THE 2000-2001 DROUGHT IN SOUTH FLORIDA

PART I: HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS



of the 2000-2001 Drought in South Florida

Wossenu Abtew, R. Scott Huebner, and Simon Sunderland

PREFACE

The 2000-2001 drought in Central and South Florida was a significant hydrologic and water management event. During this period, critical water supply shortage was experienced by all sectors of water users. The continual monthly rainfall deficit compounded the decline in storage volume, forcing the Water Management District to declare a drought emergency and implement Water Use Restrictions. Water quality and biological monitoring were expanded, and daily, weekly, and monthly drought reports were generated to assist water management decision making and inform the public on the status of the hydrologic system. The South Florida Water Management District took the lead in facilitating a multi-agency response to this event, coordinating a series of decisions and actions to protect the public interest to the maximum extent possible.

Documentation of such an event is necessary to preserve the experience for the benefit of future managers of such events. Thus, the District is producing the 2000-2001 Drought Report. The report is divided into three parts. Part I: Hydrologic Analysis of the 2000-2001 Drought in South Florida is presented here. Part I summarizes the hydrologic and water resources conditions from October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2001. Historical hydrologic analysis is also provided for a comparative understanding of the magnitude of the drought Part II: Water Management During the 2000-2001 Drought in South Florida addresses water management during this period of record-low rainfall and highly restricted water supply. It provides a record and synoptic view of the drought management process, including valuable information for future drought monitoring and drought management. Finally, an Executive Summary will be produced containing a synopsis and summary of the major findings.

Many staff members worked to make the Drought Report a reality. Principal recognition for Part I goes to Wossenu Abtew, Lead Engineer with the Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Department and the primary author. Other key contributors include R. Scott Huebner, Lead Engineer with the Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Department, and Simon Sunderland, Staff Hydrogeologist with the Water Supply Department. Finally, special thanks go to the editorial team, chapter authors and support staff Their assistance was invaluable.

Sincerely,

S. Drew

Naomi S. Duerr, P.G. Director Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Department

CONTRIBUTORS

Specific authors for each chapter are acknowledged on the cover page of their respective chapters.

Editorial Team: Naomi Duerr Garth Redfield (editor) Wossenu Abtew (co-editor) Terrie Bates John Mulliken Susan Gray Dean Powell AnEta Sewell

<u>Technical Editors</u>: Carrie L. Trutwin Myra Gregory

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Preface | |
|---|----------------|
| Contributors | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| SUMMARY | |
| CENTRAL AND SOUTH FLORIDA HYDROLOGIC SYSTEM | 1 |
| DROUGHTS IN SOUTH FLORIDA | 1 ເ |
| Types of Droughts | |
| Historical Droughts | 3 |
| Palmar Drought Savarity Index | |
| T aimer Drougni Severny Index Wildewag | , |
| DEFEDENCES | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2: HYDROLOGY OF THE DROUGHT | 1 |
| SUMMARY | 1 |
| Rainfall | 1 |
| INFLOWS AND OUTFLOWS FROM MAJOR HYDROLOGIC COMPONENTS | 21 |
| Lake Kissimmee Flows | 21 |
| Lake Istokpoga Flows | |
| Lake Okeechobee Flows | 23 |
| Inflows and Outflows for Stormwater Treatment Areas | |
| Inflows and Outflows to the Water Conservation Areas | |
| Coastal Outflows | |
| Inflows to the Everglades National Park. | |
| Dynamics in System Storage and hydrologic summary | 32 |
| WATER LEVELS | 33 |
| REFERENCES | 30 |
| CHAPTER 3: GROUNDWATER RESPONSES TO THE DROUGHT | |
| STD O CODY | 1 |
| JUMMARY | 1 1 |
| Kissimmee Basin. | |
| Upper Frondan Aquiter | |
| Surficial Acuitar System | ייייייי / ד |
| Lower Fast Coast Planning Area | |
| Surficial Acuifer in Palm Beach County | 10 |
| Biscavne Aquifer Miami-Dade and Broward Counties | 12 |
| Lower West Coast Planning Area | 15 |
| Surficial Aquifer | |
| Lower Tamiami Aquifer | |
| Sandstone Aquifer | 19 |
| Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer | |
| Monthly volume of pumped groundwater | 22 |
| REFERENCES | 25 |
| CHAPTER 4: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 1 |
| REFERENCES | 2 |
| APPENDIX 2A-1 | 1 |
| SUMMARY | 1 |

| APPENDIX 2A-2 | . 1 |
|---------------|-----|
| SUMMARY | . 1 |

Chapter 1: Introduction

Wossenu Abtew and R. Scott Huebner

SUMMARY

The 2000–2001 drought and water shortage in Central and South Florida was a significant hydrologic and water management event that warrants analysis and documentation for guidance during future droughts and in mitigation decision making. This report summarizes hydrologic and water resource conditions from October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2001. Historical hydrologic information is provided for a comparative understanding of the drought's magnitude.

CENTRAL AND SOUTH FLORIDA HYDROLOGIC SYSTEM

The South Florida Water Management District's jurisdiction extends from Orlando in Central Florida to the Florida Keys in southernmost Florida. (Figure 1-1). The center of the hydrologic system is Lake Okeechobee, with an area of 680 square miles and a mean depth of 8.86 feet. Historically, Lake Okeechobee attained a maximum water level of 18.76 feet NGVD (National Geodetic Vertical Datum) on November 2, 1947. The lowest water level ever recorded for the lake was 8.97 feet NGVD, set during the 2000–2001 drought on May 24, 2001. Lake Okeechobee provides water to surrounding communities, the Everglades Agricultural Area, and the St. Lucie and Caloosahatchee river basins. The lake also replenishes canal levels in Palm Beach, Broward and Miami-Dade counties. Lake Okeechobee has been managed under a regulation schedule that ranges between water supply and flood control. The history of water levels in the lake is a good indicator of wet conditions and drought, that is, low lake levels correspond to historical droughts.

The upper Kissimmee Chain of Lakes (lakes Myrtle, Alligator, Mary Jane, Gentry, East Tohopekaliga, Tohopokaliga, and Kissimmee) are principal sources of inflow to Lake Okeechobee. The upper Kissimmee watershed has an area of 1,596 square miles (Guardo, 1992). Inflow from the Kissimmee River (C-38 canal) at structure S-65 contributes, on average, 69 percent of the inflow into Lake Okeechobee through structure S-65E at the lake's northern end. The lower Kissimmee River Basin (727 square miles) also contributes flow through S-65E. The Lake Istokpoga Surface Water Management Basin (418 square miles) also drains into Lake Okeechobee. Lake Istokpoga is a 43.27 square-mile shallow lake, with outflow through structure S-68 into the Surface Water Management Basin. The remaining major water sources contributing to Lake Okeechobee inflow are direct rainfall, Fisheating Creek, the Taylor Creek-Nubbin Slough Basin, reverse flow from the Caloosahatchee River, the St. Lucie Canal, and back pumping from the Everglades Agricultural Area.

In the south, Water Conservation Areas WCA-1 (220 square miles), WCA-2A (164 square miles), and WCA-3A (767 square miles) are part of the water storage and distribution system. All have specific regulation schedules. From north to south, flood control and water supply are regulated through three systems of canals, stormwater detention ponds, lakes, impoundments, and water control structures. The major hydrologic components of the South Florida Water Management District are depicted in Figure 1-1.



Figure 1-1. Major hydrologic components of the South Florida Water Management District

DROUGHTS IN SOUTH FLORIDA

TYPES OF DROUGHTS

Droughts are important meteorologic, social, and economic events in most parts of the world. Although the type and severity of drought varies from place to place, it is generally associated with a shortage of water for a given duration of time for a designated activity. Broadly, the water source could be soil moisture, rainfall, snow pack, stream flow, groundwater, and surface water storage. Droughts are classified as agricultural, meteorologic, hydrologic, and water management (Subrahmanyam, 1967; Benson and Gardner, 1974). Agricultural drought is an evapotranspiration deficit (Palmer, 1965). Agricultural drought is also characterized as short-term moisture deficiency in the shallow plant root zone. Meteorologic drought occurs when an extended period of below-normal precipitation prevails. Hydrologic drought is the result of reduction in surface water and groundwater due to the amount and/or spatial and temporal distribution of precipitation. Hydrologic drought has long-term effects on regional and local surface water and subsurface water supplies. Water management drought is characterized as water deficiency that occurs because of the inability to develop and manage an integrated surface and subsurface water supply system to overcome water deficits (Benson and Gardner, 1974). Other types of drought cited in the literature are climatological and atmospheric. A drought lasting from one to three months is considered short-term; a drought lasting from four to six months is considered intermediate; and a drought lasting more than six months is considered long term (Golden and Lins. 1986).

Drought can occur when one or more of three components are in place. The first component is a change in the magnitude and temporal distribution of water sources, such as precipitation; the second is a change in the amount and temporal variation of water use or demand; and the third component is society's inability to develop and optimally manage an integrated water supply system. Historical comparison of hydrometeorologic data must be coupled with historical changes in land use, water use (demand), and the water management system for comparative analysis of droughts. Drought impacts can be measured in loss of agricultural products, inadequate public water supply, loss of soil by wind erosion and subsidence, saltwater intrusion into freshwater aquifers, fires, other economic losses associated with water use, and ecological effects. This report summarizes historical and current droughts and water shortages in Central and South Florida.

HISTORICAL DROUGHTS

Drought is a relatively common phenomenon in North America, occurring almost every year in some part of the United States (Kogan, 1995) and in nearly every decade. In Central and South Florida, severe droughts were reported in 1932, 1955–1957, 1961–1963, 1971–1972, 1973–1974, 1980–1982, 1985, 1988–1989, 1990, and 2000–2001 (Benson and Gardner, 1974; Lin et al., 1984; Marban et al., 1989; CSFFCD, 1972, 1974; SFWMD, 1985). Historical droughts and water shortages are marked by declines in lakes, reservoirs, and groundwater levels, declines in rainfall and runoff, and increases in the number and magnitude of wildfires. Analysis of these parameters clearly indicates drought and water shortage occurrences and provides information for anticipation of future drought events.

The severe drought of 1971 resulted in a water restriction declaration on May 3, 1971 (CSFFCD, 1972). Lake Okeechobee reached a minimum stage of 10.29 feet NGVD on June 7, 1971. A rainfall deficit of 43 percent was reported as average for Lake Okeechobee and the Northern, Central, and Southern Everglades for the eight-month period from October 1970 to May 1971. For the same period, the Lake Okeechobee Service Area water demand and delivery was reported to be 734,477 ac-ft. The 1973–1974 drought is comparable to the 1971–1972 drought. For the same months, the rainfall deficit was 47 percent, but with different distribution. Lake Okeechobee Service Area water delivery was reached on May 1974 (CSFFCD, 1974). The minimum lake stage of 10.98 feet NGVD was reached on May 31, 1974.

The 1980–1982 drought was one of the most severe droughts ever in South Florida. A more than 20-inch rainfall deficit over two years resulted in the decline of the Lake Okeechobee stage from 17.46 feet NGVD on January 1, 1980 to 9.79 feet NGVD on July 31, 1981. The 7.7-foot drop in water level was attributed to a decrease in rainfall and increases in evaporation and water use. The drought for the Lower East Coast and Water Conservation Areas was relieved by Tropical Storm Dennis (Lin et al., 1984).

The 1984 wet season and the 1984–1985 dry season had rainfall deficiencies that resulted in the 1985 drought. The upper Kissimmee, lower Kissimmee, and Lake Okeechobee rain areas had an average deficit of 14 inches. The Lake Okeechobee water level declined from 15.14 feet NGVD to 11.82 feet NGVD from January 1, 1985 to June 12, 1985. The South Florida Water Management District suspended the interim action plan and initiated backpumping to increase water supply. A water shortage plan was also implemented (SFWMD, 1985).

South Florida experienced a severe drought from September 1988 to August 1989, during which there was a 21-inch rainfall deficit in the Everglades Agricultural Area and the Lower East Coast. The Lake Okeechobee water level declined from 15.95 feet NGVD on September 1, 1988 to 11.06 feet NGVD on August 8, 1989. During the same period a record storage depletion was reported for Lake Okeechobee (1.89 million ac-ft) and the Water Conservation Areas (1.15 million ac-ft) (Marban et al., 1989). The 1990 drought was a continuation of the 1988–1989 drought. From June 1989 through May 1990, nine inches of rainfall deficit occurred District-wide and was most severe in Everglades National Park. Lake Okeechobee supply-side management and water restrictions were implemented to conserve lake water (Trimble et al., 1990). The Lake Okeechobee water level declined from 12.25 feet NGVD on January 1, 1990 to 10.47 ft NGVD on June 21, 1990.

PALMER DROUGHT SEVERITY INDEX

The Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) is used to monitor long-term drought conditions, that is, those occurring over a period of several months (Palmer, 1965). The PDSI uses antecedent moisture conditions, precipitation, temperature, field capacity, and weather trends to compute an index value. Near normal conditions are represented by an index value between ± 0.49 ; severe droughts have an index value of -3.0 or less. Index values are maintained by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Monthly values are available from 1895 to present.

The index is standardized to local conditions, allowing it to be used nationally for drought reporting. It is applied to 350 climatic divisions in the United States and Puerto Rico. Florida has seven climatic divisions. The South Florida Water Management District is in Florida divisions 3 through 7 (Figure 1-2).



Figure 1-2. Florida climatic divisions (NOAA, Climatic Prediction Center)

Figure 1-3 shows the index values for the five divisions covering the District at the onset of the most recent drought through February 2001. The drought index started declining at the end of 1999 and was most severe in division 3, the region covering the upper Kissimmee area. The index for the upper Kissimmee area began showing drought beginning in the spring of 1998. Two of the divisions, those covering the upper Kissimmee and lower Kissimmee areas, experienced extreme drought conditions during this period.



Figure 1-3. Palmer Drought Severity Index, Florida climatic divisions 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 (March 1998 to June 2001)

Figure 1-4 shows the PDSI for the entire period of record for division 3 (the Upper Kissimmee area). As shown in Figure 1-3, this climatic division had the longest and most severe drought during the most recent drought period. Prior to that, the area had not experienced an extreme drought since 1932. The variation in the PDSI from 1895 to September 2001 for the lower Kissimmee area (division 4), Lake Okeechobee, the Lower West Coast, the Agricultural (Ag) areas and the Everglades (division 5), the Lower East Coast (division 6), and the Florida Keys (division 7) is shown in Figures 1-5 through 1-8. Severe and extreme droughts are marked.



Figure 1-4. Palmer Drought Severity Index, Florida climatic division 3 (upper Kissimmee area), 1895-2001



Figure 1-5. Palmer Drought Severity Index, Florida climatic division 4 (lower Kissimmee area), 1895-2001



Figure 1-6. Palmer Drought Severity Index, Florida climatic division 5 (Lake Okeechobee, the lower West Coast, the Agricultural areas, and the Everglades), 1895-2001



Figure 1-7. Palmer Drought Severity Index, Florida climatic division 6 (lower East Coast), 1895-2001





WILDFIRES

One of drought's more significant impacts on natural resources is the development of conditions that promote the spread of wildfires. Figure 1-9 shows the number of acres burned per year as the result of wildfires for the period 1981-2001 (Florida DOACS, Division of Forestry, 2001). The data are for all causes of wildfires, including those that were anthropogenic. The largest number of acres burned corresponds directly to drought years (1981, 1985, and 1989). The effects of the La Niña weather pattern that brought lower-than-expected rainfall to the District in 1998 are also shown in Figure 1-9, although there was no declared drought that year. Figure 1-10 depicts acres burned per wildfire in Florida. The year 2001 ranks third in the 21 years of record.



Figure 1-9. Acres burned per year by wildfires in Florida



Figure 1-10. Acres burned per wildfire in Florida (1981-2001)

REFERENCES

- Benson, M.A. and R.A. Gardner. 1974. The 1971 Drought in South Florida and its Effect on the Hydrologic System. *Water Resources Investigation 12-74*, U.S. Geological Survey.
- Central and South Florida Flood Control District. 1972. Summary of the Condition of South Florida Water Storage Areas in the 1970-71 and 1971-1972 Dry Seasons. July 1972.
- Central and South Florida Flood Control District. 1974. Summary of the Condition of South Florida Water Storage Areas in the 1973-1974 Dry Seasons. July 1974.
- Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. 2001. Division of Forestry, Forest Protection Bureau Fire Statistics Web page, Tallahassee, FL. At: <u>http://flame.fl-dof.com/General/firestat.html</u>.
- Golden, H.G. and H.F. Lins. 1986. Drought in the Southeastern United States, 1985-86. National Water Summary 1986–Ground-Water Quality: Selected Events. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2325, pp. 35-41.
- Guardo, M. 1992. An Atlas of the Upper Kissimmee Surface Water Management Basins. *Technical Memorandum, DRE 309.* South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL.
- Kogan, F.N. 1995. Droughts of the Late 1980s in the United States as derived from NOAA Polar-Orbiting Satellite Data. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, Vol. 76 (5):655-668.
- Lin, S., J. Lane, and J. Marban. 1984. Meteorological and Hydrological Analysis of the 1980-1982 Drought. *Technical Publication* 84-7, South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL.
- Marban, J., S.P. Sculley, and P.J. Trimble. 1989. Analysis of the 1988-1989 Drought Special Report. South Florida Water Management District, Water Resources Division, West Palm Beach, FL.
- Palmer, W.C. 1965. Meteorological Drought. Research Paper No. 45. U.S. Weather Bureau, Washington, D.C.
- Subrahmanyam, V.P. 1967. Incidence and Spread of Continental Drought. World Meteorological Organization International Hydrologic Decade (IHD). WMO, Geneva, Switzerland.
- South Florida Water Management District. 1985. Interim Drought Management Report, May 9, 1985 update. West Palm Beach, FL.
- Trimble, P.J., J.A. Marban, M. Molina, and S.P. Sculley. 1990. Analysis of the 1989-1990 Drought, Special Report. South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL.

Chapter 2: Hydrology of the Drought

Wossenu Abtew and R. Scott Huebner

SUMMARY

This chapter provides rainfall analysis for the drought period. Frequency of occurrence of rainfall over the District rainfall areas is presented along with historical rainfall records. Drought period inflows and outflows to major hydrologic components, water levels and storage are presented in comparison with historical records.

RAINFALL

The South Florida Water Management District is divided into 14 rainfall areas for operational purposes. The rainfall areas are shown in Figure 2-1. The region is a high-rainfall area, with frontal, convective and tropical system-driven rainfall events. The heaviest rains in South Florida are produced by mesoscale convective systems – extra-tropical in the dry season and tropical in the rainy season (Rosenthal, 1994). In Central and South Florida (excluding the Florida Keys), 57 percent of total summer rainfall occurs on undisturbed sea breeze days, 39 percent on disturbed days and 4 percent on highly disturbed days (Burpee and Lahiff, 1984). The average rainfall in the South Florida Water Management District is 52.8 inches per year. Monthly rainfall statistics for 12 of the rainfall areas are shown in Table 2-1. Generally, June is the wettest month, followed by September. The wet season lasts from June through October and accounts for 66 percent of annual rainfall. The driest month is December, followed by January. Generally, runoff generated from wet season rainfall and dry season high-rainfall events is stored in ponds, lakes, impoundments, and aquifers. Excess water is discharged to the ocean to control flooding. At times, critical decision making is required to manage flooding and avoid potential water shortages. Both water shortage and flooding have the potential to occur in any month of the year. Dry periods in Florida result from stable atmospheric conditions that are often associated with high-pressure systems (Winsberg, 1990). These conditions can occur in any season, but are most common in winter and spring.

The Palm Beach rain area has the highest rainfall, followed by the Broward and Miami-Dade rain areas. It can be concluded that the East Coast gets more rain than the inland and West Coast

once there are no large impoundments in the coastar throan area, runon is discharged to the ocean. The historical rainfall record of each rainfall area indicates that drought years have a significant decline from the mean annual rainfall. Figures 2A-1-1 to 2A-1-12 depict historical annual rainfall for each rain area, along with annual average rainfall amounts. Reported regional

drought years since 1932 are marked, and previous drought years can be picked from figures where data is available. These figures show the high frequency of droughts and the variation between rain areas. With the current water management system, drought at the headwaters of Lake Okeechobee would have more impact in terms of water shortage during the dry season than the coastal rain areas.

Figure 2-2 depicts rainfall deficit for each rain area for the 2000 drought and the frequency of occurrence in years of return period. Fifteen percent or higher annual rainfall deficit could result in drought. Temporal and spatial distributions of rainfall and water management are additional factors that determine water availability. The overall impact of drought is dependent on the spatial and temporal distributions of rainfall deficit through the District area. Analysis of the 2000 and 2001 rainfall for each rain area indicates the severity of drought in the rain area and the drainage receiving basins. Comparison of cumulative actual monthly rainfall with cumulative average monthly rainfall for each rain area for the latest drought years is shown in Figures 2-3 to 2-25.

Figures 2-4 to 2-26 depict the month-after-month rainfall deficit. The Upper Kissimmee, Lower Kissimmee, Lake Okeechobee, Martin/St. Lucie, East EAA, West Ag., East Caloosahatchee, Southwest Coast and Palm Beach rain areas, with few exceptions, depict mostly deficits since November 1999. Broward, Miami-Dade and WCA-1 and WCA-2 rain areas were relatively less affected by the drought. The Upper Kissimmee, Lower Kissimmee, and Lake Okeechobee areas of the District are the watersheds that contribute most of the inflows to Lake Okeechobee. The 2000 annual rainfall for the three areas had a dry frequency of 1 in 100 years, further indicating the drought's magnitude. The average annual deficit for the three areas was 35 percent. The 2000 annual District-wide rainfall deficit was 25 percent of the historical mean. The drought persisted in most areas through August 2001. For the first eight months of 2001 the East EAA and West Ag. rainfall areas had 31 and 43 percent rainfall deficits, respectively, compared to the average for the same period. Hurricane Gabrielle passed through Central Florida in the middle of September. The hurricane and the associated tropical system resulted in significant rainfall over a large area, contributing to drought relief.



Figure 2-1. South Florida Water Management District rain areas

Table 2-1. Monthly average rainfall (inches) for each rainfall area and the District (Ali and Abtew, 1999)

| Month | Upper | Lower | Lake | Martin/ | East EAA | West AG | Caloosa- | Southwest | Palm | Broward | Dade | WCA1&2 | District |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|--------|----------|
| | Kissimmee | Kissimmee | Ckeechobee | St. Lucie | | | hatchee | Coast | Beach | | | | |
| January | 225 | 1.85 | 1.85 | 2.48 | 2.04 | 248 | 1.76 | 1.92 | 3.03 | 2.18 | 209 | 225 | 22 |
| February | 264 | 2.37 | 2 | 256 | 1.94 | 239 | 206 | 2.15 | 274 | 2.26 | 201 | 229 | 236 |
| March | 3.18 | 2.76 | 295 | 3.1 | 2.78 | 3.04 | 274 | 2.46 | 3.36 | 2.46 | 2.28 | 254 | 294 |
| April | 255 | 1.92 | 238 | 3.02 | 2.76 | 253 | 259 | 2.21 | 3.29 | 3.06 | 3.02 | 249 | 258 |
| May | 4.08 | 3.84 | 4.03 | 4.53 | 4.77 | 4.36 | 4.27 | 4.03 | 5.19 | 5.46 | 6.06 | 5.22 | 4.66 |
| June | 7.28 | 7.26 | 6.92 | 6.51 | 8.41 | 9.58 | 8.52 | 9.13 | 8.1 | 8.35 | 8.28 | 8.19 | 7.85 |
| July | 7.44 | 6.58 | 6.06 | 6.11 | 7.5 | 8.15 | 7.36 | 8.73 | 6.46 | 6.53 | 6.21 | 6.16 | 6.98 |
| August | 6.87 | 6.2 | 6.37 | 6.15 | 7.61 | 7.54 | 7.48 | 8.26 | 6.92 | 7.18 | 6.99 | 6.38 | 7.03 |
| September | 6.37 | 5.33 | 6.49 | 7.86 | 7.61 | 7.25 | 7.18 | 8.2 | 8.41 | 7.96 | 8.32 | 6.44 | 7.23 |
| October | 3.24 | 3.07 | 3.83 | 6.77 | 4.29 | 3.83 | 3.78 | 4.05 | 7.8 | 7.39 | 7.32 | 5.04 | 4.72 |
| November | 217 | 1.84 | 1.58 | 296 | 2.06 | 1.84 | 1.58 | 1.55 | 3.77 | 3.14 | 278 | 291 | 23 |
| December | 202 | 1.43 | 1.51 | 209 | 1.71 | 1.96 | 1.36 | 1.43 | 247 | 2.16 | 1.75 | 205 | 1.9 |
| YEAR | 50.09 | 44.45 | 45.97 | 54.14 | 53.48 | 54.95 | 50.68 | 54.12 | 61.54 | 58.13 | 57.11 | 51.96 | 5275 |



Figure 2-2. Rainfall deficit and return periods for each rain area for 2000



Figure 2.3. Upper Kissimmee rain area actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-4. Upper Kissimmee rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-5. Lower Kissimmee rain area actual and average cumulative value



Figure 2-6. Lower Kissimmee rain area monthly rainfall deficit







Figure 2-8. Lake Okeechobee rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-9. Martin/St. Lucie rain area actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-10. Martin/St. Lucie rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-11. East EAA rain area actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-12. East EAA rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-13. West Ag. rain area actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-14. West Ag. rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-15. Caloosahatchee rain area actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-16. Caloosahatchee rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-17. Southwest Coast rain area actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-18. Southwest Coast rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-19. Palm Beach rain area actual and cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-20. Palm Beach rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-21. Broward rain area actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-22. Broward rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-23. Miami-Dade rain area actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-24. Miami-Dade rain area monthly rainfall deficit



Figure 2-25. Water Conservation Areas 1 and 2 rain areas' actual and average cumulative rainfall



Figure 2-26. Water Conservation Areas 1 and 2 rain areas' monthly rainfall deficit

In general, monthly rainfall was below mean values for most of 2000 and 2001; the beginning of the drought can be traced back to November 1999. Figures 2-27 and 2-28 show the dry season and wet season rainfall amounts for each rain area, respectively. The dry season extends from November through May. The wet season runs from June to October. Rainfall during the dry season was below expected values in 2000 and 2001. During the 2000 wet season, rainfall was below expected amounts for all rain areas, except Miami-Dade.

Table 2-2 depicts the return period in years associated with monthly rainfall amounts for each rain area. White squares indicate a month where rainfall was greater than expected (labeled with a "W"). Black and gray squares indicate dry months. The black squares show exceptionally dry months, where the rainfall amount had a return period of greater than 10 years (or the amount had a 10-percent chance or less of occurring). Of the 36 months examined, most rain areas experienced 10 to 14 wet months and 22 to 26 dry months. The West Ag rain area, however, had only eight wet months during a three-year period from 1999 to 2001. In contrast, WCAs 1 and 2 had 16 wet months. The Lower Kissimmee rain area had nine exceptionally dry months during this period. The Upper Kissimmee rain area had four exceptionally dry months, with a total of 24 dry months



Figure 2-27. Dry season observed rainfall versus expected rainfall by rain area, 2000–2001



Figure 2-28. Wet season observed rainfall versus expected rainfall by rain area, 1999–2001
| Month | Broward | Caloosahatchee | Miami-Dade | East EAA | Lake Okeechobee | Lower Kissimmee | Matin/St. Lucie | Palm Beach | Southwest Coast | Upper Kissimmee | WCA 1&2 | West Ag |
|-----------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| JAN-99 T _R | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 10-20 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 |
| FEB-99 T _R | 5-10 | 20-50 | 10-20 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 50-100 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 5-10 |
| MAR-99 T _R | 5-10 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 10-20 | 10-20 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 10-20 |
| APR-99 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 |
| MAY-99 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 |
| JUN-99 T _R | W 20-50 | W 10-20 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 10-20 | W 10-20 | W 5-10 | W 10-20 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 20-50 | W 5-10 |
| JUL-99 T _R | 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 10-20 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 2-5 | >100 | 10-20 | 2-5 |
| AUG-99 T _R | W 10-20 | W 5-10 | W 5 | 5-10 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 |
| SEP-99 T _R | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 10-20 |
| OCT-99 T _R | W 10-20 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 | W 10-20 | W 5-10 | W 10-20 | 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 10-20 | W 5-10 |
| NOV-99 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| DEC-99 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| JAN-00 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| FEB-00 T _R | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | >100 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 20-50 | 5-10 | 2-5 |
| MAR-00 T _R | W 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | W 10-20 | 2-5 |
| APR-00 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 10 |
| MAY-00 T _R | 2-5 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 20-50 | 50-100 | >100 | 20-50 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 20-50 | 10-20 |
| JUN-00 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 |
| JUL-00 T _R | W 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | 2-5 |
| AUG-00 T _R | 2-5 | 5-10 | W 2-5 | 50-100 | 20-50 | 10-20 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 5-10 |
| SEP-00 T _R | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| OCT-00 T _R | W 2-5 | 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | W 2-5 | W 10-20 |
| NOV-00 T _R | 10-20 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 10-20 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 10-20 | 2-5 | 10 | 2-5 | 10-20 | 10-20 |
| DEC-00 T _R | W 2-5 | 2-5 | W 10-20 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| JAN-01 T _R | 5-10 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 5-10 | 5-10 |
| FEB-01 T _R | 5-10 | >100 | 20-50 | >100 | >100 | >100 | >100 | 50 | 20-50 | 20-50 | 50-100 | >100 |
| MAR-01 T _R | W 10-20 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 5-10 | W 2-5 |
| APR-01 T _R | 2-5 | 10-20 | 2-5 | 20-50 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 5-10 | 10-20 | 2-5 | 5-10 | 10-20 | >100 |
| | W 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | VV 2-5 | W 2-5 | VV 2-5 |
| JUN-01 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| JUL-01 TR | W 2-5 | VV 20-50 | VV 2-5 | VV 2-5 | VV 10-20 | VV 5-10 | VV 20-50 | VV 5-10 | VV 20-50 | W 2-5 | VV 20-50 | 2-5 |
| AUG-UT IR | 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 5-10 |
| SEP-UT TR | VV 10-20 | VV 5-10 | W 5-10 | VV 5-10 | VV 5-10 | VV 50-100 | W 2-5 | VV 10-20 | VV 20-50 | VV 10-20 | VV 10-20 | vv 10-20 |
| OCT-01 T _R | 2-5 | 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 2-5 | VV 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| NOV-01 TR | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | W 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| DEC-01 TR | 2-5 | 2-5 | VV 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | 2-5 | VV 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 2-5 | VV 2-5 | 2-5 |
| | | | hanggana | | | | | hunnidhaan | | | | |
| # Extreme Dry | 12 | 11 | <u> </u> | 10 | 12 | 9 13 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 8 |
| # vvet ivioritris | т Т | evcentio | | | 12 | T | 12 dn/mont | 1 <u></u> | | | wet mont | be |
| | R | exception | nculy uly H | onns | | R | arymoni | .15 | | VV R | weimon | 115 |

| Table 2-2. Return period (years) of monthly rainfall observed during | 1999- |
|--|--------------|
| 2001 by rain area | |

INFLOWS AND OUTFLOWS FROM MAJOR HYDROLOGIC COMPONENTS

The main storage component in the hydrologic system is Lake Okeechobee. Inflows come from the Upper and Lower Kissimmee watersheds, the Nubbin Slough and Taylor Creek basins, the Lake Istokpoga Water Management Area, Fisheating Creek, the Caloosahatchee Canal, the St. Lucie Canal, the Everglades Agricultural Area, and other smaller drainage basins. The main storage in the Upper Kissimmee Basin is Lake Kissimmee, with 55.5 square miles area and a watershed of 269.1 square miles (Ali, 1998).

LAKE KISSIMMEE FLOWS

Lake Kissimmee outflow is regulated through structure S65. The lake's regulation schedule varies from 49.25 ft NGVD in spring to 52.5 ft NGVD in winter. Flow data for discharge from Lake Kissimmee into the Kissimmee River (C-38 Canal) are available since 1934 (Figure 2A-1-13). Based on flow data from January 1, 1972 to September 30, 2001, the average annual outflow from Lake Kissimmee was 645,000 ac-ft, with standard deviation of 363,000 ac-ft. The maximum discharge of 1,460,000 ac-ft occurred during the 1995 El Niño year. The minimum annual flow of 7,900 ac-ft occurred during the 1981 drought. Flows during the 2000-2001 drought months are shown in Table 2-3. There were eight consecutive months with no outflow from Lake Kissimmee (November 2000 to June 2001). The total outflow from October 1999 through September 2001 was 701,490 ac-ft, of which 11,780 ac-ft was for the 12 months of July 2000 through June 2001. This is the third-lowest discharge volume for 12 consecutive months, with the record-lowest occurring during the 1971-1972 drought and the second-lowest occurring during the 1980-1982 drought.

LAKE ISTOKPOGA FLOWS

Lake Istokpoga outflow is regulated through structure S-68. The lake's regulation schedule varies between 37.5 ft NGVD and 39.5 ft NGVD. Historical annual flow data is depicted in Figure 2A-1-14. Based on flow data from January 1, 1972 to September 30, 2001, the average annual outflow from Lake Istokpoga was 192,000 ac-ft, with standard deviation of 125,000 ac-ft. The maximum discharge of 562,000 ac-ft occurred during the 1998 El Niño year. The minimum annual flow of 18,000 ac-ft occurred during the 1981 drought. Flows during the current drought months are shown in Table 2-3. The total outflow from October 1999 through September 2001 was 292,085 ac-ft, of which 23,813 ac-ft was for the 12 months of July 2000 through June 2001. The second-lowest annual discharge volume of 32,175 ac-ft occurred during the current drought in 2000.

Table 2-3. Flows of Lake Istokpoga, Lake Kissimmee, and Lake Okeechobee during the 2000-2001 drought (ac-ft)

| | Lake Kissi- | Lake Isto- | | | | Lake Okeecl | hobee | | | | |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | mmee | kpoga | inflow | total | total | outflow | outflow | outflow | outflow | total | forward |
| Year Month | outflow (S65) | outflow (S68) | from North | backflow | inflow | to South | to North | to East | to West | outflow | pumping |
| 1999 October | 182,244 | 64,827 | 566,384 | 53,958 | 620,322 | 11,681 | 0 | 40,991 | 124,227 | 176,889 | 0 |
| 1999 November | 31,803 | 23,077 | 99,543 | 6,346 | 105,889 | 31,388 | 18 | 89,323 | 214,341 | 335,070 | 0 |
| 1999 December | 47,291 | 11,355 | 66,850 | 2,369 | 69,219 | 86,553 | 18 | 53,007 | 100,643 | 240,221 | 0 |
| 2000 January | 70,216 | 9,642 | 79,738 | 1,343 | 81,080 | 89,925 | 14 | 25,661 | 47,033 | 162,632 | 0 |
| 2000 February | 74,478 | 5,059 | 72,383 | 1,082 | 73,465 | 28,535 | 14 | 9,650 | 8,864 | 47,063 | 0 |
| 2000 March | 41,899 | 4,483 | 22,427 | 2,438 | 24,865 | 75,168 | 2621 | 5,161 | 29,207 | 112,157 | 0 |
| 2000 April | 89,146 | 3,252 | 86,913 | 25,460 | 112,373 | 82,047 | 3981 | 38,754 | 88,476 | 213,258 | 0 |
| 2000 May | 1,063 | 5,352 | 2,522 | 8,323 | 10,845 | 212,275 | 6997 | 107,698 | 200,308 | 527,278 | 0 |
| 2000 June | 3,404 | 3,521 | 1,800 | 23,942 | 25,742 | 119,972 | 5079 | 2,254 | 26,922 | 154,228 | 0 |
| 2000 July | 0 | 566 | 23,705 | 26,167 | 49,872 | 1,666 | 1303 | 13,912 | 1,206 | 18,087 | 0 |
| 2000 August | 0 | 0 | 23,159 | 14,257 | 37,416 | 22,826 | 3296 | 15,317 | 18,456 | 59,895 | 0 |
| 2000 Septembr | 5,234 | 2 | 63,865 | 58,812 | 122,677 | 9,116 | 790 | 6,016 | 2,535 | 18,459 | 0 |
| 2000 October | 6,494 | 0 | 30,981 | 89,795 | 120,775 | 6,178 | 2443 | 1,785 | 10,818 | 21,225 | 0 |
| 2000 November | 0 | 0 | 465 | 9,399 | 9,865 | 41,311 | 6607 | 17,978 | 26,512 | 92,409 | 0 |
| 2000 December | 0 | 297 | 15 | 5,736 | 5,750 | 36,351 | 1601 | 5,064 | 17,189 | 60,205 | 0 |
| 2001 January | 0 | 0 | 97 | 6,863 | 6,959 | 17,710 | 1081 | 4,864 | 1,220 | 24,847 | 0 |
| 2001 February | 0 | 20,301 | 114 | 985 | 1,099 | 22,984 | 253 | 6,985 | 6,976 | 37,197 | 0 |
| 2001 March | 0 | 1,526 | 567 | 11,691 | 12,258 | 27,129 | 1485 | 9,657 | 7,457 | 45,728 | 442 |
| 2001 April | 0 | 1,119 | 1,337 | 3,981 | 5,317 | 46,755 | 2154 | 2,707 | 23,790 | 75,406 | 41,261 |
| 2001 May | 0 | 0 | 34 | 11,603 | 11,637 | 51,599 | 1077 | 806 | 9,965 | 63,407 | 50,058 |
| 2001 June | 0 | 0 | 8,871 | 77,732 | 86,603 | 1,116 | 0 | 422 | 0 | 1,538 | 905 |
| 2001 July | 4,861 | 1,578 | 177,773 | 184,272 | 362,045 | 463 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 687 | 238 |
| 2001 August | 50,099 | 27,794 | 250,693 | 209,887 | 460,579 | 1,533 | 0 | 254 | 75 | 1,862 | 0 |
| 2001 Septembr | 93,258 | 108,334 | 525,120 | 172,144 | 697,264 | 785 | 1 | 904 | 0 | 1,691 | 0 |
| Fotal | 701,490 | 292,085 | 2,105,356 | 1,008,585 | 3,113,916 | 1,025,066 | 40,833 | 459,170 | 966,444 | 2,491,439 | 92,904 |

LAKE OKEECHOBEE FLOWS

Based on flow data from January 1, 1972 to September 30, 2001, major surface inflows are from the Upper and Lower Kissimmee watersheds through structure S-65E (47.5 percent), the Lake Istokpoga Water Management Area (9.1 percent), and Fisheating Creek (8.6 percent). Reverse flows are from the Everglades Agricultural Area, the Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie canals (16.8 percent), and 18 percent from other structures around the lake. Inflow is from the north and northwest, and reverse inflow is from the south, southwest, and southeast. The average total annual inflow of surface water was 1,999,000 ac-ft, with an annual maximum of 3,520,000 ac-ft during the 1995 El Niño, minimum of 675,000 ac-ft during the 2000 drought and a standard deviation of 834,000 ac-ft. Average annual reverse inflow from the EAA, the Caloosahatchee Canal and the St. Lucie Canal was 333,000 ac-ft, with a standard deviation of 146,000 ac-ft. Figure 2-29 depicts historical inflow and outflow from Lake Okeechobee indicating drought years. Figure 2-30 depicts mean monthly historical inflows and outflows.



Figure 2-29. Historical inflows and outflows for Lake Okeechobee



Figure 2-30. Monthly average inflows and outflows for Lake Okeechobee, January 1972-2001

The drought's effect on Lake Okeechobee inflows and outflows is significant. From December 1999 to June 2001 there were 19 consecutive monthly inflows below the historical average (**Table 2-3** and **Figure 2-31**). The significant increase in lake inflow from July to September 2001 is apparent and corresponds with an increase in rainfall and a decrease in drought effect. Through the same period, backflow into the lake through pumping and gravity was 32 percent (**Table 2-3**), and the remaining inflow of 68 percent was from the north. Historical backflows to Lake Okeechobee from the south, southeast, and southwest are depicted in **Figure 2-32**. The maximum annual backflow occurred in the nine months of 2001 (679,157 ac-ft). The total backflow to the lake for the period October, 1999 to September 2001 was 1,017,224 ac-ft of which 420,701 was back pumping through S-2 and S-3 pump stations. **Table 2-4** depicts monthly back pumping and backflow into Lake Okeechobee.

| | | S 3 | 3 S2 ackpump backpump | \$352 | S308 backflow | S77 backflow | L8 backflow | Indust. backflow | S4 backpump | C10 to Lake | C12 to Lake | C12A to Lake | C4A to Lake | S236 to Lake |
|-------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | backpump | | backflow | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft | ac-ft |
| Year | Month | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1999 | October | 2821 | 28973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6578 | 6354 | 4177 | 4617 | 1752 | 2713 |
| 1999 | November | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1572 | 657 | 597 | 2201 | 254 | 613 |
| 1999 | December | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 1068 | 0 | 420 |
| 2000 | January | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 376 | 0 | 687 | 0 | 309 |
| 2000 | February | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 365 | 0 | 502 | 0 | 188 |
| 2000 | March | 0 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 569 | 977 | 44 | 0 |
| 2000 | April | 1188 | 5889 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4729 | 4250 | 2795 | 2077 | 1652 | 1668 | 602 | 1845 |
| 2000 | May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7323 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | June | 131 | 0 | 0 | 17324 | 0 | 3033 | 2805 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 43 | 0 | 431 |
| 2000 | July | 167 | 0 | 0 | 14551 | 0 | 4619 | 1352 | 0 | 652 | 1044 | 1575 | 280 | 1785 |
| 2000 | August | 0 | 194 | 0 | 2251 | 0 | 9220 | 258 | 0 | 298 | 354 | 859 | 605 | 83 |
| 2000 | September | 446 | 772 | 0 | 37617 | 0 | 5806 | 6183 | 288 | 2227 | 2276 | 1859 | 1109 | 1519 |
| 2000 | October | 7045 | 24913 | 105 | 14320 | 0 | 34836 | 2713 | 199 | 1834 | 2088 | 1405 | 516 | 945 |
| 2000 | November | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9139 | 28 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 | 2055 | 3106 | 139 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | January | 0 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 5818 | 576 | 275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | February | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 464 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | March | 3171 | 5216 | 0 | 815 | 113 | 800 | 312 | 0 | 215 | 381 | 318 | 404 | 0 |
| 2001 | April | 637 | 731 | 0 | 647 | 1079 | 725 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 53 | 0 |
| 2001 | May | 145 | 0 | 0 | 3973 | 7450 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | June | 7587 | 16150 | 0 | 14781 | 37179 | 0 | 1158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 218 | 332 |
| 2001 | July | 39414 | 64004 | 0 | 30518 | 29237 | 14710 | 1806 | 0 | 337 | 669 | 970 | 622 | 1834 |
| 2001 | August | 39523 | 75964 | 0 | 29150 | 4596 | 38422 | 2638 | 10001 | 1635 | 2536 | 1755 | 428 | 3989 |
| 2001 | September | 46477 | 48219 | 0 | 22721 | 0 | 34680 | 7699 | 4100 | 2320 | 1867 | 1163 | 1156 | 3475 |
| Total | | 148800 | 271802 | 105 | 196316 | 87526 | 165917 | 31836 | 25891 | 19835 | 18365 | 22205 | 8045 | 20481 |

Table 2-4. Back pumping and backflow to Lake Okeechobee (ac-ft)







Figure 2-32. Annual backflow to Lake Okeechobee through pumping and gravity (nine months for 2001)

Outflows are mainly through the south, southeast, and southwest structures. The average historical (1972 to 2001) annual outflow was 1,282,000 ac-ft, with a standard deviation of 838,000 ac-ft; maximum annual outflow was 3,771,000 ac-ft during the 1995 El Niño, and minimum was 314,115 ac-ft in 1991. Monthly mean historical inflows and outflows are depicted in Figure 2-30. Comparison of monthly Lake Okeechobee outflows to the historical average is shown in Figure 2-33. A significant portion of the discharge during the managed lake recession is shown in May 2000 flows. For the period from October 1999 to September 2001, 16 months of outflows from the lake were below the historical average. Table 2-3 shows a breakdown of monthly outflows to the east through S-308 (18 percent); to the north through G-207 and G-208 (2 percent); to the west through S-77 (39 percent), and to the south through the EAA structures (41 percent). When the lake stage reached 10.1 ft NGVD, temporary forward pumps were activated at the S-351, S-352, and S-354 structures to discharge water to the south (Table 2-3). The pumps were operated irregularly from March 28, 2001 to July 3, 2001, for a total discharge of 92,904 ac-ft.



Figure 2-33. Comparison of historical average and current Lake Okeechobee monthly outflows

INFLOWS AND OUTFLOWS FOR STORMWATER TREATMENT AREAS

In general, monthly inflows and outflows to Stormwater Treatment Areas (STAs), located in the Everglades Agricultural Area (EAA), were reduced due to the drought. Monthly inflow and outflow at STA-1W, STA-5 and STA-6 are shown, respectively, in Figures 2-34, 2-35, and 2-36. The monthly summary of flow through each structure is shown in Tables 2A-2-1, 2A-2-2, and 2A-2-3. Efforts were made to prevent treatment cell dry-out at STA-1W and STA-5. During the most severe period of the drought, December 2000 through May 2001, both STA-5 and STA-6 dried out. This was typical during the dry season for STA-6, but a temporary pump was located at STA-5 to keep Cell 1-B wet to help maintain the submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) that had been introduced into the cell after STA start-up in 1999-2000. STA-1W received 295,162 ac-ft of inflow from October 1999 through September 2001, and 321,344 ac-ft were discharged during the same period. Inflow to STA-5 was 166,701 ac-ft and outflow was 158,693 ac-ft. STA-6 received 89,079 ac-ft and discharged 64,877 ac-ft of water during the same 24-month period. All the STAs began to receive significant inflow beginning in June and July 2001, which aided in their recovery from the drought.



Figure 2-34. STA-1W inflow, outflow, and water level



Figure 2-35. STA-5 inflow, outflow, and water level

INFLOWS AND OUTFLOWS TO THE WATER CONSERVATION AREAS

Inflows to the Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge (Water Conservation Area 1), WCA 2, and WCA-3 began to decline beginning in October 1999 after the passage of Hurricane Irene. There was a brief recovery in April of 2000 and again in September and October 2000 as tropical weather systems brought increased rainfall. Significant inflows to all the WCAs began again in July 2001 and led to recovery of water levels in all the WCAs by the end of September 2001. The ability to release water from the WCAs for water supply purposes was severely restricted during 2001. Inflow and outflow volumes for Water Conservation Area 1 were 841,576 ac-ft and 885,941 ac-ft, respectively, for the period from October 1999 through September 2001. Inflow and outflow volumes for Water Conservation Area 2 were 915,197 ac-ft and 884,803 ac-ft, respectively, for the same period. Inflow and outflow volumes for Water Conservation Area 3 were 1,323,856 ac-ft and 1,706,935 ac-ft, respectively, for the same period. Figures 2-37, 2-38, and 2-39 show the monthly inflow and outflow volumes for each WCA. Monthly summary of flow through each structure is shown in Tables 2A-2-4, 2A-2-5, and 2A-2-6.



Figure 2-36. STA-6 inflow, outflow, and water level



Figure 2-37. Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge (Water Conservation Area 1) inflow and outflow



Figure 2-38. Water Conservation Area 2 inflow and outflow



Figure 2-39. Water Conservation Area 3 inflow and outflow

COASTAL OUTFLOWS

Monthly flow volumes at SFWMD coastal structures are summarized by service area in Figure 2-40. Table 2-5 shows the total flow volume discharged to tide for the 24-month period. Two periods of high flow are shown, the first being associated with flow from Hurricane Irene, and the second, which affected the Miami-Dade area (Lower East Coast, Service Area 3), was caused by an un-named tropical depression. Releases to tide were negligible during the height of the drought in the first several months of 2001. A monthly summary of flow through each structure is shown in Table 2A-2-7.



Figure 2-40. Monthly coastal outflow volumes by service area, 1999-2000

| Area | Volume (ac-ft) |
|----------------|-------------------|
| UEC | 1,489,148 |
| LEC-SA1 | 1,357,643 |
| LEC-SA2 | 636,185 |
| LEC-SA3 | 2,709,720 |
| Caloosahtachee | 1,924,825 |
| Total | 8,117,521 |

Table 2-5. Coastal structure monthly outflow volume, 1999-2001

INFLOWS TO THE EVERGLADES NATIONAL PARK

Figure 2-41 depicts monthly inflow volumes to Everglades National Park (ENP) from October 1999 to September 2001. The monthly flow pattern corresponds to flows in Water Conservation Area 3. Inflow was minimal from January 2001 through June 2001 and increased starting in August 2001. Total inflow for the 24-month period was 2,555,198 ac-ft. Monthly summary of flow through each structure is shown in Table 2A-2-8.



Figure 2-41. Everglades National Park inflow

DYNAMICS IN SYSTEM STORAGE AND HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

System storage was reported daily. The main components of storage in the SFWMD system are Lake Okeechobee and Water Conservation Areas 1, 2, and 3. Total available system storage for Lake Okeechobee peaked in November 1999 and began to recede through June 2001 to exceptionally low levels due to releases and evaporation losses. As the system approached zero gravitationally available storage in May 2001, temporary forward pumps were placed at the S-351, S-352, and S-354 structures for water supply. The forward pumping effectively added approximately 684,000 ac-ft of potential available storage, although a smaller volume was pumped out. Starting in June 2001 the system began a rapid recovery to near-average seasonal levels by the end of September 2001. Figure 2-42 shows the trend in available storage for Lake Okeechobee from October 1999 to September 2001.



Figure 2-42. Lake Okeechobee available storage, October 1999 to September

WATER LEVELS

Water levels in lakes and reservoirs are gauges for drought and water shortage conditions. The major lakes and water holding areas (impoundments) in the South Florida Water Management District are Lake Okeechobee, Lake Istokpoga, Lake Kissimmee, Lake Myrtle, Alligator Lake, Lake Gentry, Lake Mary Jane, East Lake Tohopekaliga, Lake Tohopekaliga, and water conservation areas 1, 2, and 3. Water level data for Lake Okeechobee are available since 1931. Figure 2-43 shows daily water levels for Lake Okeechobee, and reported drought years are marked. The minimum lake level for the period of record of 8.97 ft NGVD was reached on May 24, 2001. The maximum water level of 18.77 ft NGVD was achieved on November 2, 1947. The lake's water level was at or below 11 ft NGVD for 3 percent of days since 1931. Figure 2-44 shows the number of consecutive days the lake was below 11.0 ft NGVD; the longest, 194 days, was achieved in 2001.

The consecutive number of days the lake stage has been below 11.00 ft NGVD matches the drought years. The mean lake stage and standard deviation at the beginning of each month are shown in Figure 2-45. A stage decline of two standard deviations from the mean can be taken as a measure of the criticality of Lake Okeechobee's storage decline. Also, the number of days below a given stage (e.g., 11 ft NGVD) can be used as a measure of the criticality of Lake Okeechobee's storage decline.



Figure 2-43. Average daily water level for Lake Okeechobee



Figure 2-44. Number of days Lake Okeechobee water level was below 11 ft NGVD



Figure 2-45. Mean lake water level and standard deviation for Lake Okeechobee at the beginning of each month

Lake Okeechobee's daily water level and evaporation losses are shown in Figure 2-46. The lake's water level declined from 16.53 NGVD on October 1, 1999 to 8.97 ft NGVD on May 24, 2001. The total decline was 7.56 ft. The evaporation loss for Lake Okeechobee for the period from October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001 was 9.06 ft. Decreased inflow and rainfall increase in discharge and evaporation correspond to the lake's stage decline. Increased inflow from the north, backflow to the lake, and reduced discharge from the lake correspond to a gain in stage.



Lake Okeechobee daily stage and evaporation

Figure 2-46. Lake Okeechobee daily water level and evaporation

Historical daily average water levels for Lake Kissimmee and Lake Istokpoga are shown in Figures 2A-1-15 and 2A-1-16. Lake Kissimmee, with an area of 35,520 acres, has been regulated by the S-65 structure since 1964 with in a little more than three feet fluctuation. Lake Kissimmee attained a maximum daily average water level of 56.64 ft NGVD on October 12, 1953 and a minimum of 42.87 ft NGVD on May 25, 1977. The historical average lake level is 50.38 ft NGVD. Lake Istokpoga, with an area of 28,160 acres, has been regulated by the S-68 structure since the early 1960s within three feet of fluctuation. Lake Istokpoga attained a maximum daily average water level of 42.9 ft NGVD on September 17, 1945 and a minimum of 35.4 ft NGVD on May 29, 1962. The historical average lake level is 38.59 ft NGVD. Figure 2-47 depicts water level fluctuations of Lake Kissimmee and Lake Istokpoga from October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001. Lake Kissimmee fluctuated between 52.57 and 48.28 ft NGVD, with the minimum level occurring on April 29, 2001. Lake Istokpoga fluctuated between 39.55 and 35.88 ft NGVD, with the minimum occurring on June 19, 2001.



Lake Kissimmee and Lake Istokpoga water levels (ft NGVD)

Figure 2-47. Lake Kissimmee and Lake Istokpoga daily water levels

The Water Conservation Areas are shallow impoundments, with a total area of approximately 736,640 acres. Water Conservation Area 1 (Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge) is 140,800 acres in area, with a daily average water level of 15.55 ft NGVD. The maximum daily average water level of 18.19 ft NGVD was attained on October 16, 1999, and the minimum level of 10 ft NGVD was reached on June 1, 1962. Average depth is 15.5 ft. Water Conservation Area 2A is 105,408 acres in area, with an average water level of 12.59 ft NGVD. The maximum water level of 15.64 ft NGVD was attained on November 18, 1969 and the minimum level of 9.33 ft NGVD was reached on April 29, 1989 during a drought year. Water Conservation Area 3A is 497,072 acres in area, with an average water level of 9.40 ft NGVD. The maximum water level of 12.79 ft NGVD was attained on January 22, 1995 during an El Niño year, and the minimum level of 4.78 ft NGVD was reached on June 19, 1962 during a drought year. Historical daily water levels for the water conservation areas are shown in Figures 2A-1-17, 2A-1-18, and 2A-1-19. Daily water level fluctuations for the three water conservation areas during the current drought period are shown in Figure 2-48.



Water Conservation Areas water levels

Figure 2-48. Water Conservation Areas' daily water levels

REFERENCES

- Ali, A. 1998. Hydrologic Report of Lake Kissimmee Basin and Preferred Database Development. *Technical Memorandum WRE #361*, South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL.
- Ali, A. and W. Abtew. 1999. Regional Rainfall Frequency Analysis for Central and South Florida. *Technical Publication. WRE # 380*, South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL.
- Burpee, R.W. and L.N. Lahiff. 1984. Area-average Rainfall Variation on Seabreeze Days in South Florida, *Monthly Weather Review*, Vol. 112:520-534.
- Rosenthal, S.L. 1994. Statistical Aspects of the Precipitation Regimes at Miami International Airport (MIA) and Palm Beach International Airport (PBIA): 1961-1990. NOAA Technical Memorandum ERL AAOML-80.

Winsberg, M.D. 1990. Florida Weather. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando.

Chapter 3: Groundwater Responses to the Drought

Simon Sunderland

SUMMARY

This section provides a summary of groundwater levels in key aquifers around the District between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001. A District hydrogeologist reviewed water level data from a network of 81 real-time monitoring wells on a weekly basis during this period. Figure 3-1 shows the layout of the real-time monitoring well network. This network was set up and is currently monitored under a cooperative agreement with the United States Geological Survey (USGS), Miami sub-district.



Selected water level sites in South Florida

Based on PROVISIONAL DATA, as of March 15, 2002.

Figure 3-1. USGS real-time monitoring well network

This report contains several hydrographs that show water level trends in various aquifers around the District between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001. The hydrographs for monitoring wells in the Lower East Coast and Lower West Coast planning areas show water level trends and water levels estimated by statistical analysis. Data for these hydrographs were obtained from the USGS Statistical Overview of Selected USGS Water Level Monitoring Sites website. The hydrographs show the daily maximum water level elevation, as well as several statistical trends. The lowest colored line on each graph represents the first percentile of data, and represents a value below which only one percent of water level values for the well occurred. The line above that is the tenth percentile, below which ten percent of water levels occurred. Sequentially, above the tenth percentile are lines for the 30th percentile, median, 70th, 90th, and 99th percentiles, respectively, below which 30, 50, 70, 90, and 99 percent of water level values occurred. The soth percentile represents an estimate of the mean water level for the well.

The average water level change per month in each aquifer around the District is shown in **Table 3-1**. This table indicates the average water level change in one month in each aquifer from November 2000 to September 2001.

| Changes by Aquifer | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Nov 00 | Dec 00 | Jan 01 | Feb 01 | Mar 01 | Apr 01 | May 01 | Jun 01 | Jul 01 | Aug 01 | Sep 01 |
| LEC | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Biscayne in Broward | 0.91 | .03 | 0.60 | 0.68 | .59 | 0.78 | .06 | 0.16 | .82 | 1.27 | 0.85 |
| Biscayne in Dade | 0.44 | .13 | 0.48 | 0.53 | 0. 3 9 | 1.06 | .85 | .15 | .37 | 0.17 | 0.24 |
| LWC | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surficial | 0.90 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.99 | .04 | .03 | .58 | 0.90 | 0.51 |
| Lower Tamiami | .47 | .80 | 0.26 | 1.47 | 0.17 | 6.19 | .46 | .12 | .21 | 1.37 | 1.17 |
| Mid- Hawthorn | 4.26 | .82 | .57 | 0.40 | .40 | .04 | 1.13 | .65 | .00 | .24 | 2.96 |
| Sandstone | 4.01 | 3.48 | .14 | 3.61 | .71 | 5.99 | .43 | .11 | .42 | 1.03 | 1.51 |
| UEC | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surficial | 0.80 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.74 | .13 | .64 | .67 | 0.67 | 0.59 |
| Kissimmee Basin | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Floridan | | | | | | | | .78 | .64 | 0.56 | 2.59 |
| Palm Bea | ich C | ounty | / | | | | | | | | |
| Surficial | 1.00 | .14 | 0.39 | 0.86 | .74 | 0.92 | 0.12 | .50 | .73 | 1.60 | 1.05 |

| Table 3-1. Average monthly | water level | changes by | / aquifer |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|

KISSIMMEE BASIN

During the drought, the District reviewed only groundwater levels in the Floridan Aquifer in the Upper Kissimmee Basin in Orange County. This area was of interest to the District because it is adjacent to the city of Orlando, which is a major water user of the Floridan Aquifer. Land use in the Southern Kissimmee Basin is primarily agricultural, and water use demands are not as significant as those from the municipalities in the northern part of the region. Also, the District/USGS real-time monitoring well network does not extend into the southern portion of the Kissimmee Basin. Because of this, no water level data was available.

Upper Floridan Aquifer

The upper Floridan Aquifer in the Upper Kissimmee Basin consists of a thick series of carbonate rocks. Permeability in the aquifer is a result of fractures or solution cavities in the limestone that yield large quantities of water to wells (Shaw and Trost, 1984). The aquifer is the main source of potable water for the region.

Water level data for the upper Floridan Aquifer in this region were sparse because a substantial real-time monitoring-well network is not in place. Data retrieved from the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS) database does not yield continuous data, but rather monthly averaged values. Data between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001 was plotted on a hydrograph and is depicted in **Figure 3-2**. A District hydrogeologist calculated the average water level by month and included this trend on the hydrograph. The purpose of depicting the monthly average was to indicate how the drought affected the water level in the upper Floridan Aquifer relative to the normal water level in the aquifer. The location of the well used to show water level trends in the aquifer is shown in **Figure 3.3**.



Figure 3-2. Hydrograph for the Boggy Creek Floridan Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001



Figure 3-3. Location of the Boggy Creek Floridan Aquifer monitoring well

During the drought, the water level in the upper Floridan Aquifer dropped below its average level in mid-March 2000 and remained there through September 30, 2001. The water level in the aquifer briefly approached its normal level in late July 2001, but dropped below thereafter.

UPPER EAST COAST PLANNING AREA

Surficial Aquifer System

The Surficial Aquifer System (SAS) in the Upper East Coast (UEC) Planning Area is a shallow, unconfined aquifer. The SAS consists of unconsolidated fine-to-medium quartz sand, with inter-bedded layers of limestone, sandstone, shells, and clay. It is the sole source of potable water in the area (Lukasiewicz and Switanek, 1995). Between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001, water level trends in the aquifer were based on four real-time monitoring wells in the area (Figure 3-1).

During the drought, there were two distinct periods of low water levels in the SAS. One period occurred from early December 1999 through the end of October 2000. The other occurred between early November 2000 and early August 2001. Since the SAS is unconfined, it is principally recharged by rainfall. These periods of low groundwater levels occurred during periods of below-normal rainfall. Figures 3-4 and 3-5 are hydrographs for monitoring wells STL-125 (St. Lucie County) and M-1004 (Martin County), respectively. In Figure 3-5, water level fluctuations during the drought are not as dramatic as those in Figure 3-4 (STL-125). This phenomenon can be explained by the aquifer's lower permeability in the vicinity of M-1004. These wells are presented in this report because they are the best representatives of the aquifer's water level trends that resulted from the drought that occurred between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001.



Figure 3-4. Hydrograph for STL-125 Surficial Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001



Figure 3-5. Hydrograph for M-1004 Surficial Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

The first period of low groundwater levels began after Hurricane Irene passed over southern Florida October 14 through 16, 1999. The eastern part of the South Florida peninsula received between 10 and 20 inches of rain from Hurricane Irene. However, from mid-October 1999 to mid-April 2000, precipitation in the UEC was below normally recorded levels. As a result, the water level in the SAS dropped below its normal level from January 2000 to early April 2000 to within the lowest 10-to-30 percentile of recorded water level values (**figures 3-4** and **3-5**). A brief period of rainfall in April 2000 recharged the SAS, and the water level in the aquifer remained above its normal level until early May 2000. Again, from early May 2000 until early October 2000 the UEC received minimal rainfall and the water level in the aquifer dropped to within the lowest 1-to-10 percentile of recorded values for this period. Between October 3 and 4, 2000, a tropical depression (later named Tropical Storm Leslie) passed over the Florida peninsula, dumping 12-to-18 inches of rain along Florida's East Coast. This precipitation recharged the SAS, and water levels rose above normal levels.

The second decline in groundwater levels began in late November 2000, when the water level in the aquifer again dropped below normal. This low water level period lasted from late November 2000 to August 1, 2001. However, during this second period there were three-to-four periods of rainfall after early April 2001 that helped recharge the SAS. These periods of rainfall raised the water in the aquifer to above its normal level in the northern part of the UEC and to slightly below its normal level in the southern part of the region. Rainfall from Tropical Storm Barry (August 1 through 4, 2001) and Tropical Storm Gabrielle (September 11 through 14, 2001) ended the drought, as water levels in the SAS rose above their normally recorded levels.

LOWER EAST COAST PLANNING AREA

Surficial Aquifer in Palm Beach County

The Surficial Aquifer in Palm Beach County is a shallow, unconfined aquifer consisting of unconsolidated quartz sand, limestone, sandstone, and shells (coquina). It is the principal source of potable water in the area (Shine et al., 1989). Between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001, water level trends in the aquifer were gauged from three real-time monitoring wells in the area (Figure 3-1).

From October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001, water levels in the aquifer remained below the normal level during two periods. One period of low groundwater levels occurred from early December 1999 through the end of October 2000. The other period of low groundwater levels occurred between early November 2000 and early August 2001. Since the Surficial Aquifer is unconfined, it is principally recharged by rainfall. These periods of low groundwater levels occurred during periods of below-normal rainfall. Figure 3-6 shows the water level elevation trend in monitoring well PB-565 in northern Palm Beach County during the drought. This well is depicted in this report because it best represents the water level trends in the aquifer during the drought that occurred between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001.



Figure 3-6. Hydrograph for PB-565 Surficial Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

The first period of low groundwater levels began after Hurricane Irene passed over South Florida, October 14 through 16, 1999. From mid-October 1999 to mid-April 2000, precipitation in Palm Beach County was below normally recorded levels. As a result, the water level in the aquifer dropped to within the lowest 10-to-30 percentile of recorded values from January 2000 to early-April 2000 (Figure 3-6). A brief period of rainfall in April 2000 recharged the Surficial Aquifer, and the aquifer's water level remained above normal until early May 2000. Again, from early May 2000 until early October 2000, Palm Beach County received minimal rainfall and the water level in the aquifer dropped to within the lowest 1-to-10 percentile of recorded values for this period. Between October 3 and 4, 2000, a tropical depression (later named Tropical Storm Leslie) passed over the Florida peninsula, dropping substantial rainfall along the Southeast Coast. This precipitation recharged the Surficial Aquifer, and water levels rose above normal. The rainfall from this tropical depression effectively ended the 2000–2001drought's first phase.

The second phase of the decline began in late November 2000, when the aquifer's water level again dropped below normal. This second phase lasted from late November 2000 to August 1, 2001. However, during this second phase the decline in water levels was less precipitous, as there were three-to-four periods of rainfall between these dates that recharged the Surficial Aquifer. These periods of rainfall temporarily raised the aquifer's water level to above normal. Rainfall from Tropical Storm Barry (August 1 through 4, 2001) and Tropical Storm Gabrielle (September 11 through 14, 2001) ended the drought, as the water level in the Surficial Aquifer in Palm Beach County rose to within the highest one percentile of recorded levels.

Biscayne Aquifer, Miami-Dade, and Broward Counties

The Biscayne Aquifer is a shallow, unconfined aquifer consisting of highly permeable limestone and less-permeable sandy limestone and sand (Causaras, 1985 and 1987). The aquifer, which extends from southern Palm Beach County to Miami-Dade County, is generally more sandy to the north and east and contains more limestone and sandy limestone to the south and west. It is the principal source of potable water in the area (Shine et al., 1989). The aquifer is recharged when rainfall and water from numerous surface water bodies penetrate it. During dry periods, water stored in the Water Conservation Areas is released into District canals and used to maintain groundwater levels in the Biscayne Aquifer. The aquifer's high permeability allows rapid recharge from canal water (Randazzo and Jones, 1997) and from rainfall. Between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001, water level trends in the aquifer were gauged from 21 real-time monitoring wells in the area (Figure 3-1).

The water level in the Biscayne Aquifer exhibited different trends in different areas during the drought. In northern Broward County, the water level exhibited a similar trend as the SAS in Palm Beach County. There were two periods during which water levels dropped below normal. One period of low groundwater levels occurred from late December 1999 through the end of September 2000. The other occurred between early November 2000 and early August 2001. Figure 3-6 is a hydrograph for monitoring well G-1260, located in northern Broward County.



Figure 3-7. Hydrograph for the G-1260 Biscayne Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

In northern Miami-Dade County, the water level in the Biscayne Aquifer had one extended period (mid-November 1999 to mid-March 2000) and several shorter periods when water levels were below normal. Figure 3-8 is a hydrograph for monitoring well F-291, located in northern Miami-Dade County. There were also several peaks when the water level in the aquifer was significantly above normal. These high levels probably correspond to a recharge event due to rainfall or inflow from District canals.



Figure 3-8. Hydrograph for F-291 Biscayne Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

The water level in the Biscayne Aquifer, in southern Miami-Dade County near the coast, generally remained at or above the normal level through the 2000–2001 drought. Figure 3-9 is a hydrograph for monitoring well G-1183, located in southern Miami-Dade County near a canal structure. However, inland around Homestead and Florida City, water levels were periodically at the lowest 1-to-10 percentile of historical levels, specifically during 2001. The low water levels in 2001 in south Miami-Dade County resulted from below-normal rainfall and lack of recharge from canals. When the water level in Lake Okeechobee dropped to critical levels, discharges into the District's canal system that supplies water to Miami-Dade County were reduced. The combination of below-normal rainfall and less recharge from surface water resulted in very little recharge to the Biscayne Aquifer and below-normal water levels. The hydrograph for monitoring well G-1183 (Figure 3-9) near a canal structure exemplifies that the water level in the aquifer remained fairly constant throughout the drought. This may indicate that the potential for saltwater intrusion was low during this time, as the head level in the canal behind the structure was near its normal level.



Figure 3-9. Hydrograph for G-1183 Biscayne Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

LOWER WEST COAST PLANNING AREA

The four aquifers in the Lower West Coast (LWC) Planning Area are combined into two aquifer systems: the Surficial Aquifer System (SAS), consisting of the Surficial and Lower Tamiami aquifers; and the Intermediate Aquifer System (IAS), consisting of the Sandstone and Mid-Hawthorn aquifers. The IAS is the main source of potable water in the LWC (Randazzo and Jones, 1997). The sections below describe the water level trends in these aquifers between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001.

Surficial Aquifer

The Surficial Aquifer is the upper-most aquifer of the SAS. It is a shallow, unconfined aquifer consisting of undifferentiated deposits. The primary use of groundwater from this aquifer is agricultural irrigation.

During the drought, there were two distinct periods of low water levels in the Surficial Aquifer. One period occurred from early January 2000 through early August 2000. The other occurred between mid-October 2000 and early-August 2001. Since the Surficial Aquifer is unconfined, it is principally recharged by rainfall. These periods of low groundwater levels occurred during periods of below-normal rainfall. Figure 3-10 shows the water level elevation trend in monitoring well C-492 (Collier County) during the drought. This well is shown in this report because it best represents the water level trends in the aquifer between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001.



Figure 3-10. Hydrograph for C-492 Surficial Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

The first period of low groundwater levels began after Hurricane Irene passed over South Florida, October 14 through 16, 1999. However, from mid-October 1999 to mid-April 2000, precipitation in the LWC was below normally recorded levels. As a result, the water level in the Surficial Aquifer dropped below normal to within the lowest 10th percentile of recorded water levels. From mid-July 2000 until early October 2000, the LWC received rainfall and the water level in the aquifer rose to near its normal level. Rainfall between October 3 and 4, 2000 from a tropical depression (later named Tropical Storm Leslie) recharged the Surficial Aquifer, and its water level rose to normal.

The second period of the decline began in mid-October 2000, when the water level in the aquifer again dropped below normal. This period lasted until August 1, 2001, when precipitation from Tropical Storm Barry recharged the aquifer and brought the water level above normal. Rainfall from Tropical Storm Barry (August 1 through 4, 2001), and later from Tropical Storm Gabrielle (September 11 through 14, 2001), ended the drought, as the water level in the Surficial Aquifer rose above its normally recorded level.
Lower Tamiami Aquifer

The Lower Tamiami Aquifer is the lower-most aquifer of the SAS. It is semi-confined to confined and consists of sandy, shelly limestone, and calcareous sandstone (Wedderburn et al., 1982). This aquifer supplies water to municipalities, domestic self-suppliers, and is also used for agricultural irrigation.

Between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001 there were two distinct periods of declining water levels in the Lower Tamiami Aquifer. However, the only extended period of time when the water level in the aquifer was below normal was between mid-November 1999 and mid-April 2000. There were other, shorter periods during the drought when water levels were below the normal level for the aquifer. However, these periods lasted no more than two months. Since the Lower Tamiami Aquifer is semi-confined, it is principally recharged from the overlying Surficial Aquifer. Low groundwater levels in the aquifer occurred during periods of below-normal rainfall. The below-normal rainfall meant that the overlying Surficial Aquifer. Figure 3-11 depicts the water level elevation trend in monitoring well L-738 (Lee County). This well is depicted because it best represents the water level trends in the aquifer during the drought that occurred between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2001.



Figure 3-11. Hydrograph for L-738 Lower Tamiami Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

After Hurricane Irene passed over South Florida, the water level in the Lower Tamiami Aquifer began to decline. The water level in the aquifer periodically dropped below normal for a brief period of time in both February and March 2000. Several peaks on the hydrograph for monitoring well L-738 (Figure 3-11) during this time indicate recharge to the aquifer. These recharge events raised the water level in the Lower Tamiami above normal levels. From early May to early July 2000, the water level in the Lower Tamiami Aquifer dropped to within the lowest 1-to-10 percentile of recorded water levels for the aquifer. The aquifer's water level began to rise in early July and was at its normal level by early August 2000. There was another brief period in mid-to-late August 2000, when the water level in the aquifer dipped below normal. Wet-season rainfall and precipitation from a tropical depression (later named Tropical Storm Leslie) in early October recharged the Lower Tamiami Aquifer, and the water level rose above normal.

In late October 2000, the water level in the Lower Tamiami Aquifer underwent a precipitous drop to within the lowest 10-to-30 percentile of recorded water levels by mid-November 2000. This period of below-normal water levels lasted until mid-April 2001, when, after several rainfall events, the water level in the aquifer returned to normal. It remained at normal levels until rainfall from Tropical Storm Barry (August 1 through 4, 2001) and Tropical Storm Gabrielle (September 11 through 14, 2001) ended the drought and raised the water level in the Lower Tamiami Aquifer significantly above normal.

Sandstone Aquifer

The Sandstone Aquifer is the upper-most aquifer of the IAS. It is a confined aquifer and is separated from the overlying SAS by a confining layer of green/gray clay. The Sandstone Aquifer is composed of sandy limestones, sandstones, sandy dolomites, and calcareous sands (Wedderburn et al., 1982). The aquifer's productivity is highly variable. Nonetheless, it manages to supply groundwater to utilities and for irrigation (Wedderburn et al., 1982).

From October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001, there were two distinct periods of low water levels in the Sandstone Aquifer. One period occurred from early January through mid-August 2000. The other occurred between early November 2000 and mid-June 2001. Since the Sandstone Aquifer is confined, it is recharged by the overlying aquifers. The low groundwater levels in the aquifer occurred during periods of below-normal rainfall, when the overlying aquifers were not being recharged and, therefore, could not recharge the Sandstone. Figure 3-12 is a hydrograph for monitoring well HE-556 in Hendry County. This well is presented in this report because it best represents the drought's effect on the Sandstone Aquifer's water level.



Figure 3-12. Hydrograph for HE-556 Sandstone Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

After receiving significant recharge from Hurricane Irene, the water level in the Sandstone Aquifer began to drop as the District entered a period of below-normal rainfall in late October 1999. By early January 2000 the water level in the Sandstone Aquifer had dropped below normal, and it underwent a precipitous drop in late February/early March 2000 to within 1-to-10 percentile of its lowest level. It remained there until early July, when it began to rise. By early August the aquifer's water level was back above normal. Rainfall from a tropical depression

(later named Tropical Storm Leslie) in early October 2000 helped to significantly raise the aquifer's water level to above normal.

By mid October 2000 the water level in the Sandstone Aquifer again fell below normal as it underwent another precipitous drop. By early February 2001, the water level in the aquifer was at its lowest 1 percentile of recorded levels. When water restrictions went into effect, the water level in the aquifer rose to within its lowest 10-to-30 percentile of recorded values by mid-March 2001, showing the positive effects of the water restrictions. By mid-May 2001 the water level in the Sandstone Aquifer again rose due to recharge of the overlying aquifers from wet-season rainfall. In mid-June 2001 the water level in the aquifer was back above normal. Rainfall from tropical storms Barry and Gabrielle further recharged the overlying aquifers and raised the water level in the Sandstone Aquifer significantly above normal, effectively ending the drought.

Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer

The Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer is confined and is the lowermost aquifer of the IAS. It is separated from the overlying Sandstone Aquifer by a confining layer of clay. The Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer consists of limestone, dolomite, and sandstone and derives its permeability from intergranular and moldic porosity and fractures/solution openings (Wedderburn et al., 1982). The aquifer is not always productive and is also relatively thin (it rarely exceeds 80 feet in thickness), compared to other aquifers within the District (SFWMD, 2000). The aquifer extends to the south and east, where it terminates near the Lee-Hendry counties' line. The water quality in the aquifer is poor, as it yields mostly saline water in much of the LWC (SFWMD, 2000). Groundwater from the aquifer is used by private wells in areas where city water is not provided. It is also occasionally used for agricultural irrigation.

From October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001, there were two distinct periods of low water levels in the Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer. The first period occurred from mid-February through mid-June 2000. The second occurred between early November 2000 and mid-July 2001. The periods of low groundwater levels in the aquifer occurred during periods of below-normal rainfall, meaning that the overlying aquifers were not being recharged and, therefore, could not recharge the Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer. Figure 3-13 is a hydrograph for monitoring well L-2644 in Lee County. This well is presented in this report because it best represents the 2000-2001 drought's effects on the water level in the Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer.



Figure 3-13. Hydrograph for L-2644 Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer monitoring well, October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2001

After receiving significant recharge from Hurricane Irene, the water level in the Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer started to drop in late October 1999, as the District entered a period of belownormal rainfall. By mid-February 2000 the aquifer's water level dropped to below normal and then steadily declined. By mid-March 2000 the water level had dropped to within 1-to-10 percentile of its lowest level, where it remained until early June 2000, when it began to rise. By late June, the water level in the aquifer was back above normal. Rainfall from a tropical depression (later named Tropical Storm Leslie) in early October 2000 significantly helped to raise the water level in the aquifer to above normal.

By late October 2000 the water level in the Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer was back below normal, as it underwent a precipitous drop. By early November 2000 it was at the lowest 1-to-10 percentile of its recorded levels. It remained there until early February 2001, when water restrictions went into effect. After the District imposed water restrictions, the water level in the aquifer rose and briefly returned to normal in early April 2001. However, by mid-June 2001 the water level in the Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer had declined to within the lowest 1 percentile of its recorded values. By early July 2001 the water level in the aquifer was back above normal, as wet-season rainfall recharged the overlying aquifers. Rainfall from tropical storms Barry and Gabrielle further recharged the overlying aquifers and raised the water level in the Mid-Hawthorn Aquifer significantly above its normal level, effectively ending the drought.

MONTHLY VOLUME OF PUMPED GROUNDWATER

From December 1999 to September 2001, nine counties reported the quantities of water withdrawn for water supply purposes from the aquifers described in the last section of this report. The average daily amount of water withdrawn per month in each county during this period is presented in Table 3-2.

| County | Average Daily MGD Dec '99 | Average Daily MGD Jan '00 | Average Daily MGD Feb '00 | Average Daily MGD Mar '00 | Average Daily MGD Apr '00 |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Broward | 135.63 | 142.38 | 151.94 | 150.10 | 144.34 |
| Collier | 53.23 | 55.80 | 59.12 | 58.33 | 55.82 |
| Hendry | 4.63 | 4.16 | 4.26 | 4.31 | 4.15 |
| Lee | 64.75 | 62.32 | 70.13 | 70.67 | 76.09 |
| Miami-Dade | 363.45 | 374.14 | 383.39 | 380.42 | 378.93 |
| Monroe | 16.02 | 17.19 | 18.07 | 18.78 | 18.09 |
| Okeechobee | 1.86 | 2.21 | 2.46 | 2.40 | 2.21 |
| Orange | 141.80 | 142.34 | 156.10 | 170.32 | 178.71 |
| Palm Beach | 199.08 | 203.53 | 216.66 | 223.52 | 218.12 |
| Total | 980.45 | 1,004.06 | 1,062.13 | 1,078.86 | 1,076.46 |

Table 3-2. Average daily groundwater withdrawals, by county

| County | Average Daily MGD May '00 | Average Daily MGD June '00 | Average Daily MGD July '00 | Average Daily MGD Aug '00 | Average Daily MGD Sep '00 |
|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Broward | 154.40 | 143.58 | 135.82 | 139.28 | 132.54 |
| Collier | 55.78 | 45.84 | 45.39 | 39.39 | 37.89 |
| Hendry | 3.44 | 3.67 | 3.44 | 3.79 | 3.67 |
| Lee | 77.16 | 52.19 | 44.07 | 46.27 | 46.37 |
| Miami-Dade | 396.52 | 376.32 | 371.04 | 375.38 | 373.65 |
| Monroe | 18.90 | 16.56 | 17.98 | 16.66 | 15.15 |
| Okeechobee | 2.47 | 2.07 | 1.97 | 1.97 | 2.02 |
| Orange | 207.59 | 199.12 | 168.21 | 163.27 | 155.93 |
| Palm Beach | 244.78 | 225.66 | 208.35 | 210.55 | 203.23 |
| Total | 1,161.04 | 1,065.00 | 996.28 | 996.55 | 970.46 |

| County | Average Daily MGD Nov '00* | Average Daily MGD Jan '01 | Average Daily MGD Feb '01 | Average Daily MGD Mar '01 | Average Daily MGD Apr '01 |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Broward | 149.54 | 130.58 | 132.62 | 124.40 | 123.97 |
| Collier | 55.99 | 51.51 | 51.09 | 49.34 | 48.69 |
| Hendry | 3.59 | 3.34 | 3.61 | 3.54 | 3.41 |
| Lee | 74.96 | 65.14 | 66.97 | 61.21 | 59.99 |
| Miami-Dade | 387.11 | 343.14 | 351.59 | 341.85 | 350.68 |
| Monroe | 16.69 | 16.28 | 16.89 | 16.95 | 15.85 |
| Okeechobee | 2.38 | 2.30 | 2.29 | 2.22 | 2.08 |
| Orange | 170.33 | 139.57 | 137.70 | 137.94 | 154.97 |
| Palm Beach | 222.57 | 201.12 | 198.92 | 193.44 | 193.52 |
| Total | 1,083.18 | 952.99 | 961.68 | 930.90 | 953.18 |

*No data available for October 2000

| County | Average Daily MGD May '01 | Average Daily MGD June '01 | Average Daily MGD Jul '01 | Average Daily MGD Aug '01 | Average Daily MGD Sep '01 |
|------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Broward | 119.93 | 116.23 | 115.01 | 125.93 | 114.13 |
| Collier | 49.38 | 45.18 | 38.41 | 41.96 | 39.78 |
| Hendry | 3.04 | 2.83 | 2.78 | 3.24 | 3.13 |
| Lee | 65.94 | 53.96 | 41.24 | 45.78 | 39.71 |
| Miami Dade | 346.04 | 353.45 | 348.00 | 355.02 | 340.10 |
| Monroe | 14.94 | 15.68 | 15.25 | 15.17 | 13.54 |
| Okeechobee | 2.00 | 1.83 | 2.03 | 1.86 | 1.86 |
| Orange | 158.40 | 143.08 | 140.68 | 139.91 | 132.25 |
| Palm Beach | 186.34 | 170.63 | 167.84 | 186.11 | 110.64 |
| Total | 946.02 | 902.87 | 871.24 | 914.99 | 795.14 |

The data in Table 3-2 indicate that water restrictions imposed in 2001 were more effective in controlling groundwater withdrawals than those imposed in 2000. Generally, in 2000, the quantity of groundwater withdrawn during the drought was cyclical, i.e., it would decrease one month and increase the next. Throughout 2001, average daily groundwater withdrawals in each county decreased each month. The effects of the decrease are noticeable in the semi-confined to confined aquifers in the LWC. The hydrographs presented in the previous section for the Sandstone and Mid-Hawthorn aquifers show a slight increase in the water level in each aquifer after water restrictions went into effect and groundwater withdrawals were reduced.

REFERENCES

- Causaras, C.R. 1985. Geology of the Surficial Aquifer System, Broward County, Florida. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report, 84-4068. 167 p.
- Causaras, C.R. 1987. Geology of the Surficial Aquifer System, Dade County, Florida. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report, 86-4126. 240 p.
- Lukasiewicz, J. and M.P. Switanek. 1995. Ground Water Quality in the Surficial and Floridan Aquifer Systems Underlying the Upper East Coast Planning Area. South Florida Water Management District Technical Publication 95-04, West Palm Beach, FL. September.
- Randazzo, A.F. and D.S. Jones. 1997. *The Geology of Florida*. University Press of Florida. 327 p.
- South Florida Water Management District. 2000. Kissimmee Basin Water Supply Plan: Planning Document. South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL. April.
- Shaw, J.E., and S.M. Trost. 1984. *Hydrogeology of the Kissimmee Planning Area, South Florida Water Management District*. South Florida Water Management District Technical Publication 84-1, West Palm Beach, FL. January.
- Shine, M.J., D.G.J. Padgett, and W.M. Barfknecht. 1989. Groundwater Resource Assessment of Eastern Palm Beach County, Florida. South Florida Water Management District Technical Publication 84-1, West Palm Beach, FL. December.
- Wedderburn, L.A., M.S. Knapp, D.P. Waltz, and W.S. Burns. 1982. Hydrogeologic Reconnaissance of Lee County, Florida. South Florida Water Management District Technical Publication 82-1, West Palm Beach, FL. January.

Chapter 4: Conclusions and Recommendations

Wossenu Abtew, R. Scott Huebner, and Simon Sunderland

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Droughts and water shortages have the potential to increase in severity and frequency as the demand for water increases in South Florida. A minimum of one severe drought every decade can be expected. Water management decision making should incorporate drought monitoring and recurrence probability. Rainfall deficit, Palmer Drought Severity Index, climatological forecasts, surface water and groundwater levels, and water demand parameters are essential to monitor. A system-wide approach is necessary to effectively deal with wildfire mitigation, drought, and water management.

Further, it is important to not only develop a drought monitoring system that will alert the public and others to the imminence of drought, but also to incorporate drought management as part of water supply planning and operational decision making. At the onset of a drought, the impact can be reduced by implementation of drought mitigation measures, specifically, increasing water supply, reducing water demand, and minimizing the drought's impact (Rossi, 2000). Suggestions for increasing the water supply include relaxing minimum lake levels, developing new, or less-used, sources, and reusing water. Recommendations for reducing water demand include implementation of water use restrictions and education regarding water conservation methods and application. Suggestions for minimizing the impact of a drought include temporary re-allocation of water resources and the use of subsidies.

REFERENCES

Rossi, G. 2000. Drought Mitigation Measures: A Comprehensive Framework. Drought and Drought Mitigation in Europe. J.V. Vogt and F. Somma (eds.), pp. 233–246. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston.

APPENDIX 2A-1

Wossenu Abtew and R. Scott Huebner

SUMMARY

This appendix contains illustrations of historical annual rainfall, lake flows and water levels, and Water Conservation Areas' water levels.



Figure 2A-1-1. Historical annual rainfall for Upper Kissimmee Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-2. Historical annual rainfall for Lower Kissimmee Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-3. Historical annual rainfall for Lake Okeechobee Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-4. Historical annual rainfall for Martin/St. Lucie Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-5. Historical annual rainfall for East EAA Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-6. Historical annual rainfall for West Ag. Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-7. Historical annual rainfall for East Caloosahatchee Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-8. Historical annual rainfall for Southwest Coast Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-9. Historical annual rainfall for Palm Beach Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-10. Historical annual rainfall for Broward Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-11. Historical annual rainfall for Miami-Dade Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-12. Historical annual rainfall for WCA-1 and 2 Rain Area and regional drought years



Figure 2A-1-13. Historical outflows from Lake Kissimmee through S-65 structure



Figure 2A-1-14. Historical outflows from Lake Istokpoga through S-68 structure



Figure 2A-1-15. Historical daily water level for Lake Kissimmee



Figure 2A-1-16. Historical daily water level for Lake Istokpoga



Figure 2A-1-17. Historical daily water level for Water Conservation Area 1-A



Figure 2A-1-18. Historical daily water level for Water Conservation Area 2-A



Figure 2A-1-19. Historical daily water level for Water Conservation Area 3-A

APPENDIX 2A-2

Wossenu Abtew and R. Scott Huebner

SUMMARY

This appendix contains illustrations of Stormwater Treatment Areas, Water Conservation Areas, Everglades National Park, and coastal flows during the drought period.

| | | | STA-1W | | | STA-1W |
|-------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Year | M onth | $G302_S$ | Inflow | G251_P | G310_P | Outflow |
| 1999 | October | 16,359 | 16,359 | 18,829 | 0 | 18,829 |
| 1999 | November | 9,682 | 9,682 | 10,701 | 0 | 10,701 |
| 1999 | December | 13,020 | 13,020 | 12,480 | 0 | 12,480 |
| 2000 | January | 17,787 | 17,787 | 12,763 | 0 | 12,763 |
| 2000 | February | 3,418 | 3,418 | 8,316 | 0 | 8,316 |
| 2000 | M arch | 14,260 | 14,260 | 9,693 | 0 | 9,693 |
| 2000 | April | 11,238 | 11,238 | 10,986 | 0 | 10,986 |
| 2000 | M ay | 8,412 | 8,412 | 11,400 | 0 | 11,400 |
| 2000 | June | 4,823 | 4,823 | 1,557 | 0 | 1,557 |
| 2000 | July | 15,587 | 15,587 | 14,396 | 3,667 | 18,063 |
| 2000 | August | 16,613 | 16,613 | 10,592 | 5,378 | 15,970 |
| 2000 | September | 17,497 | 17,497 | 8,191 | 7,339 | 15,531 |
| 2000 | October | 12,337 | 12,337 | 8,692 | 13,804 | 22,496 |
| 2000 | November | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,178 | 1,179 |
| 2000 | December | 508 | 508 | 0 | 500 | 500 |
| 2001 | January | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 106 |
| 2001 | February | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | M arch | 4,702 | 4,702 | 534 | 1,038 | 1,572 |
| 2001 | April | 719 | 719 | 1,065 | 1,078 | 2,143 |
| 2001 | M ay | 917 | 917 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | June | 5,171 | 5,171 | 0 | 232 | 232 |
| 2001 | July | 40,219 | 40,219 | 0 | 40,721 | 40,721 |
| 2001 | August | 33,957 | 33,957 | 0 | 49,004 | 49,004 |
| 2001 | September | 47,936 | 47,936 | 1,085 | 56,018 | 57,103 |
| Total | | 295,162 | 295,162 | 141,281 | 180,063 | 321,344 |

Table 2A-2-1. STA-1W inflow and outflow during the 2000-2001 drought (ac-ft)

| Table 2A-2-2. STA-5 inflow and outflow during the 2000-2001 drought (ac | :-ft) |
|---|-------|
|---|-------|

| | | | | | | | | | STA 5 | | | | | STA 5 |
|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Year 1 | Month | G342A | G342B | G342C | G342D | $G349B_P$ | $G350B_P$ | STA5_TMP | Inflow | G344A | G344B | G344C | G344D | Outflow |
| 1999 | October | 2,894 | 1,876 | 1,319 | 1,334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,422 | 4,159 | 4,645 | 4,382 | 4,082 | 17,267 |
| 1999 | November | 5 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 15 |
| 1999] | December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 . | January | -3 | -1 | -1 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 2000 1 | February | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 1 | March | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 . | April | 3 | 10 | 168 | 441 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 622 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 1 | May | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 855 | 839 | 0 | 1,692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 . | June | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 485 | 919 | 0 | 1,533 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 . | July | 2 | 3 | 2,716 | 3,149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,871 | 3 | 0 | 3,391 | 2,390 | 5,784 |
| 2000 . | August | 908 | 2,060 | 4,432 | 4,052 | 70 | 69 | 0 | 11,591 | 1,595 | 1,647 | 3,023 | 2,948 | 9,213 |
| 2000 | September | 2,621 | 5,468 | 5,563 | 3,190 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 16,845 | 4,744 | 5,145 | 2,529 | 2,988 | 15,406 |
| 2000 | October | 2,549 | 6,095 | 3,836 | 4,898 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 17,383 | 6,749 | 8,991 | 5,923 | 4,840 | 26,503 |
| 2000 | November | 3 | 3 | 225 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 502 | 0 | 7 | 60 | 58 | 125 |
| 2000 1 | December | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 35 |
| 2001 . | January | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 24 |
| 2001] | February | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 442 | 0 | 449 | 900 | 4 | 1 | 1 | -3 | 4 |
| 2001] | March | 154 | 272 | 873 | 851 | 338 | 477 | 1,323 | 4,288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001. | April | 0 | 1 | 220 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001] | May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,255 | 1,255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 . | June | 2,822 | 3,422 | 3,646 | 2,955 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 12,980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 . | July | 4,932 | 6,095 | 5,788 | 4,983 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 21,802 | 6,617 | 5,863 | 4,017 | 624 | 17,121 |
| 2001 . | August | 6,464 | 8,142 | 7,073 | 6,104 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 27,790 | 10,629 | 7,650 | 8,999 | -1,996 | 25,282 |
| 2001 | September | 8,188 | 9,993 | 8,414 | 7,133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,727 | 13,831 | 11,494 | 10,999 | 5,574 | 41,897 |
| Total | | 31,550 | 43,458 | 44,284 | 39,733 | 2,194 | 2,320 | 3,161 | 166,701 | 48,360 | 45,465 | 43,354 | 21,514 | 158,693 |

| | | | STA-6 | | | | STA-6 |
|-------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Year | Month | G600_P | Inflow | STA6_OUT | G354_C | G393_C | Outflow |
| 1999 | October | 14,734 | 14,734 | 18,847 | | | 18,847 |
| 1999 | November | 5,822 | 5,822 | 5,232 | | | 5,232 |
| 1999 | December | 1,931 | 1,931 | 1,136 | | | 1,136 |
| 2000 | January | 1,044 | 1,044 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 2000 | February | 965 | 965 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 2000 | March | 283 | 283 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 2000 | April | 1,573 | 1,573 | 41 | | | 41 |
| 2000 | May | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 2000 | June | 1,461 | 1,461 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 2000 | July | 5,338 | 5,338 | 3,724 | | | 3,724 |
| 2000 | August | 3,568 | 3,568 | 3,054 | | | 3,054 |
| 2000 | September | 6,667 | 6,667 | 5,415 | | | 5,415 |
| 2000 | October | 12,690 | 12,690 | 11,693 | | | 11,693 |
| 2000 | November | 2,300 | 2,300 | 343 | | | 343 |
| 2000 | December | 894 | 894 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 2001 | January | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 2001 | February | 886 | 886 | 0 | | | 0 |
| 2001 | March | 4,928 | 4,928 | | 1,138 | 870 | 2,008 |
| 2001 | April | 662 | 662 | | 253 | 227 | 480 |
| 2001 | May | 294 | 294 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | June | 6,211 | 6,211 | | 1,622 | 1,152 | 2,775 |
| 2001 | July | 4,762 | 4,762 | | 1,488 | 1,100 | 2,588 |
| 2001 | August | 3,169 | 3,169 | | 996 | 692 | 1,689 |
| 2001 | September | 8,895 | 8,895 | | 3,617 | 2,234 | 5,852 |
| Total | | 89,079 | 89,079 | 49,486 | 9,115 | 6,276 | 64,877 |

 Table 2A-2-3. STA-6 inflow and outflow during the 2000-2001 drought (ac-ft)

Table 2A-2-4. LNWR inflow and outflow during the 2000-2001 drought (ac- $$\rm ft$)$

| | | | | | | | | LNWR | | | | | | | LNWR |
|------------|------------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|
| Year Mont | h G30 | 0 | G301 | S6 | G251 | G310 | ACME | Inflow | S10A | S10C | S10D | S10E | S39 | G94ABC | Outflow |
| 1999 Octob | ber | 0 | 42,883 | 74,356 | 18,829 | 0 | 10,096 | 146,165 | 0 | 83,599 | 84,432 | 73,559 | 0 | 17,421 | 259,012 |
| 1999 Nove | mber 9,5 | 46 | -6,233 | 16,487 | 10,701 | 0 | 2,708 | 39,441 | 0 | 0 | 19,063 | 17,250 | 0 | 36,325 | 81,964 |
| 2000 Decen | mber 9 | 13 | 2,599 | 19,501 | 12,480 | 0 | 366 | 35,859 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,235 | 51,510 |
| 2000 Janua | iry 1 | 24 | 2,345 | 18,512 | 12,763 | 0 | 254 | 33,998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23,459 | 30,864 |
| 2000 Febru | ary -2 | 04 | 774 | 3,783 | 8,316 | 0 | 37 | 12,910 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,116 | 10,205 |
| 2000 March | h 5,1 | 11 | 2,800 | 13,962 | 9,693 | 0 | 522 | 32,088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,323 | 18,848 |
| 2000 April | 7,6 | 72 | 9,920 | 22,691 | 10,986 | 0 | 1,796 | 53,065 | 0 | 17,750 | 16,998 | 21,576 | 0 | 20,241 | 83,289 |
| 2000 May | -2,1 | 09 | 3,909 | 6,875 | 11,400 | 0 | 24 | 22,207 | 0 | 424 | 438 | 462 | 6 | 30,667 | 44,799 |
| 2000 June | -2,3 | 08 | -1,444 | 6,996 | 1,557 | 0 | 13 | 8,566 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,530 | 14,695 |
| 2000 July | 3,0 | 22 | 9,218 | 22,104 | 14,396 | 3,667 | 2,235 | 54,642 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 1,643 |
| 2000 Augu | st -2,7 | 43 | -8,138 | 24,048 | 10,592 | 5,378 | 2,151 | 42,169 | 0 | 2,606 | 1,402 | 1,339 | 0 | 473 | 20,843 |
| 2000 Septe | mber 4,7 | 27 | 2,014 | 35,296 | 8,191 | 7,339 | 1,436 | 59,004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 6,263 |
| 2000 Octob | oer -4,8 | 32 | 23,870 | 52,354 | 8,692 | 13,804 | 4,141 | 102,860 | 0 | 15,749 | 13,779 | 11,968 | 0 | 0 | 48,102 |
| 2000 Nove | mber -14,7 | 07 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,178 | 382 | 1,562 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,956 | 31,197 |
| 2001 Decen | mber 7,3 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 456 | 8,344 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,846 | 5,547 |
| 2001 Janua | iry 4,2 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 5 | 4,384 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,624 | 2,980 |
| 2001 Febru | ary -1,0 | 98 | -1,386 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,746 | 19,107 |
| 2001 Marcl | h -1 | 12 | 412 | 6,938 | 534 | 1,038 | 728 | 9,650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,553 | 4,988 |
| 2001 April | -1,1 | 08 | 0 | 0 | 1,065 | 1,078 | 10 | 2,153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 5,473 |
| 2001 May | -6 | 88 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 3,750 |
| 2001 June | -6 | 56 | 33 | | 0 | 232 | 4,068 | 4,333 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 861 |
| 2001 July | 3 | 60 | -962 | | 0 | 40,721 | 9,374 | 50,455 | 0 | 9,328 | 6,500 | 14,235 | 0 | 0 | 31,025 |
| 2001 Augu | st -6,0 | 91 | 114 | | 0 | 49,004 | 4,089 | 53,206 | 0 | 10,689 | 24,762 | 30,373 | 0 | 360 | 72,332 |
| 2001 Septe | mber -3,0 | 75 | -338 | | 1,085 | 56,018 | 7,401 | 64,504 | 0 | 11,435 | 11,006 | 10,790 | 0 | 0 | 36,644 |
| Inflov | w 43,1 | 37 1 | 100,890 | 323,902 | 141,281 | 180,063 | 52,303 | Outflow | 0 | 151,581 | 178,381 | 181,553 | 6 | 206,314 | |
| Outfl | ow -39,7 | 31 | -18,501 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Inflow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | | | | | | | | 841,576 | | | | | | | 885,941 |

Note: Negative inflow values counted as outflow in sums, negative ouflow values counted as inflows in sums.

| Table 2A-2-5. WCA-2 inflow and outflow | during the 2000-2001 | drought (ac-ft) |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|
|--|----------------------|-----------------|

| | | | | | | | | WCA2 | | | | | | | | WCA2 |
|----------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|----------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| Year Month | S 7 | S10A | S10C | S10D | S10E | G335 | NorthSpr | Inflow | S11A | S11B | S11C | S34 | S38 | S141 | S143 | Outflow |
| 1999 October | 63,709 | 83,599 | 84,432 | 73,559 | 0 | 0 | 3,268 | 308,568 | 0 | 65,732 | 63,705 | 50,436 | 4,903 | 7,333 | 0 | 192,110 |
| 1999 November | 6,525 | 0 | 19,063 | 17,250 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 43,013 | 0 | 28,487 | 27,493 | 20,519 | 12,895 | 13,184 | 0 | 102,577 |
| 2000 December | 985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,937 | 0 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 14,831 | 14,484 | 0 | 29,315 |
| 2000 January | 2,656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,675 | 14,028 | 0 | 28,703 |
| 2000 February | 2,460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,460 | 0 | 1,359 | 0 | 0 | 13,187 | 12,610 | 0 | 27,155 |
| 2000 March | 2,352 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 2,628 | 0 | 13,966 | 0 | 0 | 8,061 | 10,828 | 0 | 43,707 |
| 2000 April | 24,900 | 17,750 | 16,998 | 21,576 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 81,483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,613 | 10,487 | 0 | 32,293 |
| 2000 May | 19,803 | 424 | 438 | 462 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 21,134 | 0 | 17,504 | 0 | 0 | 20,150 | 14,900 | 0 | 74,164 |
| 2000 June | 1,724 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,724 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,162 | 0 | 5,162 |
| 2000 July | 8,952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 9,168 | 0 | 20,791 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 20,791 |
| 2000 August | 10,106 | 2,606 | 1,402 | 1,339 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 15,624 | 0 | 19,785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 456 | -5 | 20,241 |
| 2000 September | 20,713 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 718 | 21,431 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 195 |
| 2000 October | 49,716 | 15,749 | 13,779 | 11,968 | 0 | 0 | 1,270 | 93,513 | 0 | 48,563 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,024 | -1,031 | 49,587 |
| 2000 November | 20,888 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,888 | 0 | 12,827 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,936 | 22 | 19,785 |
| 2001 December | 8,452 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,452 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,761 | 0 | 4,761 |
| 2001 January | -6,113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,146 | 0 | 12,259 |
| 2001 February | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,781 | 0 | 3,781 |
| 2001 March | 5,297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 April | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2001 June | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 July | 6,236 | 9,328 | 6,500 | 14,235 | 0 | 42,059 | 0 | 78,359 | 0 | 8,428 | 10,612 | 22,733 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41,772 |
| 2001 August | -8,850 | 10,689 | 24,762 | 30,373 | 0 | 33,914 | 755 | 101,950 | 0 | 42,970 | 42,091 | 53,823 | 0 | 0 | -1,458 | 147,735 |
| 1900 September | 9,627 | 11,435 | 11,006 | 10,790 | 0 | 49,288 | 1,307 | 94,912 | 0 | 7,585 | 12,196 | 8,928 | 0 | 0 | -1,458 | 28,709 |
| Inflow | 265,100 | 151,581 | 178,381 | 181,553 | 6 | 125,262 | 8,405 | Outflow | 0 | 287,996 | 156,097 | 156,438 | 99,315 | 126,316 | 22 | |
| Outflow | -14,963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Inflow | 0 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,956 | |
| Total | | | | | | | | 915,197 | | | | | | | | 884,803 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note: Negative inflow values counted as outflow in sums; negative ouflow values counted as inflows in sums.

| Table 2A-2-6. | WCA-3 inflow and | outflow during the 20 | 000-2001 drought (ac-f | t) |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----|
| | | | | |

| | | | | | | | WCA3 | | | | | | | | | | WCA3 |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Year | Month | $S140_{TOT}$ | $S150_C$ | S 8 | S9_P | L4 cut | Inflow | G69_C | $S12_T$ | S142_C | S30_C | $S31_C$ | S333 | S343A_C | $S343B_C$ | S344_C | Outflow |
| 1999 | October | 57,885 | 0 | 135,412 | 67,960 | | 261,257 | 0 | 0 | 261,540 | 12,969 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 5,213 | 5,966 | 289,688 |
| 1999 | November | 20,109 | 0 | 11,621 | 25,430 | | 57,160 | 0 | 0 | 339,134 | 15,873 | 662 | 206 | 690 | 6,053 | 6,495 | 373,317 |
| 2000 | December | 3,687 | 0 | 0 | 3,186 | | 6,873 | 0 | 0 | 193,626 | 12,265 | 12,154 | 25,438 | 0 | 3,275 | 3,456 | 252,546 |
| 2000 | January | 0 | 34 | 246 | 79 | | 359 | 0 | 0 | 90,307 | 0 | 11,244 | 34,877 | 34,318 | 0 | 0 | 170,747 |
| 2000 | February | 40 | 392 | 185 | 514 | | 1,130 | 0 | 0 | 24,831 | 1,731 | 10,720 | 30,160 | 66,851 | 0 | 0 | 134,293 |
| 2000 | March | -448 | 8,745 | 682 | 6,190 | | 16,051 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -434 | 4,912 | 2,337 | 22,534 | 0 | 0 | 30,231 |
| 2000 | April | 2,831 | 18,703 | 21,676 | 9,278 | | 52,560 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -71 | 0 | 6,973 | 31,074 | 0 | 0 | 38,047 |
| 2000 | May | 44 | 0 | 15,041 | 1,154 | | 16,239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,917 | 21,631 | 0 | 0 | 32,548 |
| 2000 | June | 5 | 1,731 | 14,886 | 14,091 | | 30,712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,776 | 0 | 0 | 11,776 |
| 2000 | July | 2,366 | 29,371 | 30,246 | 44,897 | | 108,125 | 0 | 0 | 1,131 | -1,245 | 0 | 0 | 8,509 | 0 | 0 | 9,640 |
| 2000 | August | 5,359 | 4,990 | 15,698 | 25,771 | -236 | 51,839 | 0 | -21 | 20,243 | 926 | 0 | 0 | 4,402 | 0 | 0 | 25,808 |
| 2000 | September | 15,572 | 803 | 38,703 | 22,183 | 10,648 | 92,689 | 0 | -385 | 5,260 | -4,396 | 0 | 0 | 7,158 | 0 | 0 | 12,419 |
| 2000 | October | 36,863 | 1,529 | 51,892 | 41,175 | 24,488 | 162,276 | 0 | 99 | 13,416 | -6,329 | 0 | 0 | 1,386 | 0 | 0 | 14,902 |
| 2000 | November | 2,698 | 0 | 430 | 3,466 | 6,020 | 12,719 | 0 | -106 | 4,108 | 992 | 0 | 0 | 19,841 | 0 | 0 | 24,941 |
| 2001 | December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,497 | 7,974 | 17,859 | 0 | -128 | 0 | -1,260 | 0 | 19 | 9,162 | 0 | 0 | 9,181 |
| 2001 | January | 18 | 0 | 278 | 3,617 | 9,882 | 15,158 | 0 | -98 | 3,027 | -1,263 | 0 | 71 | 3,709 | 0 | 0 | 6,807 |
| 2001 | February | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 8,879 | 11,784 | 0 | -59 | 413 | -2,765 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 903 |
| 2001 | March | 1 | 859 | 1,133 | 7,191 | 9,460 | 20,738 | 0 | -151 | 0 | -1,942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | April | 0 | 0 | 561 | 125 | 8,049 | 13,500 | 0 | -180 | 0 | -4,585 | 0 | 0 | 1,704 | 0 | 0 | 1,704 |
| 2001 | May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,132 | 5,322 | 36,511 | 0 | -136 | 0 | -6,920 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 104 | 105 |
| 2001 | June | 6,401 | 0 | 0 | 24,686 | 11,177 | 50,122 | 0 | -160 | 666 | -7,698 | 0 | 0 | 1,135 | 0 | 0 | 1,802 |
| 2001 | July | 14,755 | 3,139 | 2,986 | 34,101 | 9,962 | 72,787 | 0 | 191 | 1,250 | -7,843 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 1,710 |
| 2001 | August | 15,074 | 104 | 7,518 | 43,746 | 10,778 | 79,495 | 0 | -303 | 62,771 | -1,973 | 0 | 0 | 73,043 | 2,839 | 3,096 | 143,381 |
| 1900 | September | 21,393 | 1,007 | 34,337 | 40,046 | 38,074 | 135,915 | 0 | 48 | 94,816 | -1,057 | 0 | 0 | 14,095 | 3,945 | 4,223 | 120,442 |
| | Inflow | 205,100 | 71,407 | 383,612 | 451,516 | 160,712 | Outflow | 0 | 337 | 1,116,540 | 44,756 | 39,691 | 111,000 | 334,026 | 21,326 | 23,340 | |
| | Outflow | -448 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -236 | Inflow | 0 | -1,727 | 0 | -49,781 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | | | | | | | 1,323,856 | | | | | | | | | | 1,706,935 |
| | Note: Negativ | e inflow values | counted as | outflow in s | ums; negat | ive ouflow v | values counted | l as inflow | s in sums. | | | | | | | | |

| Year Mor | nth | S99_S | S49_S | S97_S | S80_S | S46_S | S44_S | S155_S | S40_S | S41_S | G56_S | G57_S |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| 1999 Octo | ober | 76,704 | 74,303 | 73,739 | 116,471 | 40,035 | 23,057 | 127,653 | 38,951 | 55,592 | 49,116 | 2,462 |
| 1999 Nov | vember | 3,530 | 8,082 | 2,743 | 104,682 | 8,991 | 8,239 | 69,877 | 8,137 | 10,258 | 42,587 | 680 |
| 2000 Dec | ember | 5 | 32 | 0 | 46,195 | 386 | 4,605 | 51,578 | 728 | 1,071 | 43,998 | 288 |
| 2000 Janu | uary | 5 | 2 | 13 | 22,316 | 1 | 3,639 | 28,713 | 1,125 | 1,683 | 20,211 | 116 |
| 2000 Febr | ruary | 4 | 16 | 0 | 2,013 | 0 | 3,335 | 4,604 | 0 | 221 | 1,425 | 21 |
| 2000 Mar | rch | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4,899 | 0 | 3,148 | 4,984 | 3,498 | 4,440 | 13,883 | 96 |
| 2000 Apr: | ril | 7 | 7 | 1,433 | 39,769 | 195 | 4,812 | 19,359 | 6,711 | 10,863 | 22,502 | 157 |
| 2000 May | у | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87,759 | 0 | 1,973 | 4,330 | 520 | 1,029 | 20,666 | 81 |
| 2000 June | e | 542 | 368 | 3 | 2,083 | 0 | 1,051 | 1,738 | 662 | 1,187 | 1,085 | 455 |
| 2000 July | 7 | 13,874 | 12,558 | 8,008 | 2,152 | 0 | 5,069 | 19,462 | 296 | 1,220 | 8,205 | 478 |
| 2000 Aug | gust | 8,017 | 11,857 | 10,742 | 2,152 | 0 | 3,838 | 14,656 | 1,581 | 4,793 | 7,285 | 346 |
| 2000 Sept | tember | 4,197 | 8,351 | 5,281 | 2,083 | 1 | 4,807 | 8,048 | 5,045 | 13,420 | 6,006 | 284 |
| 2000 Octo | ober | 10,986 | 17,099 | 10,236 | 2,152 | 9,086 | 11,810 | 38,380 | 16,369 | 26,804 | 20,199 | 1,453 |
| 2000 Nov | vember | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,083 | 35 | 7,458 | 8,319 | 5,615 | 10,385 | 3,135 | 125 |
| 2001 Dec | ember | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,152 | 2 | 5,247 | 11,729 | 269 | 2,656 | 2,300 | 62 |
| 2001 Janu | uary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,152 | 0 | 3,842 | 377 | 5 | 474 | 1,499 | 3 |
| 2001 Febr | ruary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,944 | 0 | 3,235 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1,324 | 0 |
| 2001 Mar | rch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,152 | 0 | 5,744 | 7,724 | 591 | 3,713 | 1,294 | 281 |
| 2001 Apr | ril | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,083 | 0 | 4,241 | 267 | 71 | 318 | 0 | 43 |
| 2001 May | у | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,152 | 0 | 2,243 | 0 | 1 | 344 | 0 | 264 |
| 2001 June | e | 9,175 | 9,141 | 2,513 | 2,083 | 408 | 4,803 | 20,976 | 2,988 | 11,707 | 1,114 | 340 |
| 2001 July | 7 | 62,454 | 53,687 | 19,244 | 2,152 | 8,845 | 9,243 | 63,805 | 5,397 | 23,752 | 12,595 | 540 |
| 2001 Aug | gust | 46,919 | 43,255 | 19,503 | 2,152 | 26,563 | 16,527 | 60,283 | 17,078 | 25,156 | 21,499 | 1,082 |
| 2001 Sept | tember | 38,920 | 40,628 | 42,100 | 2,083 | 21,811 | 22,628 | 76,135 | 24,606 | 39,030 | 22,351 | 1,465 |
| Total | | 275,340 | 279,383 | 195,558 | 457,912 | 116,360 | 164,595 | 642,998 | 140,251 | 250,114 | 324,280 | 11,122 |

| | astal outflow duri | ng the 2000-20 | 01 drought (| ac-ft) |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| Table ZA-Z-7. CC | astal outhow uuth | ny the 2000-20 | or arought (| ac-it) |

Table 2A-2-7. Coastal outflow during the 2000-2001 drought, continued

| Voor Month | 837A S | 836 S | 533 G | G54 S | S13 D | S13 S | 820 S | G58 C | 878 S | 807 S | S26 S |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| 1000 Ostabar | 42 270 | 12 205 | 2 126 | 10.262 | 12 6 4 1 | 10.092 | 102 602 | 20 202 | 1 1 4 1 | 327_3 | S20_S 8.004 |
| 1999 October | 45,570 | 13,293 | 3,130 | 16,202 | 15,041 | 10,965 | 192,092 | 39,222 | 1,141 | 52,200 | 11.070 |
| 1999 November | 17,940 | 0,297 | 220 | 10,721 | 1,581 | 12,937 | 111,822 | 22,490 | 32 | 3,808 | 11,808 |
| 1999 December | 11,075 | 4,708 | 0 | 13,483 | 0 | 20,294 | 98,874 | 16,476 | 0 | 0 | 15,305 |
| 2000 January | 9,601 | 2,764 | 0 | 11,405 | 27 | 15,751 | 78,673 | 11,225 | 0 | 304 | 20,686 |
| 2000 February | 7,840 | 1,970 | 0 | 8,646 | 0 | 12,252 | 60,951 | 9,184 | 0 | 2,299 | 15,611 |
| 2000 March | 11,154 | 3,560 | 70 | 8,244 | 0 | 13,137 | 71,925 | 10,099 | 4 | 5,982 | 1,180 |
| 2000 April | 6,645 | 2,544 | 215 | 9,809 | 0 | 12,663 | 63,536 | 19,609 | 132 | 9,341 | 7,244 |
| 2000 May | 6,256 | 746 | 0 | 12,024 | 0 | 4,146 | 46,122 | 2,074 | 31 | 1,723 | 8,391 |
| 2000 June | 1,772 | 1,547 | 0 | 2,405 | 0 | 8,248 | 28,615 | 29,255 | 153 | 11,618 | 3,053 |
| 2000 July | 4,413 | 6,742 | 254 | 12,481 | 0 | 8,538 | 65,267 | 22,291 | 88 | 14,219 | 5,136 |
| 2000 August | 2,414 | 3,584 | 0 | 6,716 | 0 | 5,777 | 37,363 | 27,892 | 39 | 9,953 | 6,614 |
| 2000 September | 4,494 | 3,506 | 0 | 1,469 | 587 | 6,779 | 33,953 | 20,626 | 63 | 10,481 | 5,703 |
| 2000 October | 14,049 | 6,766 | 996 | 3,064 | 10,180 | 6,249 | 84,807 | 58,871 | -1,679 | 33,990 | 11,212 |
| 2000 November | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 585 | 2,613 | 6,592 | 2,802 | 0 | 1,468 | 1,797 |
| 2000 December | 612 | 870 | 15 | 325 | 0 | 5,246 | 14,143 | 12,472 | -14 | 5,030 | 2,369 |
| 2001 January | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2,560 | 5,094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 2001 February | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 684 | 1,360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 March | 212 | 0 | 153 | 188 | 0 | 3,092 | 7,787 | 1,331 | 16 | 976 | 23 |
| 2001 April | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,534 | 3,128 | 5,141 | 11 | 1,940 | 67 |
| 2001 May | 186 | 116 | 49 | 905 | 0 | 4,923 | 12,781 | 11,860 | 229 | 9,846 | 306 |
| 2001 June | 3,312 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 4,290 | 15,801 | 18,714 | 128 | 6,330 | 2,076 |
| 2001 July | 7,267 | 4,243 | 498 | 2,022 | 2 | 6,676 | 42,146 | 29,711 | 143 | 11,611 | 4,741 |
| 2001 August | 13,557 | 5,751 | 1,080 | 7,657 | 5,370 | 3,208 | 74,787 | 40,401 | 193 | 10,930 | 9,900 |
| 2001 September | 23,441 | 8,634 | 2,007 | 5,586 | 5,575 | 5,542 | 103,636 | 43,684 | -868 | 19,894 | 8,829 |
| Total | 189,611 | 77,646 | 8,721 | 133,412 | 37,550 | 178,122 | 1,261,854 | 455,431 | -157 | 206,011 | 150,133 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | West Coast |
|-------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | East Coast | To Tide |
| Year | Month | $S25_C$ | $S25B_S$ | G93 | S22_S | S123_S | $S21_S$ | S21A_S | S20G_S | $S20F_S$ | $S197_C$ | To Tide | S79_S |
| 1999 | October | 37,896 | 391 | 40,161 | 7,919 | 40,504 | 32,013 | -12,246 | 31,200 | 4,098 | 43,242 | 1,271,215 | 287,437 |
| 1999 | November | 14,088 | 121 | 24,424 | 1,414 | 16,495 | 1,883 | 10,995 | 8,132 | 1,694 | 12,960 | 567,788 | 239,173 |
| 1999 | December | 9,180 | 16 | 25,367 | 0 | 4,985 | 1,603 | 2,248 | 7,900 | 63 | 10,922 | 391,386 | 110,116 |
| 2000 | January | 4,856 | 0 | 3,083 | 0 | 420 | 925 | 1,399 | 5,982 | 0 | 8,444 | 253,367 | 51,090 |
| 2000 | February | 5,348 | 160 | 12,039 | 0 | 452 | 677 | 2,154 | 4,651 | 2 | 5,933 | 161,809 | 1,075 |
| 2000 | March | 1,647 | 48 | 7,118 | 1 | 32 | 7 | 1,487 | 3,501 | 16 | 6,053 | 180,215 | 22,383 |
| 2000 | April | 5,066 | 36 | 11,230 | 529 | 7,179 | 9 | 4,238 | 3,757 | 90 | 5,579 | 275,265 | 80,497 |
| 2000 | May | 3,349 | 49 | 711 | 18 | 196 | 0 | 1,166 | 1,074 | 0 | 694 | 205,129 | 174,020 |
| 2000 | June | 15,990 | 330 | 9,650 | 0 | 78 | 8 | 3,581 | 4,013 | 239 | 7,685 | 137,412 | 29,263 |
| 2000 | July | 16,732 | 557 | 6,665 | 1 | 3,286 | 130 | 12,002 | 6,663 | 1,173 | 11,368 | 269,328 | 49,908 |
| 2000 | August | 14,369 | 107 | 17,842 | 255 | 6,072 | 1,221 | 17,450 | 8,076 | 1,323 | 18,830 | 251,164 | 29,470 |
| 2000 | September | 17,066 | 642 | 26,118 | 1,967 | 8,400 | 2,586 | 11,553 | 8,214 | 1,195 | 14,752 | 237,676 | 106,380 |
| 2000 | October | 33,875 | 2,700 | 38,517 | 6,915 | 26,504 | 25,338 | 19,912 | 29,166 | 5,042 | 42,236 | 623,282 | 49,218 |
| 2000 | November | 12,556 | 234 | 16,655 | 0 | 210 | б | 2,938 | 4,703 | 503 | 8,854 | 99,669 | 9,160 |
| 2000 | December | 16,132 | 790 | 16,316 | 1,278 | 12,625 | 3,242 | 11,705 | 13,728 | 2,844 | 20,246 | 164,391 | 0 |
| 2001 | January | 9,282 | 0 | 4,382 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,296 | 3,432 | 0 | 5,186 | 39,608 | 9,449 |
| 2001 | February | 5,008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 921 | 0 | 144 | 14,628 | 0 |
| 2001 | March | 3,245 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 2,128 | 0 | 101 | 40,938 | 1,888 |
| 2001 | April | 8,328 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 717 | 1,500 | 102 | 0 | 29,495 | 1,966 |
| 2001 | May | 15,968 | 868 | 3,309 | 0 | 834 | 595 | 5,250 | 2,352 | 419 | 1,993 | 77,795 | 8,102 |
| 2001 | June | 15,551 | 540 | 10,399 | -13 | 2,533 | 613 | 4,765 | 4,910 | 1,205 | 10,061 | 166,486 | 29,419 |
| 2001 | July | 18,078 | 386 | 22,726 | 31 | 13,744 | 2,369 | 17,859 | 9,700 | 1,902 | 17,585 | 475,155 | 130,772 |
| 2001 | August | 19,290 | 385 | 24,364 | 435 | 13,341 | 2,173 | 32,492 | 10,404 | 1,301 | 23,169 | 580,203 | 179,570 |
| 2001 | September | 24,988 | 2,276 | 23,504 | 1,847 | 25,413 | 13,825 | 18,584 | 19,120 | 3,516 | 32,460 | 723,247 | 324,468 |
| Total | | 327,888 | 10,678 | 344,578 | 22,597 | 183,302 | 89,223 | 171,691 | 195,228 | 26,726 | 308,494 | 7,236,651 | 1,924,825 |

Table 2A-2-7. Coastal outflow during the 2000-2001 drought (ac-ft), continued

Table 2A-2-8. ENP inflow during the 2000-2001 drought (ac-ft)

| | | | | | | | | | \mathbf{ENP} |
|----------------|--------|--------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|----------------|
| Year Month | S18C | S197_C | S18C_net | S12_T | S332_P | $S332B_P$ | S332D_P | S333 | Inflow |
| 1999 October | 52,955 | 37,410 | 15,545 | 261,540 | 32,804 | 0 | 12,538 | 248 | 413,040 |
| 1999 November | 15,451 | 0 | 15,451 | 339,134 | 31,644 | 0 | 6,285 | 690 | 408,655 |
| 2000 December | 11,883 | 0 | 11,883 | 193,626 | 32,837 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250,229 |
| 2000 January | 15,612 | 0 | 15,612 | 90,307 | 33,000 | 0 | 24,796 | 34,318 | 213,646 |
| 2000 February | 9,848 | 0 | 9,848 | 24,831 | 19,735 | 0 | 16,595 | 66,851 | 147,707 |
| 2000 March | 5,691 | 0 | 5,691 | 0 | 1,565 | 0 | 0 | 22,534 | 35,481 |
| 2000 April | 5,820 | 0 | 5,820 | 0 | 1,927 | 0 | 0 | 31,074 | 44,640 |
| 2000 May | 612 | 0 | 612 | 0 | 1,024 | 0 | 229 | 21,631 | 24,108 |
| 2000 June | 8,995 | 0 | 8,995 | 0 | 304 | 0 | 762 | 11,776 | 30,831 |
| 2000 July | 21,128 | 0 | 21,128 | 1,131 | 46 | 0 | 18,158 | 8,509 | 70,099 |
| 2000 August | 31,267 | 0 | 31,267 | 20,243 | 1 | 0 | 21,729 | 4,402 | 108,910 |
| 2000 September | 31,910 | 1,273 | 30,637 | 5,260 | 0 | 0 | 24,615 | 7,158 | 100,854 |
| 2000 October | 46,380 | 24,220 | 22,160 | 13,416 | 0 | 0 | 25,433 | 1,386 | 132,994 |
| 2000 November | 4,285 | 0 | 4,285 | 4,108 | 1 | 0 | 8,425 | 19,841 | 40,944 |
| 2001 December | 6,958 | 0 | 6,958 | 0 | 1 | 1,726 | 0 | 9,162 | 24,804 |
| 2001 January | 157 | 0 | 157 | 3,027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,709 | 7,050 |
| 2001 February | 2 | 0 | 2 | 413 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 907 |
| 2001 March | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 April | 212 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,704 | 2,128 |
| 2001 May | 1,089 | 0 | 1,089 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,178 |
| 2001 June | 4,012 | 0 | 4,012 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 740 | 1,135 | 10,565 |
| 2001 July | 19,689 | 0 | 19,689 | 1,250 | 0 | 3,967 | 13,435 | 270 | 58,299 |
| 2001 August | 30,155 | 4,936 | 25,219 | 62,771 | 0 | 6,933 | 16,970 | 73,043 | 220,026 |
| 2001 September | 29,371 | 2,410 | 26,962 | 94,816 | 0 | 14,364 | 25,084 | 14,095 | 207,101 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | 2,555,198 |
| | | | | | | | | | |