth, 1994. Dynamics of
- 454 epiphytic photoautotrophs and heterotrophs in *Zostera marina* (eelgrass) microcosms:
- 455 responses to nutrient enrichment and grazing. Estuaries 17: 597-605.

- 456 Pejchar, L., K. D. Holl & J. L. Lockwood, 2005. Hawaiian honeycreeper home range size varies
 457 with habitat: implications for native Acacia koa forestry. Ecological Applications 15:
 458 1053-1061.
- 459 Pinckney, J., H. W. Paerl & M. Fitzpatrick, 1995. Impacts of seasonality and nutrients on
 460 microbial mat community structure and function. Marine Ecology Progress Series 123:
 461 207-216.
- 462 Pinckney, J. L., H. W. Paerl & M. B. Harrington, 1999. Responses of the phytoplankton
 463 community growth rate to nutrient pulses in variable estuarine environments. Journal of
 464 Phycology 35: 1455-1463.
- 465 Rocha, C., H. Galvao & A. Barbosa, 2002. Role of transient silicon limitation in the development
 466 of cyanobacteria blooms in the Guadiana estuary, south-western Iberia. Marine Ecology
 467 Progress Series 228: 35-45.
- Sklar, F. H., M. J. Chimney, S. Newman, P. McCormick, D. Gawlik, S. L. Miao, C. McVoy, W.
 Said, J. Newman, C. Coronado, G. Crozier, M. Korvela & K. Rutchey, 2005. The
 ecological-societal underpinnings of Everglades restoration. Frontiers in Ecology and the
 Environment 3: 161-169.
- Smith, J. E., C. M. Smith & C. L. Hunter, 2001. An experimental analysis of the effects of
 herbivory and nutrient enrichment on benthic community dynamics on a Hawaiian reef.
 Coral Reefs 19: 332-342.
- Sullivan, M. J. & C. A. Currin, 2000. Community structure and functional dynamics of benthic
 microalgae in salt marshes. In Weinstein, M. P. & D. A. Kreeger (eds.), Concepts and
 Controversies in Tidal Marsh Ecology. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The
 Netherlands: 81-106.
- 479 Sundareshwar, P. V., J. T. Morris, E. K. Koepfler & B. Fornwalt, 2003. Phosphorus limitation of
 480 coastal ecosystem processes. Science 299: 563-565.
- 481 Sundbäck, K. & P. Snoeijs, 1991. Effects of nutrient enrichment on microalgal community
 482 composition in a coastal shallow-water sediment system: an experimental study. Botanica
 483 Marina 34: 341-358.
- 484 Tilman, D., 1977. Resource competition between planktonic algae: an experimental and
 485 theoretical approach. Ecology 58: 338-348.

- 486 Udy, J. W. & W. C. Dennison, 1997. Growth and physiological responses of three seagrass
- 487 species to elevated sediment nutrients in Moreton Bay, Australia. Journal of
 488 Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 217: 253-277.
- 489 Valiela, I., J. McClelland, J. Hauxwell, P. J. Behr, D. Hersh & K. Foreman, 1997. Macroalgal
- 490 blooms in shallow estuaries: controls and ecophysiological and ecosystem consequences.
- 491 Limnology and Oceanography 42: 1105-1118.
- 492 van den Hoek, C., D. G. Mann & H. M. Jahns, 1995. Algae: An Introduction to Phycology.
- 493 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Figure captions

Figure 1: Map of Florida Bay and study sites. Sp = Sprigger Bank, 9M = Nine Mile Bank, BA = Bob Allen Keys, Du = Duck Key.

Figure 2: Microalgal biomass, represented by chlorophyll *a* concentrations in control (unenriched) plots averaged over all sampling periods in the a) epiphytic and b) benthic communities. In all figures, bars represent standard error.

Figure 3: Responses of epiphytic (μ g cm⁻² seagrass leaf) and benthic (μ g cm⁻² sediment) pigments to nitrogen and phosphorus addition at Duck Key. ψ indicates no data collected and ϕ signifies that no pigment was detected. Significant p-values are depicted.

Figure 4: Responses of epiphytic (μ g cm⁻² seagrass leaf) and benthic (μ g cm⁻² sediment) pigments to nitrogen and phosphorus addition at Bob Allen Keys. ψ indicates no data collected and ϕ signifies that no pigment was detected. Significant p-values are depicted; NS indicates no significant effects.

Figure 5: Responses of epiphytic (μ g cm⁻² seagrass leaf) and benthic (μ g cm⁻² sediment) pigments to nitrogen and phosphorus addition at Nine Mile Bank. ψ indicates no data collected and ϕ signifies that no pigment was detected. Significant p-values are depicted; NS indicates no significant effects.

Figure 6: Responses of epiphytic (μ g cm⁻² seagrass leaf) and benthic (μ g cm⁻² sediment) pigments to nitrogen and phosphorus addition at Sprigger Bank. ψ indicates no data collected and ϕ signifies that no pigment was detected. Significant p-values are depicted; NS indicates no significant effects. No statistical analyses were performed for epiphytic pigments.

Figure 1









, . .

Armitage et al. 26



Bob Allen Keys

Armitage et al. 27



Armitage et al. 28

