1	Working Title:	Seasonal and Spatial variation in the stable isotopic composition ( $\delta^{18}O$
2		and $\delta D$ ) of precipitation in south Florida
3		
4	Authors:	René M. Price <sup>1</sup> , Peter K. Swart <sup>2</sup> , and Hugh E. Willoughby <sup>3</sup>
5		
6	Affiliations:	<sup>1</sup> Florida International University, Department of Earth Sciences and
7		Southeast Environmental Research Center, Miami, FL 33199, phone:
8		305-348-3119; fax: 305-348-3877, email: pricer@fiu.edu
9		
10		<sup>2</sup> Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, 4600
11		Rickenbacker Causeway, Division of Marine Geology and Geophysics,
12		University of Miami, Miami, FL 33149, phone: 305-421-4103;
13		fax: 305-421-4632, email: pswart@rsmas.miami.edu
14		
15		<sup>3</sup> Florida International University, Department of Earth Sciences, Miami,
16		FL 33199, phone: 305-348-0243; fax: 305-348-3877, email:
17		Hugh.Willoughby@fiu.edu
18		
19	Key Words: south	Florida, stable isotopes, oxygen, hydrogen, precipitation, tropical storms
20		

#### 21 Abstract

22 Precipitation data collected from 5 sites in south Florida indicate a strong seasonal and spatial variation in  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D, despite the relatively limited geographic coverage and low-23 24 lying elevation of each of the collection sites. Based upon the weighted-mean stable isotope 25 values, the sites were classified as coastal Atlantic, inland, and lower Florida Keys. The coastal Atlantic sites had weighted-mean values of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D of -2.86 ‰ and -12.8 ‰, respectively, 26 and exhibited a seasonal variation with lower  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values in the summer wet-season 27 precipitation ( $\delta^{18}O = -3.38$  ‰,  $\delta D = -16.5$  ‰) as compared to the winter-time precipitation 28  $(\delta^{18}O = -1.66 \%, \delta D = -3.2 \%)$ . The inland site was characterized as having the highest d-29 30 excess value (+13.3 ‰), signifying a contribution of evaporated Everglades surface water to the 31 local atmospheric moisture. In spite of its lower latitude, the lower Keys site located at Long Key had the lowest weighted mean stable isotope values ( $\delta^{18}O = -3.64 \%$ ,  $\delta D = -20.2 \%$ ) as 32 well as the lowest d-excess value of (+8.8 %). The lower  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values observed at the 33 34 Long Key site reflect the combined effects of oceanic vapor source, fractionation due to local precipitation, and slower equilibration of the larger raindrops nucleated by a maritime aerosol. 35 Very low  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values ( $\delta^{18}O < -6 \%$ ,  $\delta D < -40 \%$ ) were observed just prior to the 36 37 passage of hurricanes from the Gulf of Mexico as well as during cold fronts from the north-west. 38 These results suggest that an oceanic vapor source region to the west, may be responsible for the extremely low  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values observed during some tropical storms and cold fronts. 39

40 **1.1 Introduction** 

Natural variations of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D in precipitation have been used in a variety of 41 hydrologic, ecological, and climate studies. As an integral part of the hydrologic cycle,  $\delta^{18}O$  and 42 43 δD in precipitation was used as a tracer of groundwater recharge (Gat, 1971; Lee et al., 1999; 44 Price and Swart, 2006; Scholl et al., 1998), as well as a source of river water (Dutton et al., 2005; 45 Welker, 2000) and lake water (Gonfiantini, 1986; Hostetler and Benson, 1994). In ecological 46 studies,  $\delta D$  values of precipitation have been used to determine the migration patterns of birds 47 (Hobson et al., 2001; Hobson and Wassenaar, 1996) and other animals (Rubenstein and Hobson, 2004), as well as plant physiological functions (Ehleringer et al., 1991; Flanagan et al., 1992). In 48 climate studies, historical variations in  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D of precipitation have been inferred as 49 50 preserved in tree cellulose (Anderson et al., 1998), ice cores (Dansgaard et al., 1993), and carbonates (Hays and Grossman, 1991). The  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  of precipitation can be used as an 51 52 indicator of climatic conditions as higher precipitation amounts tend to produce lower  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}$ O values (Dansgaard, 1964). In addition, the  $\delta$ D and  $\delta^{18}$ O of precipitation from hurricanes 53 54 and tropical storms tend to be very low (Lawrence and Gedzelman, 1996), as they act as efficient 55 fractionation chambers (Gedzelman et al., 2003; Trenberth, 2005). Meteorological opinion is 56 divided on the effects on Atlantic Tropical Cyclone (TC) numbers and intensity of global 57 warming (Emanuel 2005, Webster et al. 2005, Pileke et al. 2005, Pielke 2007) or natural cycles 58 (Goldenberg et al. 2001, Mann and Emanuel 2006). The 107 year (1900-2006) quantitative record 59 is too short relative to documented natural climatic variations and suffers from observational 60 lacunae before 1960 when meteorological satellites came into use (Landsea et al. 2006, Landsea 61 2007). Consequently, geological proxy records will prove essential as baselines for assessing 62 both anthropogenic changes in hurricane activity and natural variability in the unforced climate

63 (Liu 2004). There is an observed increase in surface sea temperature (Emanuel 2005, Webster et 64 al. 2005), which in turn is expected to lead to increased evaporation and atmospheric moisture. 65 This could lead to higher precipitation amounts and potentially to a change in the stable isotopic 66 signature of precipitation and subsequently surface waters and groundwaters of these regions. The  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  of precipitation is currently measured at over 300 stations across the 67 68 globe as part of the Global Network for Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP), which is co-operated 69 by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the World Meteorological Organization 70 (WMO) (http://isohis.iaea.org). Despite the large number of stations in the GNIP, there are only 71 four active coastal sites (Cuba; Bermuda; Hatteras, North Carolina, and the Dominican Republic) 72 in the tropical Atlantic where hurricanes are frequent. Although a site in Miami, Florida is 73 currently part of the GNIP for tritium, it is not included for the stable isotopes of oxygen and 74 hydrogen. Amount-weighted annual precipitation maps produced from the GNIP data set have the isotopic composition of precipitation in south Florida between -2 % and -6 % for  $\delta^{18}$ O, 75 and -6 % to -38 % for  $\delta D$ . Precipitation collected from the region and published to date 76 77 corresponded with the GNIP values (Price and Swart, 2006; Swart et al., 1989; Wilcox, 2004). 78 Each of those studies reported variability in the isotopic composition of precipitation in south Florida, but there was no attempt to correlate precipitation  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D values on a seasonal or 79 80 event driven basis. The objectives of this study were 1) to establish a long-term data set of the 81 oxygen and hydrogen isotopic composition of precipitation in south Florida in order to assist in 82 hydrologic and ecohydrologic studies being conducted in the region; 2) to document the 83 seasonal (short-term) and spatial variability in the stable isotopic composition of precipitation in 84 a semi-tropical, coastal region where temperature changes are minimal; and 3) to show the 85 influence of hurricanes on the isotopic composition of subtropical rainfall.

#### 86 1.2 South Florida Weather

The Miami metropolitan area has a tropical, maritime climate (Trewartha 1954) characterized by a June-October rainy season (Fig. 1). In summer and early fall, prevailing winds blow from the southeast. The winds circle around the western end of the Bermuda High and import Maritime-Tropical Air from the tropical North Atlantic. During this period, south Florida weather is dominated by the diurnally forced sea-breeze, occasional easterly waves that originate from Africa, and tropical cyclones that may drop tens of centimeters of precipitation in a single event as they make landfall.

94 The months of November through May are characterized by a quasi-periodic alternation 95 of Maritime-Tropical Air with modified Continental-Polar Air from a high latitude North-96 American source. The four- to eight- day middle-latitude cyclone cycle sets the tempo of the 97 weather. Most of the time, even in winter, Maritime Tropical air covers South Florida. As cold 98 fronts approach, the south-easterlies strengthen. Brief, but sometimes intense, precipitation 99 occurs as the front passes and the wind veers from the northwest or north and temperatures fall. 100 Many winters see episodes with single-digit (Celsius) temperatures, but frost is rare. In the days 101 after frontal passage the wind veers from the northeast, east, and finally from the southeast again. 102 Most of the moisture that falls as precipitation in southeast Florida originally evaporated 103 from the trade-wind belt of the topical North Atlantic. Air entering the Trades of the African 104 coast has a low inversion capped by a strong surface mixed layer with much drier air above. As it 105 follows a westward trajectory, evaporation from the sea moistens the air below the inversion 106 while convectively generated turbulence raises the inversion by downward entrainment and 107 moistening of dry air from above (Riehl and Malkus 1957). Showers confined to the most air 108 below the inversion further fractionate the stable isotopes toward low ratio values. During the

South Florida rainy season, upstream diurnal convection over the Bahamas and Greater Antillesas well as nighttime convection offshore over the Gulf Stream enhance this effect.

111 Rarely during the cool season, when a deep, long-wave trough digs southward near 112 longitude 80° W, the resulting low-latitude westerlies bring moisture from the Gulf of Mexico, 113 Caribbean, or even the tropical Western Pacific. While local evapotranspiration rates are high 114 over the Florida peninsula in summer, air-mass residence times over the land are so short that 115 little moisture is recycled locally. Price and Swart (2006) have determined through a stable 116 isotope evaporation model, that evaporated seawater is the dominant contributor of atmospheric 117 moisture that moves over south Florida, and that evaporation of Everglades surface water 118 contritubes between 7 and 12% of the local atmospheric vapor.

119

#### 120 **2.0 Methods**

121 Precipitation samples were collected at five sites in south Florida (Fig. 2). Two sites 122 were located along the eastern coastline of south Florida; one on the roof of the Rosenstiel 123 School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences (RSMAS) and the other at the headquarters of 124 Biscayne National Park (BNP). Two sites are located along the Florida Keys, one at Key Largo 125 and the other in Long Key. The precipitation collectors at BNP, Key Largo, and Long Key were 126 on docks positioned either over the water or adjacent to the coastline. The elevation of these 127 collectors were less than 2 m above mean sea level. The Redlands site is located approximately 128 16 km at an elevation of about 3 m above sea level. The RSMAS precipitation collector was 129 located about 100 m inland at an approximate elevation of 13 m. 130 At all but the Redlands site, precipitation was collected in a wet/dry collector made by

131 Aerochemitrics. This collector has two buckets, one to collect dry deposition and the other to

132 collected wet deposition (precipitation). Contents of the dry deposition bucket were not used in 133 this study. The collector was equipped with a sensor that when wet activated a mechanical arm 134 that moved a cover from the wet collection bucket to the dry collection bucket, thereby exposing 135 the wet collection bucket to receive precipitation. The sensor was heated and allowed for rapid 136 evaporation of atmospheric moisture that had collected on it at the end of a precipitation event, 137 and once dry, the mechanical arm moved back to cover the wet collection bucket. The cover 138 consisted of a foam pad encased in plastic wrap and formed a tight seal over the wet collection 139 bucket to prevent evaporation of the sample. On a weekly basis, water from the collector was 140 transferred to a 40 mL glass bottle and sealed with a rubber stopper and crimp cap. At the 141 Redlands site, precipitation was collected in a standard forestry 6-inch rain gauge on a daily basis 142 and then transferred to a dark, capped bottled that was kept indoors. On an approximately 143 monthly basis, water from this bottle was transferred to a smaller 120-mL plastic bottle with a 144 screw cap.

145 Precipitation amount was recorded at the RSMAS site by measuring volumetrically the 146 amount of water collected. At the Redlands site, precipitation amount was recorded from the 6-147 inch forestry rain gauge. At the Key Largo site and Long Key sites, precipitation amount was 148 recorded by measuring with a ruler inside of the collection bucket, which had straight sides. 149 Precipitation amounts were missing from the BNP site, therefore, precipitation data recorded at 150 the Homestead Airforce Base (NOAA web site reference), approximately 1 mile inland of BNP was used instead (Fig. 2). The precipitation amount was combined with the  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values 151 152 to determined amount-weighted mean values for each site.

153This paper presents precipitation data collected at five sites in south Florida (Fig. 2) from1541997 through 2006. The collection times at each of the sites varied (Table. 1). The longest

155 record is from the RSMAS site with about five years of approximately weekly data spanning two 156 time periods from Dec. 1999 until Aug. 2001, and then between Aug. 2003 and Dec. 2006. Four 157 years of monthly precipitation samples were collected at the Redland site between Aug. 1997 158 and Aug. 2001. Three years of weekly precipitation data was collected at BNP between Sept. 159 2003 and Sept. 2006. Precipitation was collected for one year at the Key Largo and Long Key 160 sites; however, breakage of many of the sample bottles from Key Largo resulted in only 18 161 weekly samples available for isotope analysis. Concerns over loosing the collectors at the Key 162 Largo, RSMAS and BNP sites in hurricane force winds, often prompted the removal of these 163 collectors several days prior to the approach of hurricanes. As a result, precipitation was not 164 collected during landfall of some hurricanes including hurricane Mitchell between November 3 – 165 19, 2001 and hurricanes Katrina and Wilma in August and October of 2005, respectively. 166 The number of samples collected at each of the sites was not evenly distributed 167 throughout the year. A lack of precipitation during the dry-season months of February through 168 April resulted in very few precipitation samples collected during these months. There were often 169 2 to 3 times as many precipitation samples available at each site during the rainy season months 170 of June through November, than for the dry season months. For example, of the 64 samples 171 analyzed from BNP, only 11, representing 17% of the samples, were collected between January 172 and May, with no precipitation samples collected in April. At the Redland site, 2 or fewer 173 samples were available for the months of December, March and April. Seasonal amount weighted-mean values of  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta$ D and d-excess were determined at four sites: Redlands, 174 175 RSMAS, BNP, and Long Key as at least 1 year of data was obtained from these sites. The data 176 from each site was grouped into wet-season (June through October) and dry season (November through May). In order to determine the seasonal trends in the  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values, the RSMAS 177

data set (the site with the longest record) were averaged into weekly values using retangularinterpolation methods and subjected to frequency analysis using Statistica.

A total of 286 samples of precipitation were analyzed in duplicate for  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D at RSMAS by mass spectrometry using modified methods of (Epstein and Mayda, 1953) and (Coplen et al., 1991), respectively. The  $\delta$ D and  $\delta^{18}$ O values are reported using the conventional notation relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) standard. The mass spectrometer used was a Europa Geo 20-20 equipped with an autosampler-equilibration unit (Europa WES). Samples were analyzed in duplicate. The precision of these methods was ±0.08 %o for  $\delta^{18}$ O and ±1.5 %o for  $\delta$ D. Deterium excess (d-excess or 'd') of each of the water

187 samples was estimated as  $d = \delta D - 8\delta^{18}O$  (Dansgaard, 1964).

188 Climatologically data for south Florida was obtained from the National Climatic Data 189 Center (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov). Long-term data (1971 – 2006) was obtained from Miami 190 International Airport. Precipitation and air temperature data were obtained from this site and 191 compared to isotopic data collected in this investigation.

192

193 **3.0 Results** 

194 <u>3.1 Stable isotopic variation by location</u>

195 The  $\delta^{18}$ O values of all the precipitation data ranged from -10.31 % to +1.53 %, with

196 92 % of the values occurring between -4.5 % and +1.5 % (Tables 2-6). Precipitation  $\delta$ D values

197 ranged from -77.8 % to +21.0 %, with 92% of the values occurring between -30 % and +20 %.

198 The  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D values plot close to the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) as defined by

199 (Craig, 1961) as having a slope of 8 and an intercept of 10 (Fig. 3). A least square regression of

200 the data resulted in the equation:

201
$$\delta D = (7.4 \pm 0.2) \delta^{18} O + (8.62 \pm 0.49) r^2 = 0.86 n = 280;$$
(1)203while an orthogonal regression of the data resulted in the equation:204while an orthogonal regression of the data resulted in the equation:205 $\delta D = (8.6 \pm 0.4) \delta^{18} O + (11.0 \pm 0.9) r^2 = 0.86 n = 280.$ 206 $\delta D = (8.6 \pm 0.4) \delta^{18} O + (11.0 \pm 0.9) r^2 = 0.86 n = 280.$ 207208The weighted-mean values of all the data were  $\delta^{18} O = -2.98 \pm 0.12$  %e and  $\delta D = -12.9 \pm 0.9$  %e209(Fig. 3).210Weighted-mean values of  $\delta^{18} O$  and  $\delta D$  varied by site (Fig. 4). Precipitation collected at211the Long Key site had the lowest precipitation amount weighted-mean  $\delta^{18} O$  and  $\delta D$  of  $-3.64$  %e212and  $-20.2$  %e, respectively, and were significantly different than values obtained for the other213four sites. The weighted-mean stable isotope values for precipitation collected at RSMAS, BNP,214and Key Largo were similar and overlapped within their respective standard error. The215weighted-mean stable isotope value for the Redland site fell to the left of the GMWL (Fig. 4).2163.2 Stable isotope variation with time2173.2 Stable isotope variation with time218Observation of the  $\delta^{18}$ O values for each site with time, indicate events with large negative219shifts (Fig. 5). These events are identified by the names of tropical storms where appropriate or219by their dates of collection when there was no tropical cyclone. Some of these events, such as

- Hurricane Irene (Oct. 1999) (Fig. 5) and the No-name storm (Oct. 2000) coincide with high
- amounts of precipitation in which over 254 mm of precipitation fell within a 24-hour period.

However, the lowest stable isotope values were recorded from precipitation collected one andtwo weeks prior to Hurricane Wilma making landfall on October 2005.

225 The results of the spectral analysis of the RSMAS data resulted in strong positive peaks 226 at 52 weeks, 31 to 33 weeks, and 12-14 weeks (Fig. 6) The 52 week period reflects an annual variation in the  $\delta^{18}$ O values, while the 31-33 week peak reflects differences between the isotopic 227 228 values of the precipitation in the wet and dry seasons. When the precipitation data were grouped into wet and dry seasons, significantly lower values in  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D were obtained at RSMAS 229 and BNP during the wet season as compared to the dry season (Table 7). The seasonal averaged 230  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D values for the Redland and Long Key sites were within the standard error for the 231 wet and dry seasons. Amount-weighted, annual values of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D were determined for those 232 233 sites with a complete year of data (Table 8). At the Redlands site, for the years 1998 through 2000, the most negative  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D values were observed in 1999, while the least negative 234 235 values were observed in 1998. Precipitation collected at the RSMAS and BNP had the least 236 negative isotopic values in 2004, and the most negative values in 2005. 237 South Florida precipitation as measured at the Miami International Airport (MIA; NCDC

web site) indicates that during the 10 years of this study, annual precipitation varied from 138 cm yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2004 to 183 cm yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2003 (Fig. 7). The 10-year average of 167 cm yr<sup>-1</sup>, was higher than the 30-year average (1976-2005) value of 155 cm yr<sup>-1</sup>. In general, above average precipitation occurred throughout the 10-year period except for 2004 (Fig. 7).

### 243 **4.0 Discussion**

#### 244 4.1 Spatial Variability

Dansgaard (1964) was the first to recognize that the  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  composition of 245 246 precipitation was negatively correlated with temperature, latitude, altitude, distance from the 247 coast, and the amount of precipitation. Of those correlations, temperature and the continual loss 248 of moisture from an air mass as it moves away from its evaporative vapor source are considered 249 to be overriding factors (Yurtsever 1975; Gat 1996). However, mixing of different air masses 250 from local vapor sources (Gat and Matsui, 1991) as well as storm trajectory (Friedman et al., 251 1992; Lawrence et al., 1982) also influence the isotopic signature of local precipitation. 252 Despite the relatively limited geographic coverage and low-lying elevation of each of the 253 collection sites, the precipitation data from the five sites monitored in south Florida can be 254 grouped into the 3 geographic classifications based upon their isotopic signatures: 1) coastal 255 Atlantic; 2) inland; and 3) lower Keys. These classifications are similar to the coastal, 256 continental, and marine sites, respectively, first defined by Rozanski et al. (1993) during the review of the GNIP network. The coastal Atlantic sites in south Florida include RSMAS, BNP, 257 and Key Largo. These sites are characterized as having the highest mean  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D values 258 259 (Table 1) that fall on or near the GMWL (Fig. 4) and are located within 100 m of the coastline. 260 The Redlands site is classified as an inland site. Although most common for inland sites to have lower  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values than coastal sites due to "rain-out" of a moisture air mass as it moves 261 262 inland, this effect can be lessened with an intense recycling of water from within a basin by 263 evapotranspiration as observed in the Amazon Basin (Martinelli et al., 1996). The plotting of the weighted-mean  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values of the Redland data to the left of the GMWL (Fig. 4) along 264 265 with a high d-excess value (>13 %), particularly in the wet season (Table 7) points to a

266 contribution of evaporated surface water from the Everglades to the local atmospheric moisture,
267 which can be as high as 12% (Price and Swart, 2006).

The  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  composition of precipitation from Long Key is significantly more 268 269 negative compared to the other south Florida sites (Fig. 4). It also has the lowest d-excess value 270 of all the sites, particularly in the wet season (Table 7). This period of data collection at the Long 271 Key site, however, only partly overlaps the Key Largo station, and not at all with the other sites. 272 Low d-excess values (<10 %) tend to be found over oceanic vapor source regions with high 273 annual relative humidity (>85%) (Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003; Merlivat and Jouzel, 1979). 274 Annual relative humidity (R.H.) over the waters of the west Florida Shelf average near 75% 275 (Virmani and Weisberg, 2005), with lower R.H. values in the summertime due to higher air 276 temperatures. This average annual R.H is also similar to that measured at Miami airport of 74% (www.ncdc.noaa.gov), therefore, higher R.H. values do not seem to be responsible for the lower 277 278 d-excess and lower isotopic values measured at Long Key. Higher precipitation amounts have 279 been correlated with lower isotope values (Dansgaard, 1964), however, precipitation amounts in 280 south Florida lesson towards the south. For instance, during the year that precipitation was 281 collected at Long Key (2002) precipitation amounts varied from north to south from 1,600 mm at 282 Miami International Airport to 1,510 mm in Key Largo and 993 mm at Long Key 283 (www.ncdc.noaa.gov/7.17.07). This is consistent with a sea-breeze effect causing more rain over 284 the mainland of Florida as compared to adjacent islands (Pielke, 1974). 285 The air passing over Long Key derives predominantly from the tropical North Atlantic. It contains a maritime aerosol with  $\sim 10^2$  condensation nuclei cm<sup>-3</sup> exhibiting a wide range of 286 287 activities. Convective clouds forming in this air produce precipitation easily through the collision 288 coalescence at temperatures warmer than  $-10^{\circ}$ C. The resulting large raindrops fall to the surface

289 quickly without opportunity to equilibrate with lower-tropospheric vapor. By contrast, 290 continental aerosols contain  $\sim 10^3$  condensation nuclei cm<sup>-3</sup> and produce precipitation primarily 291 through the Bergeron-Findeisen process. Although hydrometeors form at colder temperatures, 292 convective instability is generally too weak to produce hail. In these clouds, snow produced at 293 temperatures colder than  $-20^{\circ}$ C, falls though the  $0^{\circ}$ C isotherm (at -6 km) and melts to form 294 relatively small raindrops (Fletcher 1969). Because of their large area to volume ratios and slow 295 terminal velocities, they have a chance to equilibrate with lower-tropospheric isotope ratios. Also 296 precipitation over the ocean tends to occur in the late evening to early morning hours when 297 temperatures are cooler as compared to most land areas in the afternoon when precipitation peaks 298 (Yang and Smith, 2006). Both larger raindrops as well as the potential for more night-time 299 precipitation (Yang and Smith, 2006) may explain the lower isotopic signature of precipitation at 300 the Long Key site. Remember, that the observations at the Long Key site are based on only one 301 year of precipitation data, and additional monitoring may be necessary to confirm the results 302 presented here.

303

#### 304 4.2 Seasonal Variability

A seasonal variation in the  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  signature of the precipitation in south Florida is observed with lower values obtained during the wet season (Table 7). Lower air temperatures are most commonly associated with lower  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values and are most responsible for seasonal patterns observed in the isotopic values of precipitation in mid-latitude temperate climates (Rozanski et al., 1993; Vreča et al., 2006). However, this is generally not the case in tropical coastal settings where there is a minimal annual variation in air temperature (Rozanski et al., 1993), nor is this the case in South Florida. Lower  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values tend to be found in

312 precipitation during the wet season (Table 7), from June through October, when the air 313 temperature is highest (Fig. 1), fractionation by regional upstream precipitation is most common, 314 and disequilibrium of larger hydrometeors as they fall through the lower troposphere is greatest. 315 In addition, lower isotope values in precitation as a result of increased storm activity over the ocean has been observed in the Tropics (Lawrence et al., 2004). The drop in  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values 316 317 in the beginning (May-June) and end (October-November) of the rainy season (Fig. 5) is 318 interesting and suggestive of different atmospheric sources during these months as compared to 319 the other rainy season months of July through September. Evaporation in south Florida is 320 highest in March through August, with the highest values typically observed in May (Abtew, 321 2001; Price et al., 2007). Surface water levels in the Everglades, however, often decline 322 throughout the winter dry season with the lowest levels in April or May (Harvey et al., 2004; 323 Price et al., 2003). Although evaporation rates are highest in May, there is often little to no 324 surface water available to contribute to the local atmospheric moisture. The first rains of the 325 wet-season that occur in May or sometimes June, therefore, must originate from an oceanic 326 source. Surface water levels increase from June through October allowing for its evaporation 327 and contribution to the local atmospheric moisture. Tropical cyclones are most common in 328 September and October, and the large negative isotopic values observed in precipitation from 329 these months are a result of these large oceanic forming cyclones passing over south Florida. 330 October cyclones often originate from the Gulf of Mexico, as opposed to the eastern 331 Atlantic and track eastward toward Florida. The Gulf of Mexico storms, such as hurricane Irene 332 (1999), the No-name storm (2000) and hurricane Wilma (2005) produced very low isotope values of  $\delta^{18}O < 6\%$  and  $\delta D < 40\%$  (Fig. 5). The lowest stable isotope values were obtained 333 334 from precipitation collected at both the RSMAS and BNP sites, 1 to 2 weeks prior to the passage

335 of Hurricane Wilma. Hurricane Wilma was a category 5 storm that sat over the Yucatan 336 peninsula for 3 to 5 days prior to moving east and making landfall in south Florida as a category 337 2 storm on October 24, 2005. Although precipitation was not collected during the landfall of this 338 storm (as all of the precipitation collectors were moved inside at least 1 week prior as part of 339 hurricane preparations in the region), the extremely low stable isotopic values collected 2 weeks 340 prior to the storm are indicative of the storm's influence on the region despite its location over 341 the Yucatan Peninsula, approximately 1000 km to the southwest (Fig. 2). Similar observations 342 of low isotope values in precipitation in Houston, Texas were reported from a squall line 343 associated with Hurricane Opal (Sept. 1995) despite the hurricane not passing over Houston (Lawrence et al., 1998). In addition, low isotope values ( $\delta^{18}O$ =-16 ‰) were reported in 344 345 precipitation of the lower Florida Keys during Tropical Storm Gilbert (Sept. 2001) although the 346 storm did not cross the Keys (Lawrence et al., 2004). These events combined with that of the 347 large negative producing events observed in this study, suggests that storms that cross over south 348 Florida from the west tend to bring lower isotope values. Other precipitation events monitored in 349 this study that produced isotopically low values happened in December (1999; 2000) at the 350 Redlands station. The cold-fronts originate from middle latitude North America, and it is 351 common for interior portions of the Florida peninsula to experience colder temperatures than the 352 coastal locations during their winter-time passage.

353 During the 10-year period of study, there were one strong (1997-1998), and two moderate
354 (2002-2003 and 2006) El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events (Childers et al., 2006).

ENSO events are known to suppress tropical cyclone activity in the western Atlantic and so was the case in those years. A summary of hurricane activity during the decade long study revealed that the average number of tropical cyclones in the Atlantic Ocean was 12. The highest number

358 was recorded in 2005, when 3 cyclones crossed over Florida including Katrina and Wilma. The 359 lowest number of tropical cyclones was recorded during the ENSO years of 1997 and 2006. 360 Although ENSO events tend to reduce tropical cyclone activity they tend not to reduce the 361 amount of annual precipitation in south Florida (Childers et al., 2006). What results is a shift in 362 the precipitation pattern toward more precipitation during the beginning of the dry season 363 (December through February) and even less precipitation than normal at the end of the dry 364 season (March through May). The limited data collected in this investigation precludes a 365 comprehensive analysis of isotopic values in south Florida precipitation with ENSO events. 366 However, low isotope values observed prior to passage of hurricanes, along with the lowest 367 annual average isotopic values observed at RSMAS and BNP during 2005 (Table 8), the year 368 with the most hurricanes, suggests a relationship of lower isotopic values with increased 369 hurricane frequency.

370 Due to the data being composited on weekly and monthly time intervals, it is difficult to 371 reconstruct the isotopic signature of particular cyclones. Often there is extreme variability in the 372 isotopic composition of precipitation throughout a single rain event (Gambell and Frieman, 373 1965; Miyake et la., 1968; Rindsberger et al., 1983) and even spatially within a tropical cyclone 374 Gedzelman et al., 2003). However, hydrological and paleo-climatological data such as obtained 375 from the isotopic composition of groundwater from wells, often represent smoothed or average 376 precipitation values, therefore weekly or monthly data collection is justified. Price and Swart 377 (2006) illustrate from the monthly collection of surface water and groundwater from the 378 Everglades, there is a seasonal signal in isotopes with lower isotope values observed in the wet-379 season as compared to the dry-season, corroborating the precipitation isotope patterns found in 380 this study. Inspection of the stable isotopic signature of tree rings, freshwater ostracods or

benthic foraminifera in the Everglades may provide a paleoclimate signal for seasonal variation
in the isotopic composition of precipitation in south Florida. The Everglades and south Florida
has been recognized as a litmus for climate change in a recent NAS report. Sealevel rise,
seawater intrusion, and flooding along with the potential loss of freshwater Everglades habitat
and its ecosystem species are all concerns. Continued observation of the isotopic composition of
precipitation can be used to as an indicator of climate change and its effect on the hydrology of
south Florida and the Everglades.

388

#### 389 **5.0 Conclusion**

390 The results of this study indicate a spatial and seasonal variation in the  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  of 391 precipitation in south Florida. Spatially, the weighted-mean  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values of precipitation 392 tends to be more positive along the coastal Atlantic regions. Inland sites tend to have more 393 positive d-excess values due to recycling of Everglade surface water. Precipitation from the 394 lower Keys seems to be influenced from a more maritime source, where low cloud condensation 395 nucleus content controls droplet size.

The  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  signature of precipitation in south Florida varies seasonally with lower 396  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values observed at the start and end of the summer wet season, and slightly higher 397 isotopic values observed in June through August. The lower  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values suggest a more 398 399 oceanic vapor source, fractionation by upstream rainout, and the effect of greater disequilibrium 400 between larger hydrometeors as they fall through lower tropospheric isotope ratios whereas the higher values indicate influence of evaporated Everglades surface water. Low  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$ 401 402 values also are observed in tropical cyclones and cold fronts that come from the west and 403 northwest.

# **6.0 Acknowledgements**

405	We wish to acknowledge the following individuals for their assistance in the collection
406	and analysis of the precipitation samples: Lucy Given, Jeffery Absten, Amel Saied, Vivian
407	Gonzalez, and Greta Mackenzie. This project was partially funded by NOAA Coastal Ocean
408	Program Grant #NA060P0518 along with National Park Service co-operative agreements
409	through Everglades National Park and Biscayne National Park, Florida Seagrant Project No.
410	R/C-E-51, and the Stable Isotope Laboratory at the University of Miami, and National Science
411	Foundation under Grant No. DBI-0620409 and Grant No. DEB-9910514. Hew's contribution
412	received support from the NSF Grant ATM-0454501. This paper is contribution number XXX of
413	the Southeast Environmental Research Center of Florida International University.

### 414 **7.0 References**

- Abtew, W., 2001. Evaporation estimation for Lake Okeechobee in South Florida. Journal of
   Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, 127: 140-147.
- Anderson, W.T., Bernasconi, S.M., McKenzie, J.A. and Saurer, M., 1998. Oxygen and carbon
  isotopic record of climatic variability in tree ring cellulose (Picea abies): An example
  from central Switzerland (1913–1995). Journal of Geophysical Research, 103(D24):
  31,625-31,636.
- Bowen, G.J. and Revenaugh, J., 2003. Interpolating the isotopic composition of modern meteoric
   precipitation. Water Resources Research, 39(10): 1299, doi: 10.1029/2003WR002086.
- 423 Childers, D.L. et al., 2006. Relating precipitation and water management to nutrient
  424 concentrations in the oligotrophic "upside-down" estuaries of the Florida Everglades.
  425 Limnology and Oceanography, 51(1 part 2): 602-616.
- Coplen, T.B., Wildman, J.D. and Chen, J., 1991. Improvements in the gaseous hydrogen-water
  equilibration technique for hydrogen isotope ratio analysis. Analytical Chemistry, 63:
  910-912.
- 429 Craig, H., 1961. Isotopic variations in natural waters. Science, 133: 1702-1703.
- 430 Dansgaard, W., 1964. Stable isotopes in precipitation. Tellus, 16: 436-468.
- 431 Dansgaard, W., Johnsen, S. J., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Gundestrup, N. S., Hammer, C.
  432 U., Hvidberg, C. S., Steffensen, J. P., Sveinbjörnsdottir, A. E., Jouzel, J. and Bond, G.,
  433 1993. Evidence for general instability of past climate from a 250-kyr ice-core record.
  434 Nature, 364: 218 220.
- Dutton, A., Wilkinson, B.H., Welker, J.M., Bowen, G.J. and Lohmann, K.C., 2005. Spatial
   distribution and seasonal variation in <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O of modern precipitation and river water
   across the conterminous USA. Hydrological Processes, 19: 4121-4146.
- Ehleringer, J.R., Phillips, S.L., Schuster, W.S.F. and Sandquist, D.R., 1991. Differential
  utilization of summer rains by desert plants. Oecologia, 88(3): 430-434.
- Emanuel, K., 2005. Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years.
  Nature, 436: 686-688.
- 442 Epstein, S. and Mayda, T., 1953. Variation of <sup>18</sup>O content of waters from natural sources.
  443 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 4: 89-103.
- Flanagan, L.B., Ehleringer, J.R. and Marshall, J.D., 1992. Differential uptake of summer
  precipitation among co-occurring trees and shrubs in a pinyon-juniper woodland. Plant,
  Cell & Environment, 15(7): 831-836.
- 447 Fletcher, N.H., 1969. The Physics of Rainclouds, Cambridge, pp. 110-114.
- Friedman, I., Smith, G.I., Gleason, J.D., Warden, A. and Harris, J.M., 1992. Stable Isotope
  Composition of Waters in Southeastern California 1. Modern Precipitation. Journal of
  Geophysical Research, 97(D5): 5795-5812.
- Gambell, A.W., and Friedman, I., 1965. Note on the great variation of deuterium/hydrogen
  ratios in rainfall for a single storm event. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 4: 533-535.
- 453 Gat, J.R., 1971. Comments on the Stable Isotope Method in Regional Groundwater
  454 Investigations. Water Resources Research, 7(4): 980-993.
- Gat, J.R., Oxygen and hydrogen isotopes in the hydrologic cycle. Annual Review of Earth and
   Planetary Sciences, 24:225-262.
- Gat, J.R. and Matsui, E., 1991. Atmospheric Water Balance in the Amazon Basin: An Isotopic
   Evapotranspiration Model. Journal of Geophysical Research, 96(D7): 13,179-13,188.

459 Gedzelman, S., Hindman, E., Zhang, X., Lawrence, J., Gamache, J., Black, M., Black, R., 460 Dunion, J. and Willoughby, H., 2003. Probing Hurricanes with Stable Isotopes of Rain 461 and Water Vapor. Monthly Weather Review, 131: 1112-1127. 462 Goldenberg, S. B., Landsea, C. W., Mestas-Nuñez, A. M. and Gray, W. M., 2001: The recent 463 increase in Atlantic hurricane activity: Causes and implications. Science, 293: 474-479. 464 465 Gonfiantini, F., 1986. Environmental isotopes in lake studies. In: P. Fritz and J.C. Fontes 466 (Editors), Handbook of Environmental Isotopes Geochemistry, vol. 2. Elsevier, New 467 York, pp. 113-168. 468 Harvey, J.W., Krupa, S.L. and Krest, J.M., 2004. Ground Water Recharge and Discharge in the 469 Central Everglades. Ground Water, 7(Oceans Issue): 1090-1102. 470 Hays, P.D. and Grossman, E.L., 1991. Oxygen isotopes in meteoric calcite cements as indicators 471 of continental paleoclimate. Geology, 19(5): 441-444. 472 Hobson, K.A., McFarland, K.P., Wassenaar, L.I., Rimmer, C.C. and Goetz, J.E., 2001. Linking 473 breeding and wintering grounds of Bicknell Thrushes using stable isotope analyses of 474 feathers. The Auk: 16-23. 475 Hobson, K.A. and Wassenaar, L.I., 1996. Linking breeding and wintering grounds of neotropical 476 migrant songbirds using stable hydrogen isotopic analysis of feathers. Oecologia, 109(1): 477 142-148. 478 Hostetler, S.W. and Benson, L.V., 1994. Stable isotopes of oxygen and hydrogen in the Truckee 479 River-Pyramid Lake surface-water system. Limnology and Oceanography, 39(2): 356-480 364. 481 Landsea, C. W., 2007: Counting Atlantic tropical cyclones back to 1900. EOS, 88: 197-208. 482 Landsea, C. W. Harper, B. A., Horarau, K. and Knaff, J. A., 2006. Can we detect trends in 483 extreme tropical cyclones, Science, 313: 452-454. 484 Lawrence, J.R. and Gedzelman, S.D., 1996. Low stable isotope ratios of tropical cyclone rains. 485 Geophysical Research Letters, 23: 527-530. 486 Lawrence, J.R., Gedzelman, S.D., White, J.W.C., Smiley, D. and Lazov, P., 1982. Storm 487 trajectories in eastern U.S.; D/H isotopic composition of precipitation. Nature, 296: 638-488 640 489 Lawrence, J.R., Gedzelman, S.D., Dexheimer, D., Cho, H.K, Carrie, G.D., Gasparini, R., 490 Anderson, C. R., Bowman K. P., Biggerstaff, M.I., 2004. The stable isotopic 491 composition of water vapor in the Tropics. Journal of Geophysical Research, 109, 492 D06115, doi:10.1029/2003JD004046. 493 Lawrence, J.R., and Gedzelman, S.D., Zhange, X., Arnold, R., 1998. Stable isotope ratios of rain 494 and vapor in 1995 hurricanes. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres. 495 103:11381-11400. 496 Lee, K.S., Wenner, D.B. and Lee, I., 1999. Using H- and O-isotopic data for estimating the 497 relative contributions of rainy and dry season precipitation to groundwater: Example 498 from Cheju Island, Korea. Journal of Hydrology, 222: 65-74. 499 Liu, K.-B., 2004: Paleotempestology: Principles, methods, and examples from Gulf-Coast lake 500 sediments. In Hurricanes and Typhoons, Past, Present and Future, edited by R. Murnane 501 and K.-B.Liu, pp 13-57. 502 Mann, M. E. and Emanuel, K. A., 2006. Atlantic hurricane trends linked to climate change. EOS, 503 87: 233-244.

- Martinelli, L.A., Victoria, R.L., Sternberg, L.S.L., Ribeiro, A. and Moreira, M.Z., 1996. Using
   stable isotopes to determine sources of evaporated water to the atmosphere in the
   Amazon basin. Journal of Hydrology, 183: 191-204.
- Merlivat, L. and Jouzel, J., 1979. Global Climatic Interpretation of the Deuterium-Oxygen 18
   Relationship for Precipitation. Journal of Geophysical Research, 84(c8): 5029-5033.
- 509 Miyake, Y., Matsubaya, O., and Hishihara C., 1968. An isotopic study on meteoric precipitation.
  510 Papers in Meteorology and Geophysics, 19: 243-266.
- 511 Pielke, R.A., 1974. A three-dimensional numerical model of the sea breezes over south Florida.
  512 Monthly Weather Review, 102: 115-139.
- 513 Pielke, R. A., 2007: Future economic damage from tropical cyclones: Sensitivity to societal and
  514 climate changes. Philosophical Transactions-Royal Society of London Series, 365(1860):
  515 2717-2730.
- Pielke, R. A., Landsea, C., Mayfield, M., Laver, J. and Pasch, R., 2005, Hurricanes and global
  warming, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 86(11):1571-1575.
- Price, R.M., Nuttle, W.K., Cosby, B.J. and Swart, P.K., 2007. Variation and Uncertainty in
  Evaporation from a Subtropical Estuary: Florida Bay. Estuaries, 30(3): 497-506.
- Price, R.M. and Swart, P.K., 2006. Geochemical indicators of groundwater recharge in the
  Surficial Aquifer System, Everglades National Park, Florida, USA. In: R.S. Harmon and
  C. Wicks (Editors), Perspectives on karst geomorphology, hydrology, and geochemistryA tribute volume to Derek C. Ford and William B. White. Geological Society of
  America, pp. 251-266.
- Price, R.M., Top, Z., Happell, J.D. and Swart, P.K., 2003. Use of tritium and helium to define
  groundwater flow conditions in Everglades National Park. Water Resources Research,
  39(9): 1267.
- Riehl, H., Malkus, J.S. 1957. On the heat balance and maintenance of the circulation in the
   trades. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 83:21-29.
- Rindsberger, M., Magaritz, M., Carmi, I., and Gilad, D. 1983. The relation between air mass
  trajectories and the water isotope composition of rain in the Mediterranean Sea area.
  Geophysical Research Letters., 10:43-46.
- Rozanski, K. Aruguás-Aruguás, L., and Ganfiantini, R., 1993. Isotopic patterns in modern global
   precipitation, Geophysical Monograph 78, 1-36.
- Rubenstein, D.R. and Hobson, K.A., 2004. From birds to butterflies: animal movement patterns
  and stable isotopes. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 19(5): 256-263.
- Scholl, M.A., Ingebritsen, S.E., Janik, C.J., Kauahikaua, J.P. 1998. Use of precipitation and
  groundwater isotopes to interpret regional hydrology on a tropical volcanic island;
  Kilauea volcano area, Hawaii. Water Resources Journal, 196: 48-63.
- Swart, P.K., Sternberg, L.S.L., Steinen, R.P. and Harrison, S.A., 1989. Controls on the oxygen
  and hydrogen isotopic composition of the waters of Florida Bay, USA. Chemical
  Geology, 79: 113-123.
- Trenberth, K., 2005. CLIMATE: Uncertainty in Hurricanes and Global Warming. Science,
   308(5729): 1753-1754.
- 545 Trewartha, G. T., 1954. An Introduction to Climate, McGraw-Hill. New York.
- 546 Virmani, J.I. and Weisberg, R.H., 2005. Relative Humidity over the West Florida Continental
  547 Shelf. Monthly Weather Review, 133(6): 1686.

- 548 Vreča, P. Bronić, I.K., Horvatinčić, N., and Barešić, J., 2006. Isotopic characteristics of
   549 precipitation in Slovenia and Croatia: Comparison of continental and maritime stations.
   550 Journal of Hydrology 330: 457-469.
- Webster, P. J., Holland, G. J., Curry, J. A. and Chang, H. R., 2005: Changes in topical cyclone
   number, duration and intensity in a warming environment. Science, 309: 844-1846
- 553 Welker, J.M., 2000. Isotopic ( $\delta^{18}$ O) characteristics of weekly precipitation collected across the 554 USA: an initial analysis with application to water source studies. Hydrological Processes, 555 14: 1449-1464.
- Wilcox, W.M. Solo-Grabielle, H. Sternberg, L.S.L., 2004. Use of stable isotopes to quantify
   flows between the Everglades and urban areas in Miami-Dade County Florida. Journal of
   Hydrology, 293: 1-19.
- Yang, S. and Smith, E.A., 2006. Mechanisms for Diurnal Variability of Global Tropical
   Precipitation Observed from TRMM. Journal of Climate, 19(20): 5190-5226.
- 561 Yurtsever Y., 1975. Worldwide survey of stable isotopes in precipitation. Vienna, International
- 562 Atomic Energy Agency, Report of the Isotope Hydrology Section. pp. 40

- 563 List of Figures
- 564

Figure 1. 30-year average (1971-2000) temperature and precipitation at Miami InternationalAirport.

567

**Figure 2.** Site map of precipitation collection sites. Circles ( $\bullet$ ) represent sites where stable isotopic composition of precipitation was measured; while the triangles ( $\blacktriangle$ ) represent sites where only precipitation amount was collected.

571

572 **Figure 3.** Plot of  $\delta D$  versus  $\delta^{18}O$  for precipitation collected at all 5 sites in south Florida. 573 GMWL-Global meteoric water line. Standard error bars for the weighted-mean of all the data 574 are smaller than the data point.

575

576 **Figure 4.** Weighted-mean values of  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  for the stations in south Florida. Error bars 577 represent ±1 standard error. The straight line represents the GMWL.

578

579 **Figure 5.** Values of  $\delta^{18}$ O of precipitation collected at the five sites in south Florida. See Fig. 2 580 for site locations. Samples with measured  $\delta^{18}$ O values less than -6 ‰ are indicated by the 581 month and year of collection.

583 Figure 6. Results of spectral analysis of the RSMAS data.584

Figure 7. Annual precipitation as a departure from the 30-year mean (1976-2005) at Miami
International Airport.

587

- 588
- 589
- 590
- 591

Site	Latitude	Longitude	Collection	n	Weighted	S.E.	Weighted	S.E.	Weighted
			Dates		mean	$\delta^{18}$ O	mean	δD	mean
					$\delta^{18}O$	(‰)	δD	(‰)	d-excess
					(‰)		(‰)		(‰)
Redland	25° 31′ 08.43″ N	80° 29′ 28.95″W	8/97-8/01	43	-3.22	0.26	-12.2	2.1	13.4
RSMAS	25° 43′ 57.00″ N	80° 09′ 47.65″W	12/99-8/01,	120	-2.80	0.18	-12.3	1.3	10.1
			8/03/12/06						
BNP	25° 27′ 50.82″ N	80° 20′ 04.75″W	9/03-1/06	59	-2.82	0.23	-12.9	2.0	9.6
Key Largo	25° 05′ 12.03″ N	80° 27′ 11.14″W	7/01-7/02	14	-2.84	0.37	-12.8	3.3	9.9
Long Key	25° 50′ 14.53″ N	80° 48′ 02.89″W	1/02-12/02	36	-3.64	0.34	-20.2	2.9	8.8

**Table 1.** Summary of precipitation collection dates, latitude, longitude, number of samples (n), weighted mean  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta$ D, and d-excess for each of the five sites in south Florida.

	amount <sup>1</sup>				amount <sup>1</sup>		
Date (m/d/yr)	(mm)	δ <sup>18</sup> Ο ‰	δD ‰	Date (m/d/yr)	(mm)	δ <sup>18</sup> Ο ‰	δD ‰
9/4/2003	0.25	-0.72	-0.1	1/4/2005	0.51	0.11	10.7
9/26/2003	219.20	-2.63	-8.7	1/20/2005	0.76	-1.33	11.5
10/21/2003	101.60	-3.55	-17.9	6/14/2005	5.59	-4.21	-27.8
10/28/2003	107.19	-1.74	2.9	6/20/2005	404.37	-5.83	-39.4
10/29/2003	2.29	-1.30	-2.6	6/21/2005	94.23	-8.72	-58.4
10/31/2003	7.37	-1.88	-2.8	6/28/2005	112.01	-2.71	-19.8
11/3/2003	8.64	-1.40	2.0	6/30/2005	1.27	-2.57	-12.9
11/5/2003	59.44	-2.67	-6.7	7/5/2005	7.62	-0.57	-0.6
11/7/2003	154.94	-3.29	-12.9	7/12/2005	91.95	-4.33	-30.7
11/12/2003	3.30	-1.54	-1.2	7/19/2005	12.70	-1.37	-3.0
11/20/2003	3.05	-0.85	2.4	7/21/2005	6.60	-1.35	-0.9
11/24/2003	(a)	-0.05	6.4	7/28/2005	10.67	-0.68	-1.7
12/3/2003	(a)	-0.82	8.2	8/2/2005	10.16	-1.16	-5.4
12/11/2003	23.88	-1.45	4.1	8/9/2005	82.30	-4.06	-22.3
1/15/2004	22.35	-1.22	6.9	8/23/2005	21.34	-1.62	-4.9
1/27/2004	20.07	0.40	3.5	9/16/2005	553.97	-3.47	-14.6
2/6/2004	52.58	-1.34	-0.9	10/4/2005	42.42	-3.74	-19.7
2/26/2004	1.27	-2.44	-5.2	10/6/2005	1.78	-3.40	-16.7
3/17/2004	41.15	-0.46	5.4	10/11/2005	43.94	-9.15	-62.0
3/26/2004	1.78	-0.94	11.0	10/18/2005	10.92	(a)	-4.4
5/27/2004	224.54	-0.49	5.7	11/8/2005	8.38	-1.32	-3.3
8/30/2004	330.20	-0.06	8.4	11/10/2005	0.25	-1.41	0.7
9/21/2004	99.57	-0.60	0.4	11/15/2005	18.80	-1.08	8.3
10/12/2004	177.29	-0.66	1.7	11/29/2005	12.45	-0.38	7.9
10/19/2004	188.98	-4.62	-27.0	12/1/2005	10.92	-1.06	-22.3
10/21/2004	55.88	-2.72	-16.9	12/8/2005	1.02	0.20	9.2
10/25/2004	13.46	-1.62	-0.1	1/17/2006	41.91	-0.14	-0.7
11/1/2004	0.76	-0.64	3.0	1/19/2006	0.76	0.25	9.1
11/8/2004	1.02	-1.21	3.2				
11/10/2004	5.08	-1.34	2.5				
11/15/2004	(a)	-0.87	0.0				
11/26/2004	7.87	-0.85	0.1				
12/9/2004	68.07	0.90	11.3				
12/21/2004	0.51	-0.61	14.3				
12/23/2004	2.54	-1.16	5.3				
12/27/2004	(a)	-2.40	-11.9				

**Table 2.** Precipitation amount,  $\delta^{18}$ O, and  $\delta$ D for samples collected at Biscayne National Park (BNP).

1 Rainfall data obtained from Homestead Airforce Base (http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov)

(a) data not available

**Table 3.** Precipitation amount,  $\delta^{18}$ O, and  $\delta$ D for samples collected at Key Largo. 

		-	
Date (m/d/yr)	amount (mm)	δ <sup>18</sup> Ο ‰	δD ‰
7/20/2001	32.26	-2.08	-6.5
8/17/2001	11.18	-1.32	2.7
8/24/2001	6.10	-0.07	7.3
8/31/2001	8.64	-1.54	6.7
9/4/2001	5.33	-0.42	6.1
11/2/2001	20.83	-3.01	-8.3
11/30/2001	20.60	-0.99	9.4
2/15/2002	113.50	-2.40	-2.0
3/4/2002	36.76	-4.00	-26.6
4/12/2002	76.95	-1.01	-0.8
6/6/2002	88.64	-4.18	-26.4
7/1/2002	173.00	-4.36	-28.2
7/8/2002	122.50	-2.63	-12.9
7/17/2002	109.00	-2.02	-4.5

## **Table 4.** Precipitation amount, $\delta^{18}$ O, and $\delta$ D for samples collected at Long Key.

	amount	10	
Date (m/d/yr)	(mm)	δ'°Ο ‰	δD ‰
7/23/2001	36.50	-2.67	-6.8
7/30/2001	20.33	-2.36	-6.2
8/6/2001	115.00	-2.98	-15.6
8/27/2001	2.94	-1.42	5.9
9/3/2001	0.24	1.32	14.0
12/11/2001	34.00	-3.60	-18.3
12/17/2001	0.24	-0.67	3.7
12/26/2001	2.45	-1.65	4.5
1/7/2002	55.37	-5.66	-32.4
1/28/2002	1.72	-0.12	10.4
2/11/2002	48.02	-1.11	7.7
2/18/2002	8.33	-3.10	-13.0
2/25/2002	30.38	-2.28	-4.3
3/11/2002	11.27	-2.18	-4.0
3/25/2002	1.96	-2.05	-6.6
5/13/2002	2.94	0.66	11.2
5/20/2002	28.18	-5.10	-36.2
5/28/2002	28.67	-6.15	-48.7
6/3/2002	1.72	-4.39	-29.3
6/10/2002	1.47	-2.23	-8.0
6/17/2002	123.48	-7.95	-59.9
6/24/2002	80.85	-2.15	-8.0
7/1/2002	23.52	-0.22	3.6
7/8/2002	20.83	-2.57	-8.1
7/17/2002	26.95	-3.28	-17.7
7/23/2002	0.25	0.61	13.3
8/26/2002	26.71	-1.97	-0.9
9/3/2002	18.87	-0.22	2.7
9/16/2002	17.60	-2.37	-7.5
9/23/2002	19.60	-5.24	-32.9
9/30/2002	4.65	-4.67	-28.1
10/15/2002	1.72	-0.77	7.5
10/21/2002	56.84	-3.10	-21.7
11/18/2002	13.00	-1.74	-7.5
11/25/2002	4.41	-1.51	6.2
12/2/2002	0.10	-0.27	14.2

- **Table 5.** Precipitation amount,  $\delta^{18}$ O, and  $\delta$ D for samples collected at Redland.

	amount	10	
Date (m/d/yr)	(mm)	$\delta^{18}$ O ‰	δD ‰
8/31/1997	282.10	-1.40	0.3
9/21/1997	224.90	-4.91	-19.1
10/10/1997	59.10	-4.01	-20.8
12/1/1997	81.40	-1.82	-0.7
12/7/1997	75.00	-6.38	-34.0
1/11/1998	91.46	-1.94	-0.9
2/3/1998	119.00	-3.36	-11.2
3/1/1998	136.20	-1.59	2.0
5/11/1998	108.66	-2.94	-8.1
6/1/1998	72.00	-3.44	-21.7
7/19/1998	112.89	-2.88	-10.7
9/24/1998	483.80	-3.81	-18.5
11/17/1998	197.70	-2.96	-11.4
12/31/1998	48.60	-1.88	-1.9
2/12/1999	99.60	-2.42	-5.2
3/22/1999	4.40	-1.37	-6.1
5/21/1999	91.40	-3.10	-12.5
7/3/1999	197.50	-3.39	-15.4
8/1/1999	299.00	-2.18	-5.6
9/1/1999	125.60	-3.43	-15.2
10/1/1999	116.40	-2.98	-3.7
10/31/1999	197.00	-7.57	-50.6
12/1/1999	21.70	-2.68	-18.2
3/1/2000	39.40	-1.19	9.1
5/1/2000	54.72	-1.27	5.0
5/24/2000	6.50	-7.62	-51.5
6/1/2000	79.00	-0.72	2.4
6/6/2000	30.00	-1.87	-2.6
6/13/2000	101.50	-3.79	-17.8
6/30/2000	218.10	-2.77	-7.8
8/2/2000	183.00	-2.53	-6.0
8/31/2000	178.20	-4.22	-19.9
10/1/2000	75.00	-1.94	-2.5
10/10/2000	155.00	-4.02	-15.8
12/31/2000	66.80	-7.43	-42.9
5/27/2001	18.30	-3.94	-16.1

	amount				amount				amount		
Date (m/d/yr)	(mm)	δ <sup>18</sup> Ο ‰	δD ‰	Date (m/d/yr)	(mm)	$\delta^{18}$ O ‰	δD ‰	Date (m/d/yr)	(mm)	δ <sup>18</sup> Ο ‰	δD ‰
12/9/1999	35.00	-0.69	8.9	12/10/2003	23.41	-1.48	5.8	10/10/2005	4.55	-3.10	-20.1
12/22/1999	60.00	-0.14	11.7	12/12/2003	11.02	-1.46	2.5	10/17/2005	43.76	-10.31	-77.9
1/21/2000	1.00	0.62	19.0	12/15/2003	18.10	-2.71	-8.7	11/18/2005	18.45	-0.95	-1.5
1/28/2000	9.00	-2.72	-7.6	12/17/2003	4.20	-0.29	9.5	11/22/2005	13.14	-0.28	4.3
2/11/2000	40.00	-0.83	17.2	12/22/2003	9.25	-1.16	14.5	12/29/2005	5.09	-1.67	-5.6
2/25/2000	55.00	-1.09	4.2	12/24/2003	7.03	1.01	7.1	2/6/2006	47.30	-1.99	-6.5
3/3/2000	1.00	1.47	20.6	1/19/2004	38.19	-3.81	-12.3	2/25/2006	34.73	-1.20	3.5
3/22/2000	120.00	-0.74	1.7	1/28/2004	5.21	0.40	6.3	2/27/2006	7.65	-0.08	2.5
6/6/2000	30.00	-1.77	-2.6	2/2/2004	74.74	-1.76	-16.4	3/24/2006	72.08	-1.30	-2.5
6/13/2000	75.00	-3.81	-17.4	2/17/2004	6.24	-2.19	-2.4	4/10/2006	26.95	-2.01	-6.3
7/3/2000	118.00	-2.19	-8.4	2/26/2004	62.70	1.11	10.1	4/12/2006	12.61	0.75	8.6
7/17/2000	114.00	-0.63	6.7	3/22/2004	(a)	-0.58	6.8	5/16/2006	23.58	-2.67	-4.6
8/3/2000	140.00	-1.08	3.3	3/25/2004	(a)	-0.80	-8.5	5/18/2006	55.44	-5.56	-28.6
8/18/2000	30.00	-0.53	5.4	3/25/2004	8.36	-0.19	7.1	5/26/2006	28.89	-5.23	-29.4
8/29/2000	100.00	-2.16	-7.8	4/3/2004	6.24	0.28	8.6	6/1/2006	69.60	-3.33	-10.5
9/8/2000	85.00	-2.59	-7.6	4/12/2004	15.00	-2.24	-4.8	6/5/2006	60.58	-1.86	-6.4
9/22/2000	77.00	-1.36	-0.4	4/24/2004	(a)	-1.67	2.4	7/10/2006	58.81	-2.72	-17.6
10/3/2000	225.00	-9.00	-55.9	5/4/2004	67.30	-3.71	-17.8	7/18/2006	26.41	-0.01	2.8
10/9/2000	163.00	-6.11	-33.9	6/10/2004	6.94	-1.93	-6.6	7/20/2006	23.41	-2.07	-3.5
12/11/2000	145.00	-1.44	1.0	6/27/2004	7.12	-0.68	-5.9	7/21/2006	12.96	-2.23	-1.9
1/2/2001	1.00	0.40	14.4	6/29/2004	4.47	0.12	8.7	7/28/2006	7.48	-0.12	3.6
3/20/2001	28.00	0.25	8.2	7/4/2004	8.71	0.90	21.1	7/31/2006	11.90	0.79	4.5
6/29/2001	9.80	-0.45	4.8	7/14/2004	7.65	-1.15	-1.5	8/7/2006	16.33	-0.66	-2.5
7/6/2001	4.40	-0.25	15.1	7/23/2004	21.64	-4.39	-24.8	8/15/2006	86.68	-3.09	-14.4
7/10/2001	1.20	-0.20	6.3	7/26/2004	6.59	-3.10	-12.0	8/16/2006	10.75	-2.06	-10.1
7/17/2001	51.40	-2.86	-13.0	7/28/2004	44.65	-4.46	-20.4	8/21/2006	20.93	-2.13	-1.7
7/24/2001	38.60	-1.28	-3.2	8/20/2004	(a)	-2.29	-3.2	9/12/2006	15.26	-5.57	-28.2
8/2/2001	13.00	-3.82	-21.7	10/8/2004	17.56	-0.13	1.0	9/29/2006	7.92	0.94	3.8
8/3/2001	(a)	0.24	8.9	12/5/2004	(a)	-0.57	12.2	10/10/2006	10.57	-1.44	-6.6
8/6/2001	32.00	-0.95	-0.3	2/3/2005	23.41	-2.06	6.5	10/13/2006	49.07	-3.88	-16.5
8/1/2003	(a)	-0.55	-14.3	2/28/2005	9.07	0.06	8.4	10/24/2006	6.77	-0.82	1.8
8/15/2003	(a)	-1.24	-4.4	3/4/2005	29.78	-3.25	0.2	10/31/2006	16.33	-0.75	2.0
9/24/2003	91.55	-3.69	-38.5	3/10/2005	42.17	-4.08	-12.5	11/2/2006	16.77	-4.36	-19.1
9/26/2003	21.07	-2.42	-8.9	3/18/2005	44.65	-3.65	-16.0	11/16/2006	17.21	-2.69	-7.3
9/29/2003	20.31	-3.12	-31.3	3/24/2005	26.95	-0.57	-1.4	11/28/2006	(a)	0.61	0.9
9/30/2003	30.49	-3.04	-33.9	4/8/2005	49.78	-2.15	-11.3	12/14/2006	5.97	-0.22	3.7
10/1/2003	13.67	-0.45	2.6	4/18/2005	5.79	-0.93	5.1	12/15/2006	9.42	-1.06	-8.5

**Table 6.** Precipitation amount,  $\delta^{18}$ O, and  $\delta$ D for samples collected at the Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences (RSMAS).

10/21/2003	8.36	-2.18	-9.4	5/4/2005	31.02	-2.27	-7.4	12/18/2006	16.33	-2.31	-5.9
10/29/2003	32.26	-3.27	-15.8	5/6/2005	27.12	-2.32	-19.7	12/19/2006	4.91	1.53	7.2
10/31/2003	8.18	-1.45	-1.6	8/5/2005	6.41	-0.07	7.3				
11/2/2003	10.84	-2.17	-9.2	8/11/2005	3.40	0.86	12.2				
11/6/2003	132.26	-6.03	-39.4	8/19/2005	16.33	-1.24	5.8				
11/7/2003	21.19	-4.77	-34.7	8/22/2005	3.53	0.81	8.9				
11/9/2003	11.02	-1.64	-11.8	8/23/2005	17.39	-3.42	-14.0				
11/10/2003	5.88	-0.89	0.1	9/6/2005	40.40	-4.50	-27.7				

**Table 7.** Seasonal weighted-mean values of  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta$ D, and d-excess along with the number of precipitation samples (n) and the standard error (s.e.) 2 for four sites with at least 1 year of data.

Tor rour sites with at least 1 year of data.											
Redland		RSN	IAS	Bì	٧P	Long Key					
Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry				
24	19	62	58	29	30	20	16				
-3.36	-2.86	-3.30	-2.13	-3.26	-1.19	-3.68	-3.56				
0.30	0.45	0.27	0.22	0.40	0.15	0.46	0.48				
-13.44	-9.40	-16.54	-6.65	-16.60	0.25	-21.51	-17.57				
2.60	3.62	2.14	1.64	2.98	1.34	3.90	4.48				
13.43	13.51	9.90	10.40	9.50	9.80	7.91	10.89				
0.66	1.14	0.76	0.86	0.73	1.12	0.90	1.22				
	Red Wet 24 -3.36 0.30 -13.44 2.60 13.43 0.66	Redland           Wet         Dry           24         19           -3.36         -2.86           0.30         0.45           -13.44         -9.40           2.60         3.62           13.43         13.51           0.66         1.14	RedlandRSNWetDryWet241962-3.36-2.86-3.300.300.450.27-13.44-9.40-16.542.603.622.1413.4313.519.900.661.140.76	Redland         RSMAS           Wet         Dry         Wet         Dry           24         19         62         58           -3.36         -2.86         -3.30         -2.13           0.30         0.45         0.27         0.22           -13.44         -9.40         -16.54         -6.65           2.60         3.62         2.14         1.64           13.43         13.51         9.90         10.40           0.66         1.14         0.76         0.86	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				

1	
2	

**Table 8.** Annual amount-weighted values of  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta$ D for sites with over 1 year of data.

Site	Year	δ <sup>18</sup> O (‰)	δD (‰)
Redland	1998	-3.07	-10.02
	1999	-3.62	-16.58
	2000	-3.16	-11.14
RSMAS	2000	-3.15	-12.63
	2004	-2.02	-8.74
	2005	-3.23	-15.12
	2006	-2.44	-9.29
BNP	2004	-1.08	-0.32
	2005	-4.38	-25.79















