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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The water level in Lake Okeechobee dropped consistently from 17.50 ft msl on January 1980 to a record low level of 9.75 ft msl on July 29, 1981. This rapid decline in the lake level was caused primarily by an extensive period of below normal rainfall with a coincident reduction of surface water inflow and increasing evapotranspiration ( ET ). In this report, the meteorological and hydrological data available from June 1, 1980 through February 28, 1982 were analyzed. Based on watershed boundaries, the entire District was divided into 14 basins. Historical drought periods were also selected for comparison. These included the periods of 1955-56, 1961-62, 1967-68, 1970-71, and 1973-74.

The rainfall analysis for each basin was based on the weighted Theissen method for all available long term rain gauges. Their deviation, and their associated frequency were analyzed for the period of June through May, June through October, and November through April for each selected drought period. As a result of these analyses, the $1980-82$ drought was found to be generally more severe than the droughts of 1961-62, 1973-74, and the drought of 1970.71, for most basins, with the exception of the Lower East Coast area. The drought return intervals for the Cpper Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins during the drought of 1970-71 ranged from one in eight to one in 15 years, while the 1980-82 drought for the same areas was well over one in 100 years. The drought of 1980-82 in Fisheating Creek, Lake Istokpoga, and the Everglades Agricultural Areas was much more severe than the 1970-71 drought. These comparisons are presented in graphs and tables with detailed discussion in this text.

The hydrologic data analysis concentrated primarily on the major water storage areas within the District. Evapotranspiration, surface water inflow, outflow, and water deliveries were analyzed, and comparisons made with the drought periods of 1970-71 and 1973-74. The major findings of this study are briefly summarized as follows:

Approximately three million acre-feet (AF) of water was consumed during the period June 1980 through February 1982, with more than two-thirds from Lake Okeechobee. Water conservation area storage decreased by about $800,000 \mathrm{AF}$, with an estimated decline of $36,000 \mathrm{AF}$ in the Upper Kissimmee Lakes.

The surface runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee from its major tributaries was about $12.7 \%$ of normal as a result of a rainfall deficit of over 20 inches in the area from June 1981 through July 1982. The total surface water inflow to Lake Okeechobee during this period was $332,471 \mathrm{AF}$, and the total outflow (ET not included) was $1,198,050 \mathrm{AF}$, which was about $360 \%$ of the total inflow. In the water conservation areas, total inflow was about $1,070,000 \mathrm{AF}$, and the total surface water outflow amounted to $574,000 \mathrm{AF}$. Evapotranspiration and seepage in the water conservation areas accounted for $1,300,000 \mathrm{AF}$, therefore, about $800,000 \mathrm{AF}$ was lost from storage.

Pan evaporation during the period from June 1980 through July 1981 was $121 \%$ of normal at Lake Alfred Experimental Station, and about $107 \%$ of normal at Belle Glade Experimental Station.

The significant reduction of inflow to Lake Okeechobee was primarily due to the deficient rainfall over the major tributaries of the lake, especially the Kissimmee River Valley. The 14 month rainfall deficit over the Upper Kissimmee Lakes was approximately 25 inches. Other deficits were 24.7 inches for the Kissimmee River Basin; 27 inches and 25 inches for the West and East Everglades Agricultural Areas, respectively, and 20.4 inches for the Fisheating Creek Basin.

An analysis of the hydrologic cycle indicates that rainfall, evaporation, infiltration, and water withdrawals are competing with each other. For example, evaporation, infiltration, and water demands increase when rainfall decreases. The analysis presented in this report will be helpful in the understanding of the forces that contributed to the severity of the 1980-1982 drought.

## I. Introduction

The stage in Lake Okeechobee dropped from 17.50 ft msl in January 1980 to a record low level of 9.75 ft msl as of July 29, 1981, representing a drop of 7.75 feet in a period of 18 months. The immense amount of water lost can be visualized by comparing the volume of water in the lake per foot drop in stage. (A foot of water in the lake is equivalent to the amount of water used in the south Dade area for one year.) The 7.7 foot drop of water in the lake represents a loss of three million acre feet, or one trillion gallons of water. The cause of this rapidly declining lake level can be attributed to the lack of rainfall, the increase in water use in south Florida, and excessive evaporation.

There are five major tributary areas contributing flows to the lake. These tributaries include the following basins: Kissimmee River, Lake Istokpoga, Fisheating Creek, Everglades Agricultural Area, and Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough. The Kissimmee River Basin receives runoff from the Upper Kissimmee Lakes system. The upper lakes system consists of canals and water control structures linking Lakes Kissimmee, Hatchineha, Cypress, Tohopekaliga, East Tohopekaliga, Hart, Mary Jane, Myrtle, Alligator, and Gentry. In addition, the Kissimmee River receives runoff directly from the valley itself south of Lake Kissimmee. The rainfall received in these major tributary areas has a great impact on the water budget of Lake Okeechobee, affectings its ability to provide water to meet demands in the Everglades Agricultural

Areas, and to provide additional water for the Lower East Coast (Palm Beach, Broward, and Dade Counties), and the Everglades National Park during the peak of the dry season. Lake Okeechobee and the Lower East Coast have been stressed several times in the past, particularly during the periods of 1955-56, 1961-62, 1967-68, 1970-71, and 1973-74, (Figure 1).

In this study, meteorological and hydrological data such as rainfall, evapotranspiration (ET), surface water inflows, outflows, and water demands were investigated. The period of analysis included June 1, 1980 through February 28, 1982 representing a period of below normal rainfall. A comparison with past droughts is also presented in this report.

## II. Meteorological Data Analysis

An analysis of the rainfall data, including rainfall patterns and frequencies, is discussed in this section. Comparison between the 1980-82 drought and previous droughts is also presented in this part of the report. Similar comparisons from a hydrologic point of view are illustrated in the next section.

## A. Rainfall Analysis

Lake Okeechobee, the second largest fresh water lake in the United States, has a surface area of approximately 697 square miles. The amount of rainfall input to the lake has a direct effect on lake stage. The District was divided into 14 drainage basins, delineated in Figure 2.

figure 1. SELECTED MONTH END STAGE HYDROGRAPHS FOR LAKE OKEECHOBEE.


The rainfall stations located within or around each each basin used are listed in Appendix B. The rainfall stations used in this analysis have at least 20 years of record. Monthly rainfall data from selected stations in each basin were used as input to the weighted Thiesen method to estimate the areal basin rainfall. A total of 180 stations, with approximately 40 overlapping stations, was used in this computation. Weighted rainfall data over Lake Okeechobee was available from the water budget reported by the Army Corps of Engineers. A complete tabulation of monthly averages for each basin within the District is shown in Table 1.

## B. Rainfall Pattern

The National Weather Service (NWS) uses a 30 -year average which is updated at 10 -year intervals as the base average (normal) for each NWS rainfall station. Since this analysis deals with regional conditions, the entire period of record was used in the computation of long term average, or normal, for each rainfall station (Table 1). In order to evaluate the trend of rainfall patterns in each basin, the moving averages of 30,20 , and 10 years were computed. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 2 , indicating that the occurrence of dry periods
are basically the same in all District areas except the Upper East Coast and the East Collier Basin of the Lower West Coast area. Table 2 also shows that the long term weather trend was toward the dry side for most of the basins within the District. It can be speculated that the lack of "normal" hurricane activity during the last $10-20$ years contributed to the rainfall deficit. Rainfall analysis over the Kissimmee River Basin indicated that the Basin had received an excess of 1.74 inches of rainfall per year from tropical depressions and hurricanes prior to 19641. Since 1964 there were only two tropical storm events, and the average annual rainfall contribution from these storm events decreased to 0.54 inches per year; therefore, the lack of hurricanes and tropical storms in the last $10-20$ years has had a certain relation with the decline in annual rainfall

Figure 3 shows the 30,20 , and 10 -year rainfall moving averages beginning in 1915 through 1981 for the Kissimmee River Valley Basin. The long term variation dampened out as longer periods of moving averages were used; however,

1 N. Khanal, "Kissimmee River Basin Rainfall Analysis 4/19/81" South Florida Water Management District.

TABLE 1. AVERAGE MONTHLY RAINFALL (INCHES)

| Area | Jan. | Feb | Mar. | Ap | Ma | June | Ju | Aug. | Sept | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. Palm Beach Co. | 2.69 | 2.53 | 3.11 | 3.39 | 5.05 | 7.76 | 6.13 | 6.37 | 8.31 | 8.02 | 3.17 | 2.28 | 58.81 |
| E. Broward County | 2.40 | 2.01 | 2.76 | 3.44 | 5.84 | 7.95 | 6.31 | 6.86 | 8.32 | 8.93 | 3.21 | 2.25 | 60.28 |
| Dade County | 1.92 | 1.79 | 2.04 | 3.12 | 6.64 | 8.80 | 7.14 | 7.37 | 8.96 | 7.91 | 2.13 | 1.44 | 59.26 |
| E Everglades Ag Area | 1.80 | 1.82 | 2.79 | 2.76 | 5.04 | 8.80 | 7.81 | 7.68 | 8.18 | 4.78 | 1.84 | 1.78 | 55.08 |
| W Everglades Ag Area | 1.62 | 1.71 | 2.46 | 2.48 | 4.97 | 8.00 | 7.30 | 6.85 | 7.06 | 4.32 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 49.83 |
| Upper East CoastBasin | 2.33 | 2.31 | 2.76 | 3.02 | 4.39 | 6.44 | 6.16 | 5.54 | 7.65 | 6.70 | 2.55 | 1.91 | 51.76 |
| Fisheating Creek | 1.68 | 2.07 | 2.76 | 2.58 | 4.19 | 7.68 | 7.11 | 6.74 | 6.94 | 3.74 | 1.42 | 1.50 | 48.41 |
| Upper Kissimmee Lakes | 2.18 | 2.69 | 3.19 | 2.68 | 4.16 | 7.38 | 7.66 | 6.97 | 6.56 | 3.25 | 1.65 | 2.02 | 50.39 |
| Kissimmee River | 2.04 | 2.44 | 2.60 | 2.69 | 4.23 | 7.76 | 8.01 | 7.18 | 7.05 | 3.98 | 1.60 | 1.65 | 51.23 |
| E\&W Caloosahatchee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basin | 1.72 | 2.04 | 2.61 | 2.59 | 4.68 | 8.40 | 7.56 | 7.08 | 7.60 | 3.93 | 1.03 | 1.38 | 50.62 |
| East Collier Basin | 1.61 | 1.69 | 2.38 | 2.02 | 5.07 | 9.49 | 8.29 | 7.51 | 8.94 | 4.03 | 1.44 | 1.28 | 53.75 |
| West Collier Basin | 1.74 | 1.68 | 2.33 | 1.97 | 4.73 | 9.09 | 8.34 | 7.95 | 9.15 | 3.98 | 1.37 | 1.34 | 53.67 |
| Estero Basin | 1.79 | 2.07 | 2.40 | 2.06 | 4.12 | 9.42 | 8.74 | 8.52 | 8.13 | 4.16 | 1.37 | 1.49 | 54.27 |
| Tidal Caloosahatchee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& North Coastal Area | 1.86 | 2.08 | 2.35 | 2.06 | 3.93 | 8.79 | 8.24 | 8.12 | 8.08 | 3.99 | 1.44 | 1.54 | 52.48 |
| District Average | 1.96 | 2.07 | 2.6 | 2.63 | 4.79 | 8.27 | 7.39 | 7.20 | 7.92 | 5.3 | 1.84 | . 6 | 53.57 |

the cyclical characteristics became more obvious for the 10 -year moving average.

While the National Weather Service uses the 30 -year average as normal, it is suggested that for many water management purposes, especially those involving long term projections, an average of the total period of record is a better measure for the normal.

In addition to annual variations, rainfall in central and southern Florida shows a seasonal pattern with dry winters and wet summers. About $70 \%$ of the annual rainfall occurs in the wet season (May through October), some basins receive over $75 \%$ of the annual rainfall during these months. The annual average rainfall ranges from 48.41 inches to 60.28 inches The
overall average for the District area is 53.57 inches; the monthly average for the overall District ranges from 1.67 inches in December to 8.27 inches in June. The monthly average wet season rainfall varies within the District basins. It ranges from 3.93 inches in May in the tidal Caloosahatchee basin to 9.49 inches in June in the west Collier basin. Likewise during the dry season it ranges from 1.03 inches to 3.00 inches per month. A complete tabulation of monthly averages for each basin within the District is shown in Table 1.

## C. Drought Frequency Analysis

There is an absence of a precise and universally accepted definition of drought which adds to the

TABLE 2. LONG TERM RAINFALL PATTERN

| Basin and Record Period Used | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year of } \\ \text { Moving } \\ \text { Avg. } \end{gathered}$ | Highest |  |  | Lowest |  |  | Long <br> Term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Deviation |  |  | Deviation |  |  |  |
|  |  | Value | Inches | Period | Value | Inches | Period |  |
| Kissimmee River | 30 | 52.53 | $+1.30$ | 1919-48 | 48.52 | -2.72 | 1952-81 | Down |
| Basin (1915-1981) | 20 | 52.64 | +1.41 | 1918-37 | 46.22 | -5.02 | 1962-81 |  |
|  | 10 | 54.50 | $+3.27$ | 1951-60 | 44.32 | -6.93 | 1972-81 |  |
| Upper Kissimmee | 30 | 52.66 | $+2.27$ | 1931-60 | 49.71 | -0.42 | 1952-81 | Inconclusive |
| Lakes (1915-1981) | 20 | 53.74 | +3.35 | 1941-60 | 48.24 | -2.13 | 1962-81 |  |
|  | 10 | 54.83 | +4.44 | 1951-60 | 47.65 | -2.74 | 1972-81 |  |
| Fisheating Creek | 30 | 50.31 | +0.86 | 1945-74 | 48.15 | -1.30 | 1952-81 | Down |
| \& Istokpoga | 20 | 51.75 | +2.37 | 1950-69 | 45.36 | . 4.02 | 1962-81 |  |
| (1932-1981) | 10 | 55.20 | $+6.52$ | 1951-60 | 44.45 | -7.23 | 1972-81 |  |
| Upper East Coast | 30 | 52.47 | $+1.11$ | 1950-79 | 49.69 | -1.67 | 1917-46 | Lp |
| (1914-1981) | 20 | 53.15 | +1.68 | 1952-71 | 48.93 | -2.54 | 1916-35 |  |
|  | 10 | 54.14 | $+2.73$ | 1951-60 | 47.00 | -4.36 | 1916-25 |  |
| East Collier Basin | 30 | 54.51 | +1.74 | 1952-81 | 51.14 | -1.63 | 1938-67 | Up |
| (1927-1981) | 20 | 57.14 | +4.25 | 1957-76 | 49.13 | -3.76 | 1937-56 |  |
|  | 10 | 59.03 | $+5.57$ | 1967-76 | 46.49 | -6.97 | 1942-51 |  |
| Dade County | 30 | 60.88 | +1.66 | 1931-60 | 56.91 | -2.31 | 1952-81 | Down |
| (1927-1981) | 20 | 62.18 | +2.81 | 1929-48 | 56.46 | -2.91 | 1962-81 |  |
|  | 10 | 63.90 | +4.50 | 1932-41 | 51.03 | -8.37 | 1972-81 |  |
| East Everglades | 30 | 56.79 | +1.65 | 1931-60 | 53.24 | -2.17 | 1952-81 | Down |
| Agricultural Area | 20 | 57.54 | $+2.30$ | 1941.60 | 51.05 | -4.19 | 1962-81 |  |
| (1929-1981) | 10 | 57.45 | $+2.48$ | 1951-60 | 48.33 | -6.64 | 1972-81 |  |
| West Everglades | 30 | 53.69 | +1.04 | 1930-59 | 50.27 | -2.38 | 1952-81 | Down |
| Agricultural Area | 20 | 54.54 | $+2.07$ | 1947-66 | 47.14 | -5.33 | 1962-81 |  |
| (1929-1981) | 10 | 57.45 | $+5.56$ | 1951-60 | 42.59 | -9.30 | 1972-81 |  |



FIGURE 3. KISSIMMEE RIVER BASIN - MOVING AVERAGE RAINFALL (1915-1982).
confusion about whether or not a drought exists, and if it does, its severity. For the purpose of this report, drought was defined as a shortage of precipitation sufficient to adversely affect water demands. Droughts differ in three essential characteristics, intensity, duration, and spatial coverage. The statistical evaluation of the drought was performed using the $\log$ Pearson Type III distribution (Appendix A) in the smallest amount of rainfall that had occurred in a specific period or duration within a water year (November through October). A similar analysis based on rainfall deficits produced similar results.

## D. Results and Discussions

Table 3 shows the monthly rainfall of each basin for the period June 1980 through February 1982. Table 5A shows the comparison of 12 months rainfall from June 1980 through May 1981 for the selected drought periods, as well as the percent of normal and associated drought frequency for the 14 basins. Table 5B shows the comparisons of the wet season rainfall (June through October) for those selected drought periods. Table 5 C shows the comparisons of the dry season rainfall (November through April) for those selected drought periods; May was considered a transition month. Table 4 presents the comparisons of 21 months; i.e., June 1980 through February 1982, for those selected drought periods with indications of the percent of their normal, and amount of total deviation from normal. For purposes of comparison, a
period of 21 months was chosen for the other drought periods, although the rainfall deficiency period was considerably less.

1. Drought Period: June 1980-February 1982
a. The total rainfall in this 21 month period over the entire District ranged from $66.3 \%$ of normal in the West Everglades Agricultural Area to $87.9 \%$ of normal in the Estero Basin, with a District average of approximately $76.1 \%$ of normal.

The total rainfall deficit over the entire District area was 23 inches for the 21 month period. For the Everglades Agricultural Area, the Upper Kissimmee Lakes, and the East Collier Basins, the deficit was in the order of 30 inches.
b. For the 12 month period (June 1980 . May 1981, Table 5A), the West Everglades Agricultural Area received 29 inches, which was the least among the District basins. The Upper Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins received 31.4 and 32.95 inches, respect-ively and the East Collier Basin received 32.67 inches. The return intervals were well over a 1 in 100 year event, expressed in drought return frequency, The western Everglades Agricultural Area and the Upper East Coast Basins were next in severity with return intervals of over 100 and 33 years, respectively. For the coastal areas, such as the Lower East Coast and Estero Bay of the Lower West Coast, the drought return intervals ranged from 5 to 17 years.

TABLE 3. MONTHLY RAINFALL IN INCHES FOR JUNE 1980 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1982

|  | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dee | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nuv | Dec | Jan | Feb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiast Palm Buach | 5.24 | 8.53 | 5.09 | 6.93 | 3.53 | 3.46 | 1.54 | 0.51 | 3.56 | 1.63 | 0.28 | 5.70 | 4.63 | 5.18 | 14.20 | 7.77 | 2.54 | 3.59 | 1.17 | 1.11 | 3.46 |
| East Broward | 4.75 | 6.63 | 4.68 | 3.78 | 5.50 | 4.08 | 1.14 | 0.71 | 4.05 | 0.89 | 0.19 | 6.13 | 5.12 | 6.05 | 14.83 | 7.73 | 2.89 | 3.44 | 0.24 | 0.65 | 2.24 |
| Dade County | 6.62 | 6.76 | 7.29 | 5.59 | 5.54 | 5.15 | 0.94 | 0.61 | 3.93 | 1.32 | 0.07 | 3.62 | 4.84 | 3.45 | 13.48 | 14.91 | 2.70 | 2.52 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 1.84 |
| E. Everglades Ag Area | 3.91 | 7.73 | 4.50 | 8.06 | 1.76 | 2.49 | 0.80 | 0.57 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 0.19 | 3.86 | 4.07 | 4.83 | 14.84 | 4.94 | 0.39 | 3.86 | 0.18 | 0.75 | 2.19 |
| W.Everglades $\mathrm{Ag}_{6}$ Area | 2.97 | 4.66 | 6.44 | 4.03 | 1.44 | 2.05 | 0.81 | 0.45 | 2.35 | 1.31 | 0.11 | 2.38 | 5.58 | 4.20 | 10.34 | 4.07 | 0.49 | 3.59 | 0.06 | 0.44 | 1.77 |
| Upper E.Coasl Basin | 4.02 | 7.90 | 4.68 | 4.66 | 2.21 | 2.79 | 1.43 | 0.59 | 2.23 | 1.01 | 0.40 | 3.25 | 3.56 | 3.70 | 12.65 | 8.05 | 2.37 | 1.41 | 0.58 | 0.89 | 3.46 |
| Fisheating Creek | 4.77 | 7.43 | 4.96 | 3.40 | 2.65 | 2.14 | 0.87 | 0.54 | 2.15 | 0.79 | 0.15 | 3.33 | 4.29 | 5.42 | 7.50 | 4.82 | 0.76 | 1.26 | 0.24 | 0.61 | 1.70 |
| Upper Kissimmeelakes | 4.42 | 5.65 | 4.30 | 2.86 | 0.99 | 4.19 | 0.69 | 0.34 | 3.82 | 1.26 | 0.11 | 2.77 | 4.88 | 4.02 | 8.22 | 4.90 | 1.56 | 1.54 | 2.25 | 1.38 | 1.37 |
| Kissimmee River | 4.80 | 6.08 | 5.12 | 3.61 | 1,50 | 3.42 | 1.31 | 0.38 | 3.00 | 1.68 | 0.30 | 1.74 | 5.50 | 4.42 | 7.55 | 5.75 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 0.41 | 1.26 | 2.34 |
| E. \& W. Caloos. Basin | 2.20 | 8.25 | 6.88 | 4.69 | 1.37 | 3.29 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 2.21 | 1.54 | 0.26 | 2.65 | 6.78 | 4.85 | 11.82 | 4.47 | 1.02 | 2.26 | 0.34 | 0.70 | 1.91 |
| E. Collier Basin | 4.02 | 6.82 | 6.96 | 4.55 | 0.64 | 3.10 | 0.76 | 0.56 | 2.38 | 1.21 | 0.21 | 1.46 | 5.00 | 7.17 | 11.11 | 4.82 | 1.60 | 1.40 | 0.25 | 0.60 | 1.30 |
| W. Collier Basin | 3.03 | 7.36 | 12.57 | 8.75 | 0.78 | 3.48 | 0.74 | 0.54 | 1.73 | 1.57 | 0.26 | 1.69 | 8.23 | 9.43 | 10.22 | 3.40 | 0.25 | 1.67 | 0.24 | 0.82 | 1.60 |
| Eitero | 2.31 | 8.73 | 11.35 | 7.60 | 2.25 | 3.21 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 1.26 | 1.68 | 0.15 | 5.82 | 10.40 | 6.77 | 15.76 | 4.34 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 2.86 |
| Tidal \& N.Coast Area | 2.32 | 7.18 | 9.97 | 6.38 | 2.41 | 2.91 | 0.52 | 0.82 | 1.66 | 1.53 | 0.05 | 3.97 | 9.08 | 6.75 | 14.75 | 3.92 | 0.13 | 1.06 | 0.41 | 0.89 | 3.42 |
| District Average | 3.96 | 7.12 | 6.77 | 5.35 | 2.33 | 3.27 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 2.60 | 1.38 | 0.20 | 3.46 | 5.85 | 5.44 | 11.95 | 5.99 | 1.28 | 2.09 | 0.51 | 0.79 | 2.25 |
| Lake Okechobee | 3.38 | 5.02 | 6.09 | 5.48 | 1.12 | 2.14 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 1.58 | 1.18 | 0.17 | 2.78 | 3.03 | 4.37 | 9.35 | 3.70 | 0.71 | 1.13 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 2.13 |

TABLE 4. RAINFALL COMPARISONS FOR SELECIED DROUGHTT PERIODS
(21 Months)

|  | June 1955-Feb. 1957 |  |  | June 1961-Fob: 1963 |  |  | June 1967-Feb. 1969 |  |  | June 1970-Feb 1972 |  |  | June 1973-Feb. 1975 |  |  | June 1980-Feb. 1982 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inches | \% of Norm | Deficit Inches. | Inches | \% of Norm | Deficit Inches | Inches | $\%$ of Norm | Deficit <br> Inches. | Inches | \% of Norm | Deficit Inches | luches | \% of <br> Norm | Deficit <br> Inches | Inches | Norm | eficit <br> nches |
| E. Palm Beach County | 86.75 | 81.79 | 19.32 | 76.54 | 72.16 | 29.53 | 116.06 | 109.42 | 0 | 84.51 | 79.67 | 21.56 | 106.29 | 100.21 | 0 | 89.65 | 84.52 | 16.42 |
| E. Broward County | 76.80 | 70.77 | 31.72 | 80.40 | 74.09 | 28.12 | 121.49 | 111.95 | 0 | 72.06 | 66.40 | 36.46 | 90.06 | 82.99 | 18.46 | 85.62 | 78.90 | 22.90 |
| Dade County | 87.59 | 82.07 | 19.13 | 79.84 | 73.44 | 28.88 | 137.71 | 126.66 | 0 | 77.66 | 72.77 | 29.06 | 87.15 | 81.66 | 19.57 | 92.08 | 84.69 | 16.64 |
| E. Everglides Ag Area | 86.62 | 86.99 | 12.95 | 85.69 | 85.06 | 13.88 | 100.31 | 100.74 | 0 | 84.61 | 84.50 | 14.96 | 86.55 | 86.92 | 13.02 | 73.85 | 74.17 | 25.72 |
| W. Everglades Ag Area | 85.32 | 95.06 | 4.43 | 86.17 | 96.01 | 3.58 | 104.01 | 115.89 | 0 | 82.11 | 91.49 | 7.64 | 78.97 | 87.99 | 10.78 | 59.54 | 66.34 | 30.21 |
| Upper E Coust Basin | 78.69 | 84,30 | 14.66 | 74.67 | 79.99 | 18.68 | 97.22 | 104.15 | 0 | 94.17 | 100.88 | 0 | 92.69 | 99.29 | 0.66 | 71.84 | ${ }^{7} 76.96$ | 21.51 |
| Fisheating Creek | 75.49 | 86.48 | 11.80 | 81.73 | 93.6 .3 | 5.56 | 92.39 | 105.84 | 0 | 82.34 | 94.33 | 4.95 | 85.37 | 97.80 | 1.92 | 59.78 | 68.48 | 27.51 |
| Upper Kissimmee Lakes | 81.00 | 97.16 | 2.37 | 74.59 | 89.47 | 8.78 | 93.84 | 112.56 | 0 | 88.07 | 105.64 | 0 | 84.95 | 101.90 | 0 | 61.53 | 73.80 | 21.84 |
| Kissimmee River | 80.16 | 86.25 | 12.78 | 76.89 | 82.73 | 16.05 | 45.72 | 96.54 | 7.22 | 80.57 | 86.69 | 12.37 | 83.15 | 89.47 | 9.79 | 62.40 | 67.14 | 30.54 |
| E\&W Caloos. Basin | 75.13 | 82.23 | 16.23 | 80.28 | 87.87 | 11.08 | 46.63 | 105.77 | 0 | 77.59 | 84.93 | 13.77 | 91.80 | 100.48 | 0 | 68.88 | 75.39 | 22.48 |
| E. Collier Basin | 81.80 | 83.44 | 16.23 | 87.80 | 89.56 | 10.23 | 112.20 | 114.45 | 0 | 89.93 | 91.73 | 8.10 | 111.12 | 113.25 | 0 | 65.92 | 67.72 | 32.11 |
| W. Collier Basin | 87.07 | 88.57 | 11.24 | 87.04 | 88.54 | 11.27 | 104.54 | 106.34 | 0 | 97.50 | 99.18 | 0.81 | 102.78 | 104.55 | 0 | 78.36 | 79.71 | 19.95 |
| Estero | 79.91 | 79.94 | 22.05 | 99.36 | 99.40 | 0.60 | 117.75 | 117.80 | 0 | 101.51 | 101.55 | 0 | 118.91 | 118.96 | 0 | 87.89 | 87.93 | 12.07 |
| Tidal \& N. Coast Area | 77.77 | 80.49 | 18.85 | 93.24 | 96.50 | 3.38 | 113.41 | 117.38 | 0 | 85.40 | 88.39 | 11.22 | 102.14 | 105.71 | 0 | 80.13 | 82.93 | 16.49 |
| Listrict Average | 81.44 | 83.67 | 15.89 | 83.16 | 85.44 | 14.17 | 106.66 | 109.59 | 0 | 85.57 | 87.92 | 11.76 | 94.42 | 97.01 | 2.91 | 74.11 | 76.14 | 23.22 |

June 1961-May 1962
June 1967 May 1968
June 1970-May 1971
June 1973-May 1974
June 1980-May 1981
June 1955-May 1956

| CTIONA. 12 MON | Inches | \% <br> Notmal | Freq. <br> Years | Inches | \% of rbal | Freg. Years | Inches | $\begin{gathered} \text { be of } \\ \text { vormal } \end{gathered}$ | Freq. <br> Years | Inchest | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Normal } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Freq. <br> Years | Inches | $\%$ of <br> Normal | Freq <br> Year | ghes | $\%$ of rmal | freq. rearg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. Palm Beach County | 40.14 | 68.0 | 18.2 | 35.15 | 60.0 | 83.3 | 57.71 | 98.13 | 2.2 | 30.35 | 63.51 | 40.0 | 60.98 | 103.69 | 1.5 | 46.00 | 78.2 | 7.1 |
| E. Broward County | 42.30 | 70.0 | 15.4 | 36.49 | 61.0 | 83.3 | 70.78 | 117.42 | 1.3 | 34.49 | 57.21 | 170.0 | 52.64 | 87.32 | 3.5 | 42.53 | 70.5 | 17.0 |
| Dade County | 50.01 | 84.0 | 5.0 | 34.91 | 59.0 | 90.9 | 73.18 | 123.49 | 1.0 | 35.88 | 60.55 | 67.0 | 51.01 | 86.08 | 4.1 | 47.44 | 80.0 | 6.0 |
| E.Everglades Ag Area | 45.69 | 83.0 | 6.5 | 38.66 | 70.0 | 35.7 | 50.69 | 92.03 | 3.1 | 38.79 | 70.42 | 35.7 | 43.91 | 79.7 | 9.3 | 37.80 | 68.6 | 50.0 |
| W. Everglades Ag Area | 46.10 | 93.0 | 3.0 | 35.32 | 71.0 | 20.0 | 57.43 | 115.25 | 1.4 | 34.49 | 69.22 | 18.9 | 38.25 | 76.8 | 10.0 | 29.00 | 57.8 | 125.0 |
| Upper E Coast Basin | 40.23 | 78.0 | 10.0 | 32.31 | 62.0 | 83.3 | 48.57 | 93.84 | 2.8 | 41.65 | 80.47 | 8.3 | 48.85 | 94.4 | 2.6 | 35.17 | 67.9 | 33.3 |
| Fisheating Creek | 39.90 | 82.0 | 5.7 | 33.58 | 69.0 | 28.0 | 46.64 | 96.34 | 2.1 | 34.19 | 70.63 | 25.0 | 41.95 | 86.7 | 3.8 | 33.18 | 68.6 | 28.6 |
| Upper Kissimmee Lakes | 36.93 | 73.0 | 25.0 | 35.15 | 70.0 | 43.0 | 47.30 | 93.87 | 3.1 | 41.15 | 81.66 | 8.3 | 43.48 | 86.3 | 5.4 | 31.40 | 62.2 | 222.0 |
| Kissimmee River | 37.77 | 74.0 | 26.3 | 35.23 | 69.0 | 71.4 | 45.95 | 89.69 | 3.4 | 39.40 | 76.91 | 15.4 | 41.44 | 80.9 | 9.1 | 33.95 | 64.3 | 200.0 |
| E\&W Caloos. Basin | 41.47 | 82.0 | 6.3 | 33.44 | 66.0 | 55.5 | 53.83 | 106.34 | 1.6 | 35.75 | 70.62 | 25.0 | 45.43 | 89.7 | 3.3 | 34.74 | 68.7 | 34.0 |
| E Collier Basita | 44.25 | 82.0 | 6.5 | 37.33 | 69.0 | 43.5 | 57.73 | 107.40 | 1.7 | 40.43 | 75.22 | 17.5 | 60.19 | 119.9 | 1.2 | 32.67 | 61.0 | 250.0 |
| W Collier Basin | 56.72 | 106.0 | 1.6 | 31.21. | 58.0 | 125.0 | 50.20 | 93.53 | 2.7 | 46.66 | 86.94 | 4.2 | 57.25 | 106.7 | 1.6 | 42.50 | 81.1 | 6.3 |
| Estero | 45.54 | 84.0 | 5.0 | 39.91 | 74.0 | 18.2 | 60.49 | 111.45 | 1.3 | 51.04 | 94.05 | 2.5 | 59.43 | 109.5 | 1.4 | 45.95 | 84.6 | 5.0 |
| Tidal \& N. Coust Area | 43.27 | 82.0 | 5.9 | 36.64 | 70.0 | 27.8 | 56.94 | 108.50 | 1.4 | 41.34 | 78.77 | 8.3 | 48.72 | 92.8 | 2.6 | 39.72 | 75.7 | 11.8 |
| District Average | 43.59 | 81.0 |  | 35.38 | 66.0 |  | 55.53 | 103.70 |  | 39.47 | 73.68 |  | 49.54 | 92.5 |  | 37.96 | 70.9 |  |
| SECTION [3. WET SEASON ONLY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. Palm Beach County | 25.70 | 70.2 | 11.5 | 21.93 | 59.9 | 45.5 | 37.32 | 102.0 | 1.7 | 27.90 | 76.25 | 6.7 | 40.16 | 109.76 | 1.4 | 29.32 | 80.13 | 4.8 |
| E. Broward County | 28.57 | 74.5 | 6.9 | 23.57 | 61.4 | 35.7 | 44.82 | 11.6 .8 | 1.2 | 27.83 | 72.53 | 8.0 | 35.15 | 91.61 | 1.9 | 25.34 | 66.04 | 16.7 |
| Dade County | 30.98 | 77.1 | 10.0 | 25.30 | 63.0 | 100.0 | 45.43 | 113.07 | 1.1 | 29.19 | 72.65 | 17.5 | 38.62 | 96.12 | 1.9 | 31.80 | 79.14 | 7.1 |
| E.Everglades Ag Area | 35.93 | 96.5 | 2.3 | 25.32 | 68.0 | 26.0 | 33.32 | 89.45 | 3.3 | 29.76 | 79.89 | 6.7 | 33.15 | 88.99 | 3.4 | 25.96 | 69.69 | 21.3 |
| W.Everglades Ag Area | 37.10 | 110.6 | 1.6 | 24.60 | 73.4 | 9.1 | 39.55 | 117.95 | 1.4 | 26.77 | 79.84 | 5.9 | 30.11 | 89.80 | 3.3 | 19.54 | 58.28 | 40.0 |
| Upper E. Coast Basir | 26.58 | 81.8 | 5.9 | 19.61 | 60.4 | 57.0 | 36.56 | 112.53 | 1.4 | 29.75 | 91.57 | 3.0 | 36.86 | 113.45 | 1.3 | 23.47 | 72.23 | 13.3 |
| Fisheating Creek | 30.38 | 94.3 | 2.4 | 20.75 | 64.4 | 27.8 | 33.09 | 102.73 | 1.7 | 27.53 | 85.47 | 3.7 | 31.82 | 98.88 | 2.0 | 23.21 | 72.06 | 12.5 |
| Upper Kissimmee Lakes | 24.12 | 75.8 | 12.5 | 21.34 | 67.1 | 55.6 | 34,33 | 107.89 | 1.4 | 26.12 | 82.09 | 6.7 | 30.41 | 95.57 | 2.4 | 18.22 | 57.26 | 455.0 |
| Kissimmee River | 26.76 | 78.8 | 8.3 | 21.65 | 63.7 | 77.0 | 32.63 | 96.03 | 2.1 | 27.45 | 80.78 | 6.7 | 30.24 | 88.99 | 3.3 | 21.11 | 62.12 | 100.0 |
| E\&W Caloos. Basin | 33.91 | 98.1 | 2.0 | 20.29 | 58.7 | 62.5 | 37.26 | 107.78 | 1.5 | 28.54 | 82.56 | 3.8 | 35.50 | 102.69 | 1.7 | 23.39 | 67.66 | 18.5 |
| E. Collier Basin | 29.23 | 76.4 | 10.0 | 27.42 | 71.7 | 18.2 | 40.25 | 105.20 | 1.4 | 33.09 | 86.49 | 3.8 | 46.75 | 122.19 | 1.0 | 22.99 | 60.01 | 125.0 |
| W. Collier Basin | 39.78 | 103.3 | 1.8 | 21.26 | 55.2 | 105.0 | 37.91 | 98.44 | 2.1 | 39.91 | 103.64 | 1.8 | 44.92 | 116.65 | 1.2 | 32.49 | 84.37 | 4.5 |
| Estero | 34.23 | 87.8 | 3.3 | 31.52 | 80.9 | 5.7 | 44.81 | 114.99 | 1.3 | 41.21 | 105.75 | 1.6 | 47.60 | 122.16 | 1.2 | 32.24 | 52.73 | 6.3 |
| Tidal \& N Coast Area | 32.77 | 88.0 | 3.6 | 28.47 | 76.5 | 10.0 | 39.89 | 107.17 | 1.5 | 33.01 | 88.69 | 3.3 | 39.50 | 106.13 | 1.5 | 28.16 | 75.93 | 11.1 |
| District Average | 31.15 | 86.0 |  | 23.79 | 65.7 |  | 38.36 | 105.90 |  | 30.58 | 81.43 |  | 37.19 | 102.70 |  | 25.52 | 70.47 |  |
| SECTION C.DKY SEASON ONLY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. Palm Beach County | 9.34 | 54.5 | 8.3 | 10.69 | 62.3 | 5.6 | 8.97 | 52.24 | 9.5 | 4.78 | 27.84 | 125.0 | 17.39 | 100.76 | 1.8 | 10.98 | 63.77 | 5.1 |
| E. Broward County | 9.04 | 56.3 | 7.9 | 9.59 | 59.7 | 6.7 | 10.97 | 68.26 | 4.5 | 3.03 | 18.86 | 360.0 | 13.83 | 86.06 | 2.5 | 11.06 | 68.95 | 4.3 |
| Dade County | 8.79 | 70.7 | 4.0 | 8.18 | 65.8 | 4.9 | 10.12 | 80.55 | 2.7 | 2.43 | 19.53 | 500.0 | 7.73 | 62.14 | 9.1 | 12,02 | 96.70 | 1.9 |
| E. Everglades Ag Area | 8.42 | 65.8 | 4.0 | 10.87 | 85.0 | 22.0 | 8.74 | 68.33 | 3.7 | 4.05 | 31.67 | 62.5 | 6.83 | 53.40 | 7.4 | 7.98 | 62.31 | 5.0 |
| W. Everglades Ay Area | 6.49 | 57.3 | 4.7 | 7.52 | 66.4 | 3.3 | 8.55 | 75.46 | 2.7 | 2.50 | 22.07 | 90.9 | 3.83 | 33.80 | 20.0 | 7.08 | 60.72 | 4.0 |
| Upper E. Coast Busin | 10.38 | 69.8 | 3.3 | 10.25 | 68.9 | 3.5 | 6.49 | 43.62 | 15.4 | 5.96 | 40.05 | 22.2 | 9.01 | 60.55 | 5.0 | 8.45 | 56.79 | 6.1 |
| Fisheating Creek | 7.78 | 64.8 | 4.9 | 8.21 | 68.4 | 4.2 | 6.81 | 56.70 | 7.4 | 3.23 | 26.89 | 154.0 | 5.59 | 46.54 | 15.4 | 6.64 | 55.29 | 7.7 |
| Upper Kissimmee Lakes | 8.94 | 62.0 | 5.7 | 9.95 | 69.0 | 4,3 | 7.29 | 50.59 | 10.0 | 10.60 | 73.56 | 3.7 | 8.97 | 62.25 | 5.7 | 10.41 | 69.54 | 4.0 |
| Kissimmee River | 7.80 | 60.0 | 6.1 | 9.38 | 72.0 | 3.7 | 6.46 | 49.62 | 11.1 | 7.13 | 54.76 | 8.0 | 11.20 | 86.02 | 2.5 | 10.10 | 77.95 | 3.2 |
| E.\& W.Calous. Basin | 6.18 | 54.4 | 8.0 | 9.52 | 83.7 | 2.6 | 6.67 | 58.66 | 6.3 | 3.61 | 31.75 | 62.5 | 4.28 | 37.64 | 31.3 | 8.70 | 76.61 | 3.0 |
| E. Cullier Rasin | 11.29 | 108.1 | 1.5 | 6.37 | 61.0 | 4.3 | 8.56 | 81.99 | 2.3 | 2.81 | 26.92 | 90.9 | 5.99 | 57.38 | 5.3 | 8.22 | 78.83 | 2.4 |
| W. Cotlier Basin | 9.31 | 89.3 | 1.8 | 6.30 | 60.4 | 4.3 | 7.41 | 71.05 | 2.9 | 4.30 | 41.23 | 15.2 | 5.31 | 50.91 | 7.4 | 8.32 | 88.69 | 1.8 |
| Estero | 6.55 | 58.5 | 5.3 | 7.55 | 67.5 | 3.6 | 7.07 | 63.18 | 4.2 | 6.56 | 58.62 | 5.3 | 6.46 | 57.73 | 5.6 | 7.89 | 70.42 | 3.2 |
| Tidal \& N. Cunst Area | 13.95 | 123.1 | 1.4 | 7.09 | 62.6 | 4.3 | 7.76 | 68.49 | 3.4 | 5.56 | 49.07 | 9.1 | 4.40 | 38.83 | 23.3 | 7.49 | 66.11 | 3.8 |
| District Average |  | 8.88 | 69.5 |  | 8.68 | 67.9 |  | 8.00 | 62.60 |  | 4.75 | 37.17 |  | 7.91 | 61.90 |  | 8,96 | 70.42 |

From June 1 through October 31, 1980 (wet season) the Upper Kissimmee Lake Basin received only 18.22 inches of rainfall, which is $57.3 \%$ of normal. The next most severe drought areas were the Kissimmee River Basin and the East Collier Basin which received nearly $60 \%$ of normal rainfall (Table 5B). The drought was in the order of 20 to 40 years return interval for the eastern basin of the Everglades Agricul-tural Area, the third most severe area.The entire District received approximately $70 \%$ of normal.
d. For the dry season (Nov. 1, 1980 through April 30, 1981), the rainfall amounts in the District basins ranged from $55.3 \%$ of normal in the Fisheating Creek Basin to $96.7 \%$ of normal in Dade County (Table 5-C). The Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins received about $70 \%$ and $78 \%$ of normal, respectively, or approximately a one in four year drought.
2. Drought Period: June 1970-February 1972
a. East Palm Beach, Broward, and Dade Counties, of the Lower East Coast area, experienced the greatest drought severity with rainfall deficits of 21.5 , 36.5 , and 29.1 inches in these 21 months. The eastern basin of the Everglades Agricultural Area, plus a portion of the Lower West Coast, were the next in drought severity with about 15 inches of rainfall deficit (Table 4). The Kissimmee River and Upper Kissimmee Lakes Basin were in the order of $86 \%$ to $106 \%$ of normal (or 12.37 inches and 0 inch rainfall deficit).

For the wet season, (June 1, 1970 through October 31, 1970) the rainfall average ranged from $72.7 \%$ of normal in Dade County to $106 \%$ in the Estero Basin. The Kissimmee Basins received about $81 \%$ of normal. The overall District areas received about $84 \%$ of normal (Table 5-B).

For the dry season, the Lower East Coast received the least amount of rainfall with a return interval of more than 100 years frequency. The entire District area received approximately $37.17 \%$ of normal, or about half of the rainfall received during the dry season of 1980-81 and 1961-62. The drought of 1970-71 was mostly limited to the Lower East Coast and a portion of the Everglades Agricultural Area, and it
was primarily due to far below normal rainfall during the dry season, combined with a below normal rainfall in the preceding wet season. (Table 5-C).
3. Drought Period: June 1961 - February 1963

This drought period had very similar characteristics to the 1980-82 drought, but was slightly less in magnitude of rainfall deficit. The 21 months rainfall deficit was less than the 1980-82 drought in most District areas with the exception of the Lower East Coast. The rainfall amount from June 1961 through October 1961 ranged from $58 \%$ to $81 \%$ of normal. The Upper Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins received about $65 \%$ of normal, which is slightly more than the 1980-82 drought for the same period. For the dry season rain-fall, the 1961-62 drought was pretty much the same as the 1980-82 drought; however, the first 12 months rainfall deficit was worse than the $1980-82$ drought in several basins such as Lake Okeechobee, Dade County, and West Collier. The lake stage during this first 12 month period was lower than the first 12 months of 1980-82 (Figure 1). The recovery of the water level in Lake Okeechobee and the 21 month rainfall deficit indicated that this drought period was much shorter than the $1980-82$ drought.
4. Drought Periods of 1955-56, 1967-68, 197374, etc.

The stage hydrograph shown in Figure 1 indicates that the stage in Lake Okeechobee reached a value of 10.31 ft msi during the drought period of 1955-56. This is the third lowest stage ever recorded. A comparison of rainfall deficit shown in Tables 5A and 5B indicates that this drought period was less severe than the drought periods of 1961-62, 1970-71, and 1980-82. The far below normal rainfall during the wet seasons of 1955 and 1956, and the lower stage ( 13.45 ft msl ) at the beginning of the wet season (due to the lower regulation schedule) contributed to the lower lake stage during the 1955-56 drought period. The drought periods of 1967-68 and 1973-74 were caused by below normal rainfall during their dry seasons. The rainfall deficit, however, was much less for the 1967-68 and 1973-74 periods than for the 1961-62, 1970-71, and the 1980-82 periods.
E. Summary

Figures 4 through 11 are plots of accumulated rainfall from June 1980 through March


FIGURE 4. UPPER KISSIMMEE BASIN ACCUMULATED RAINFALL (inches)


FIGURE 5. KISSIMMEE RIVER BASIN ACCUMULATED RAINFALL (inches)


FIGURE 6. UPPER EAST COAST AREA - ACCUMULATED RAINFALL (inches)


FIGURE 7. FISHEATING CREEK \& C-41A BASIN ACCUMULATED RAINFALL (inches)


FIGURE 8. LAKE OKEECHOBEE - ACCUMULATED RAINFALL (inches)



J J A S O N D J J F M A M J J A S O FIGURE 10. W. COLLIER BASIN ACCUMULATED RAINFALL (inches)
 FIGURE 11. DADE COUNTY ACCUMULATED RAINFALL (inches)

1982 versus selected drought periods at various basins within the District. As a result of these comparisons, the 1980-82 drought was generally more severe in most of the basins than the droughts of 1961-62 and 1970-71, with the exception of the Lower East Coast area. The drought return intervals for the Upper Kissimmee Lakes and the Kissimmee River Basins during 1970-71 ranged from 18 to 15 years, while the 1980-82 drought for the same areas was well over one in 100 years. The 198082 drought for Fisheating Creek and Everglades Agricultural Areas was more severe than for the period 1970-71. As mentioned previously, the characteristics of the 1980-82 drought were quite different from the drought of 1970-71. The 1980-82 drought was triggered by a severe rainfall deficiency during the 1980 and 1981 wet seasons while the 1970-71 drought was primarily due to a lack of rainfall during the 1971 dry season compounded with a below normal rainfall in the wet seeason of 1970 . The rainfall amount from June through October 1970 ranged from $73 \%$ to $105 \%$ of normal as compared to $58 \%$ to $84 \%$ of normal in 1980 . For example, the rainfall amount in June through October 1980 for the Upper Kissimmee River Basin was $62 \%$ of normai. The western and eastern basins of the Everglades Agricultural Areas received 19.54 and 25.96 inches, representing a return interval of 20 to 40 years. These areas had drought severity higher than for the same period in 1970-71. The lack of normal rainfall during the wet seasons of 1980 and 1981 was the main reason why the lake stage dropped to its record low value of 9.75 ft .

## III. Hydrologic Data Analysis

In this part of the report, hydrologic parameters other than rainfall were analyzed. The analysis focused on the major water storage areas within the District. The results of this in-depth hydrologic data analysis provides substantial insight into the hydrologic variables which influence the levels in the lakes and other water storage areas which provide water supplies for agricultural and urban uses. The drought period used in this hydrologic analysis was the same as the period used in the rainfall analysis, i.e. June 1, 1980 through February 28, 1982.
A. Historical Inflows to Lake Okeechobee

As discussed previously, the rainfall generated runoff in the major tributary areas of Lake Okeechobee had a significant impact on the water budget of the lake. Therefore, as a first step in performing a hydrologic analysis of the lake, all inflows to Lake Okeechobee (from its tributaries )based on historical data, were anal-
yzed. The percentage of contribution to the lake was then computed (see Section D).

## B. Analysis of Surface Water Flow and Storage

The stage readings at the beginning of each month were analyzed for each major water storage area. The stage readings for Lake Okeechobee and the Water Conservation Areas are based on either the water budget reports prepared by the Army Corps of Engineers or the U.S.G.S. published data. In a few cases, the District daily stage readings were used when the stage in the Water Conservation Areas was so low that the indicator gauges no longer adequately reflected the actual water stages of the areas. Then some adjacent canal stages were averaged to represent the water stage of the areas. The water storage was computed based on the stage-storage curves developed for these water storage areas. The storage change was computed from the difference between the stage reading at the beginning and end of each month. This storage information was then used to evaluate the relationship between rainfall deficit and the lake storage change during a selected drought period.

Table 6 summarizes the surface water runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee during this selected drought period at each inflow point around the lake. There were several structures without flow for several months.

Table 7 summarizes the amount of water released and withdrawn from Lake Okeechobee during this period. Almost all the flow out of the lake during this period were water supply demand releases, rather than regulatory releases for flood control. Water is generally released from Lake Okeechobee to West Palm Beach, Hillsboro, North New River, and Miami Canals through the Hurricane Gate Structures (HGS) 5, 4, and 3. The water is delivered through these canals to the Water Conservation Areas and the Lower East Coast recharge areas. Most of the water is used to meet agricultural demands in the Everglades Agricultural Areas. These deliveries are frequent during drought periods. The increase of water deliveries through these structures and canals provides some indication of the water demands during a selected period; however, the water use restrictions imposed by the District during the 1980-81 drought period may not have reflected the actual crop demands.
C. Analysis of Evapotranspiration

Evapotranspiration (ET) is one of the major parameters in the hydrologic budget of the storage areas. ET, infiltration (seepage), and

## 'TABLE 6. INFLOW TO LAKE OKEECIIOBEE

June July Aug. Sept.

|  | June | duly | Allg | Sept. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishenting Creek \& Istokpoga Busin |  |  |  |  |
| S-84 | 0 | 353 | 0 | 120 |
| S-127 |  | 1,073 | 1,049 | 889 |
| S72 | 97 | 1,073 | 424 | 119 |
| S-129 | 974 | 1,224 | 1,567 | 2,698 |
| S. 71 | 232 | 7,506 | 3,769 | 3,348 |
| S-131 | 139 | 369 | 860 | 601 |
| Fisheating Cr | r. 26 | 1,894 | 3,869 | 10,607 |
| Total | 2,541 | 13,468 | 10,778 | 19,181 |
| Normal | 49,513 | 64,869 | 79,723 | 96,080 |
| \% of Normal | 5.1 | 20.8 | 13.8 | 19.9 |


| Kissimme River Basins |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S-154 | 0 | 941 | 396 | 1,281 |
| S-65E | 4,655 | 15,322 | 22,070 | 29,729 |
| S-133 | 480 | 2,993 | 2,287 | 3,775 |
| S-191 | 560 | 5,100 | 800 | 15,450 |
| Total | 5,695 | 24,356 | 25,553 | 50,235 |
| Normal | 73,192 | 129,330 | 158,724 | 170,133 |
| \% of Normal | 7.8 | 18.8 | 16.1 | 29.5 |


| Everglades | Agricultaral Areas |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C-10A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,319 |
| S4 | 904 | 2,148 | 2,771 | 3,995 |
| S-3HCS- 3 | 0 | 0 | 470 | 327 |
| S-2HGS-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,19 |
| IGS-5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HGS-2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ag. Pump | 3,325 | 9,465 | 7,886 | 22,383 |
| Total | 4,429 | 11,613 | 11,107 | 34,143 |
| Normal | 69,129 | 63,179 | 62,051 | 123,449 |
| \% of Normal | 6.4 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 27.7 |

Upper Eust Coast

| 3-153 | 0 | 298 | 520 | 3,681 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S-135 | 331 | 2,013 | 357 | 5,645 |
| Lacal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 331 | 2,311 | 877 | 9,326 |
| Normal | 9,048 | 8,705 | 9.290 | 12,230 |
| 象 of Normal | 3.7 | 26.5 | 9.4 | 76.3 |

Cubusuhatchee River

## FROM JUNE 1980 'IHROUGH FEBRUARY 1982 (AC.FT.)

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,202 | 1,805 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,688 | 0 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 771 | 1,352 | 829 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,919 | 4,488 | 8,527 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2,977 | 744 | 458 | 194 | 1,144 | 244 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 24,482 | 4,381 | 482 | 77 | 81 | 105 |
| 3,150 | 1,281 | 458 | 194 | 1,803 | 244 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 5,906 | 7,347 | 35,643 | 4,381 | 482 | 77 | 81 | 105 |
| 60,628 | 17,209 | 12,054 | 26,483 | 17,817 | 37,685 | 12,994 | 15,777 | 49,613 | 64,869 | 79,723 | 96,080 | 60,628 | 17,209 | 12,054 | 26,483 | 17,817 |
| 5.2 | 7.4 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 10.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 9,2 | 37.1 | 7.2 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 |


| 0 |  | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,640 | 2,210 | 170 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3,915 | 5,236 | 5,796 | 3,796 | 5,811 | 2,170 | 210 | 129 | 40 | 50 | 1,330 | 30,360 | 1,378 | 338 | 87 | 192 |
| 1,577 | 641 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2,270 | 4,120 | 4,560 | 1,614 | 3,140 | 1,000 | 0 | 387 | 179 | 1,472 | 45,230 | 51,060 | 2,580 | 1,240 | 930 | 1,060 |
| 1,550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7,762 | 10,089 | 10,356 | 5,410 | 9,002 | 3,170 | 210 | 516 | 219 | 1,522 | 48,200 | 83,630 | 4,128 | 1,578 | 1,017 | 1,2152 |
| 1,756 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121,768 | 40,087 | 25,793 | 76,914 | 83,420 | 99,508 | 65,367 | 63,526 | 73,192 | 129,330 | 158,724 | 170,133 | 121,768 | 40,087 | 25,773 | 76,914 |
| 83,420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.4 | 25.17 | 40.2 | 7,0 | 10.8 | 3.2 | 0,3 | 0,8 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 30,4 | 30.9 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3,9 | 1.6 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800 | 294 | 11,952 | 35,988 | 5,780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 565 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,179 | 522 | 57,089 | 50,148 | 0 | 17,899 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,232 | 0 | 0 | 108,014 | 83,778 | 0 | 33,898 | 0 | 164 | 8,724 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,979 | 0 | 0 | 6,170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,194 | 9,584 | 4,153 | 42,807 | 5,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 565 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,405 | 15,563 | 4,969 | 226,032 | 175,674 | 5,780 | 51.797 | 0 | 164 | 10,744 |
| 33,632 | 18,291 | 14,105 | 13,049 | 8,801 | 18,485 | 6,276 | 35,820 | 69,129 | 63,179 | 62,051 | 123,449 | 33,632 | 18,291 | 14,105 | 13,049 | 8,031 |
| 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 22.5 | 7.9 | 364.3 | 142.3 | 17.2 | 283.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 114,6 |
| 674 | 397 | 770 | 738 | 964 | 791 | 559 | 520 | 444 | 530 | 4,314 | 6,351 | 1,567 | 1,111 | 1,381 | 1,315 | 1,974 |
| 631 | 0 | 339 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 268 | 421 | 126 | 0 | 390 | 0 | 1,337 | 0 | 1,326 | 7,726 | 1,526 | 505 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 1,896 |
| 1,305 | 665 | 1,530 | 864 | 964 | 1,181 | 559 | 1,857 | 444 | 1,856 | 12,040 | 7,877 | 2,072 | 1,111 | 1,381 | 1,755 | 3,870 |
| 11,051 | 6,529 | 3,149 | 4,358 | 3,249 | 4,395 | 1,070 | 6,618 | 9,048 | 8,705 | 9,290 | 12,230 | 11,051 | 6,529 | 3,149 | 4,358 | 3,249 |
| 118 | 102 | 48.6 | 198 | 29.7 | 26.9 | 52.2 | 28.1 | 4.9 | 21.3 | 129.6 | 64.4 | 18.7 | 170 | 43.9 | 40.3 | 119.1 |


|  | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jun. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov: | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Everglades Agricultural Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-10A | 2,694 | 301 | 0 | 288 | 244 | 4,820 | 5,891 | 4,190 | 6,342 | 8,935 | 9,935 | 4,955 | 1,123 | 1,628 | 878 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ag. Pump | 11,672 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,066 | 3,954 | 10,070 | 13,721 | 10,807 | 18,732 | 31,252 | 17,435 | 0 | 12,424 | 0 | 0 | 21,054 | 4,851 | 17,740 | 0 | 0 |
| HGS-2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HGS-3 | 37,234 | 17,477 | 2,802 | 0 | 14,651 | 8,462 | 6,151 | 11,381 | 3,076 | 11,923 | 44,702 | 48,762 | 14,620 | 15,007 | 1,814 | 0 | 5,211 | 4,043 | 9,402 | 7,411 | 1,599 |
| HGS 4 | 27,790 | 1,920 | 0 | 0 | 4,323 | 4,715 | 1,544 | 11,773 | 6,484 | 18,948 | 21,668 | 45,865 | 19,058 | 23,543 | 1,145 | 0 | 8,387 | 3,510 | 16,196 | 6,304 | 2,941 |
| HGS-5 | 14,302 | 2,470 | 0 | 35 | 4,490 | 3,157 | 8,881 | 10,297 | 1,462 | 6,132 | 26,513 | 9,213 | 21,650 | 11,394 | 1,809 | 0 | 6,011 | 3,527 | 12,737 | 6,111 | 5,068 |
| Tutal | 93,692 | 22,168 | 2,802 | 323 | 38,774 | 25,108 | 32,537 | 52,082 | 28,171 | 64,710 | 184,070 | 126,230 | 56,451 | 63,991 | 5,449 | 0 | 40,663 | 15,931 | 56,075 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 32,163 | 15,228 | 16,845 | 5,207 | 30,706 | 46,007 | 43,863 | 45,255 | 43,659 | 81,610 | 126,728 | 72,593 | 32,163 | 15,228 | 16,845 | 5,207 | 30,706 | 46,007 | 48,863 | 45,255 | 43,659 |
| \% of Normal | 291.3 | 145.6 | 16.6 | 6.2 | 126.3 | 54.6 | 74.2 | 115.1 | 64.5 | 79.3 | 145.2 | 173.9 | 175.5 | 420.2 | 32.3 | 0.0 | 132.4 | 34.6 | 127.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Caloosahatchee River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 63,129 | 2,562 | 1,067 | 298 | 16,096 | 4,764 | 4,655 | 13,373 | 3,906 | 20,301 | 36,996 | 37,137 | 14,279 | 5,141 | 120 | 0 | 7,295 | 5,088 | 8,642 | 7,666 | 986 |
| Normul | 40,251 | 40,635 | 69,291 | 9,072 | 33,245 | 25,333 | 18,161 | 37,153 | 38,822 | 53,037 | 68,146 | 32,078 | 40.251 | 40,635 | 69,291 | 9,072 | 33,245 | 25,333 | 18,161 | 37,153 | 38.822 |
| \% of Normal | 156.8 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 48.4 | 18.8 | 25.6 | 36.0 | 10.1 | 38.3 | 54.3 | 115.8 | 35.5 | 12.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 20.1 | 47.6 | 20.6 | 2.5 |
| St. Lucie Canal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1ocal | 7,694 | 3,310 | 1,904 | 0 | 12,316 | 4,178 | 7,988 | 7,831 | 7,785 | 17,409 | 11,534 | 12,327 | 4,885 | 2,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,200 | 6,828 | 3,566 | 0 |
| S.80 | 4,455 | 9,648 | 7,097 | 20,839 | 2,848 | 1,071 | 1,107 | 5,653 | 12,760 | 8,821 | 5,217 | 1,107 | 714 | 738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 12,149 | 12,958 | 9,001 | 20,839 | 15,164 | 5,249 | 9,095 | 13,484 | 20,545 | 26,230 | 16,753 | 13,434 | 5,599 | 2,738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,200 | 6,828 | 3,566 | 0 |
| Normal | 24,271 | 47,319 | 57,946 | 11,079 | 25,533 | 23,766 | 2,811 | 14,193 | 16,989 | 29,358 | 33,954 | 7,265 | 24,271 | 47,319 | 57,946 | 11,079 | 25,533 | 23,766 | 2,811 | 14,193 | 16,989 |
| \%Normal | 50.0 | 27.4 | 15.5 | 188.1 | 59.4 | 22.1 | 323.6 | 95.0 | 120.9 | 89.3 | 49.3 | 184.9 | 23.1 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 242.9 | 25.1 | 0.0 |

TABLE 8. EVAPORATIONDATA
Period June 1980 Through February 1982 - Unit in Inches

| Station | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct | $\underline{\mathrm{Nov}}$ | Dec $_{2}$ | Jan. | Feb. | March | Apri] | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belle Glade | 7.19 | 7.16 | 6.43 | 4.91 | 5.07 | 3.57 | 3.30 | 3.63 | 4.24 | 6.14 | 6.72 | 8.09 | 7.06 | 7.52 | 5.40 | 5.42 | 5.21 | 4.05 | 4.00 | 3.58 | 0 |
| Lake Alfred | 8.79 | 7.80 | 7.94 | 6.67 | 5.97 | 3.77 | 3.02 | 5.43 | 6.26 | 8.35 | 9.67 | 10.54 | 9.34 | 8.94 | 7.56 | 6.54 | 6.02 | 4.30 | 3.28 | 3.84 | 4.54 |
| Lake Okeechobee | 6.83 | 4.81 | 4.65 | 4.28 | 4.58 | 2.83 | 2.53 | 2.98 | 3.17 | 4.97 | 6.75 | 7.93 | 6.67 | 6.81 | 3.67 | 4.64 | 5.09 | 3.69 | 2.97 | 2.99 | 3.31 |
| WCA-1 | 5,26 | 5.12 | 4.57 | 4.19 | 4.25 | 2.81 | 2.60 | 3.07 | 3.00 | 5.41 | 6.18 | 7.11 | 5.54 | 5.13 | 5.55 | 5.32 | 4.49 | 3.23 | 3.13 | 3.00 | 3.64 |
| WCA-2A | 5.26 | 5.12 | 4.57 | 4.19 | 2.81 | 4.25 | 2.60 | 3.07 | 3.00 | 5.41 | 6.18 | 6.61 | 5.54 | 5.13 | 5.55 | 5.32 | 4.49 | 3.23 | 3.13 | 3.00 | 3.64 |
| WCA-3A | 5.41 | 5.33 | 4.57 | 4.54 | 4.28 | 2.87 | 2,46 | 3.09 | 2.93 | 5.10 | 5.82 | 6.62 | 5.31 | 5.10 | 5.07 | 5.32 | 4.38 | 2.94 | 2.60 | 2.67 | 3.35 |

Average for Periud June 1981 Through May 1982 - Unit in Inches

| Belle Glade | 6.31 | 6.44 | 6.14 | 5.36 | 4.83 | 3.72 | 3.20 | 3.41 | 4.02 | 5.72 | 6.55 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lake Alfred | 7.20 | 7.43 | 7.00 | 6.28 | 5.39 | 3.91 | 3.15 | 3.32 | 4.06 | 6.17 | 7.32 |
| 8.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Oke日chobee | 5.93 | 5.94 | 5.30 | 4.60 | 4.57 | 3.45 | 2.73 | 2.82 | 3.27 | 4.99 | 6.26 |
| WCA | 4.62 | 4.93 | 4.71 | 3.83 | 3.78 | 2.95 | 2.59 | 2.51 | 2.94 | 4.67 | 5.79 |
| WCA-2A | 4.62 | 4.93 | 4.60 | 3.61 | 3.78 | 2.95 | 2.59 | 2.51 | 2.94 | 4.67 | 5.79 |
| WCA-3A | 4.70 | 5.07 | 4.70 | 3.87 | 3.76 | 3.08 | 2.62 | 2.54 | 2.89 | 4.61 | 5.77 |
| WCA-3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

the demand withdrawals increase with coincident decreases in rainfall.

Evaporation data is a good indicator in the estimation of agricultural water demands. The pan evaporation data at Belle Glade and Lake Alfred Experimental Stations were selected as index stations, along with the evaporation data from Lake Okeechobee and the three Water Conservation Areas (Table 8). The evaporation data from Lake Okeechobee was computed by the Army Corps of Engineers based on pan evaporation data at HGS-2 and HGS-6 and then reduced by the coefficient 0.865 recommended for large water bodies like Lake Okeechobee. Evaporation data for the Water Conservation Areas was based on pan evaporation data at S-7 and the Tamiami Canal at 40 Mile Bend. The average monthly evaporation data is also shown in Table 8. The average pan evaporation at Belle Glade Experimental Station was based on the period from January 1925 through December 1981; at Lake Alfred Experimental Station, on the period May 1965-December 1981; the Water Conservation Areas, the period January 1963-December 1981. Average evaporation for Lake Okeechobee was based on the average values for the period January 1963December 1981. These average values were considered "normal" for each area. The term "normal" was also used for flow data for these periods for base line comparison.
D. Results and Discussions

1. Historical Surface Inflow -Lake Okeechobee

The following table compares the percentage of surface inflow contributed by each of the major tributaries to the lake under historical conditions.

| Tributary | $\%$ Contribution |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kissimmee River | 44.84 |
| Everglades Agricultural Area** | $21.74^{*}$ |
| Fisheating Creek \& Istokpoga** | 22.89 |
| Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough | 6.82 |
| UEC (St. Lucie Canal) | 3.71 |

*This figure will be reduced as a result of present operational procedures in Lake Okeechobee.
**Istokpoga includes flow through S-127, S-129, S-131, S-71, S-73 and S-84.

The Kissimmee River Basins (upper and lower ) contribute approximately $45 \%$ of the total inflow. Thus, the availability of rainfall, land use, and water management practices in these basins have a great impact on the lake's water budget. The Everglades Agricultural Area is one of the major users of lake water, and, at the same time, is also one of the major contributors to the lake.

Since backpumping from the Everglades Agricultural Area has been suspended, over one-fifth of the water that usually flows to the lake will not be available. The diversion of agricultural runoff normally backpumped to the lake from the Everglades Agricultural Area to the Water Conservation Areas, mainly WCA-3A, has substantially reduced the amount of flow to the lake during the past few years.
2. Overall Water Storage Changes Since June 1,1980

The overall water storage change in the Upper Kissimmee Lakes, Lake Istokpoga, Lake Okeechobee, and the three Water Conservation Areas for the period June 1, 1980 February 28, 1982, is plotted in Figure 12. The water storage in Lake Okeechobee decreased since June 1, 1980, and the deficit increased rapidly between September 1 , 1980 and July 31, 1981, with a total loss of $2,232,000 \mathrm{AF}$ for the period June 1, 1980 July 31, 1981 . The storage recovered substantially during August 1981 due to Storm Dennis; however, the lake was still below the July 1980 level. Water storage inthe Water Conservation Areas increased during the wet season of 1980 , began to decline after September 1, 1980, and dropped below the June 1, 1980 level by November 1, 1980, when the storage was 807,000 AF less than on June 1, 1980. The storage in the Water Conservation Areas approached regulation schedule after Storm Dennis (August 16-18, 1981). The storage change in the Upper Kissimmee Lakes and Lake Istokpoga was much less in magnitude than Lake Okeechobee and the Water Conservation Areas; about $36,000 \mathrm{AF}$ less storage than the June 1980 level for the Upper Kissimmee Lakes, and a gain of 270 AF in Lake Istokpoga for the period June 1980 through July 1981.
3. Lpper Kissimmee Lakes

The storage change in the Upper Kissimmee Lakes is normally due to evaporation, seepage, and regulatory releases when the lake stages exceed their regulation schedules. The gain in lake storage depends on rainfall over the basin.

Figures 13 and 14 show the readings at the beginning of each month from January 1980 through December 1982 as compared to the historical maximum, minimum, and average at Lake Tohopekaliga and Lake Kissimmee. The stage was slightly above normal during the first three months of 1980, then fell below normal until December


FIGURE 12. SURFACE WATER STORAGE CHANGE JUNE, 1980 TO MARCH, 1982.

1981 in Lake Tohopekaliga, and was still about two feet below normal in Lake Kissimmee.

However, during the drought period of June 1980 through December 1981 the lake stages did not drop drastically as the rain-fall deficit increased. The total water in storage by August 1981 was above the June 1980 level even though the rainfall deficit was 21.84 inches from June 1980. Figure 15 shows a plot of accumulated rainfall deficit and total lake storage change during this period. The storage decreased slightly when the rainfall deficit became greater than 14 inches; however, the storage increased even with an increase in the rainfall deficit. This implies that these lakes were receiving a certain amount of groundwater recharge, through seepage from upland basins, which was sufficient to offset the evaporation. (Refer to Table 8 for evaporation data at Lake Alfred, and Table 4 for rainfall deficit).
4. Lake Okeechobee

As previously presented, the total rainfall deficit over most of the District's area during this drought period was more than 23 inches. The Everglades Agricultural Area experienced a deficit of 25 to 30 inches; a deficit of 30.5 inches was recorded in the Kissimmee River Valley, and a deficit of
27.5 inches was observed in the Fisheating Creek and the Lake Istokpoga areas. These rainfall deficits had an unquestionable effect on the runoff contributions to Lake Okeechobee.
a. Surface Water Inflow from Fisheating Creek and Lake Istokpoga Areas

This area normally contributes about $22.9 \%$ of total inflow to Lake Okeechobee which is about 491,000 AF per year. The normal runoff contribution from these basins to Lake Okeechobee from June 1980 through February 1982 should have been $915,000 \mathrm{AF}$ as compared to $107,230 \mathrm{AF}$ during this drought period, or $11.7 \%$ of normal. (The analysis of monthly flows is presented in Table 6.) The percent of normal ranged from 0 to 37.1 of the monthly normal. In particular, May and June 1981 were very dry.
b. Surface Water Inflow from Everglades Agricultural Area

The total runoff contribution from June 1980 through July 1981 was only $91,794 \mathrm{AF}$ as compared to $598,600 \mathrm{AF}$ under normal conditions, representing only $15.3 \%$ of normal. The monthly


FIGURE 13. STAGE HYDROGRAPH - LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA (1980-1981)
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figure 15. ACCumulated deficiencies - upper kissimmee lakes
comparisons with the historical normal are also shown in Table 6. The percent of the monthly normal ranged from 0 to 37.1, with several months close to zero flow during the dry season. Therefore, it is obvious that the reduction in backpumping from the EAA to Lake Okeechobee, and the lack of rainfall, had a great deal of impact on runoff contributions to Lake Okeechobee. Due to Tropical Storm Dennis (August 16-18, 1981), runoff from the EAA was backpumped into the lake; thus, the inflow from the EAA was substantially increased during late August and September 1981.
c. Surface Water Inflow from St. Lucie Canal Basin

The amount of inflow from this basin can be estimated from the flow through Port Mayaca lock. Since the lock was not activated until July 1978, the historical inflow to the lake from this basin was estimated by using a rainfall-runoff relationship based on the
mass balance of average basin rainfall, discharges at the Port Mayaca Lock and the St. Lucie Lock, and storage change in the St. Lucie canal. The monthly inflows to Lake Okeechobee during this drought period are tabulated in Table 6.

A total of $54,200 \mathrm{AF}$ was estimated through Port Mayaca lock representing $36.8 \%$ of normal.
d. Surface Water Inflow from Kissimmee River Basin

The Kissimmee River basin generally contributes about $45 \%$ of the total inflow to Lake Okeechobee. The total contribution during the period of June 1980-July 1981 ( 14 months) was about $154,000 \mathrm{AF}$, which is $11.8 \%$ of normal. The total contribution for the 21 month period, June 1980-February 1982, was about $295,700 \mathrm{AF}$, or $14.9 \%$ of normal. Almost the same amount of flow was contributed to the lake during the seven month period of August 1981-February 1982, than in the preceding 14 months. (See Table 6 for monthly values). The
lack of runoff from the Kissimmee was one of the major factors that caused the lake stage to drop to its record low on July 29, 1981.
e. Surface Water Outflows from Lake Okeechobee

Surface water outflows from Lake Okeechobee are essentially made to meet local demands, or for regulatory releases. Table 7 illustrates the total surface water releases to the Everglades Agricultural Areas and the St. Lacie Canal and Caloosahatchee River basins as compared to the historical norm, including regulatory releases. The total releases during this 21 month period were $909,227 \mathrm{AF}$ for EAA, $253,500 \mathrm{AF}$ for the Caloosahatchee River, and $196,832 \mathrm{AF}$ for St. Lucie Canal. Therefore, the total surface water release for this 21 month period was $1,359,559 \mathrm{AF}$ as compared to the total surface water inflow of $1,094,206 \mathrm{AF}$ for the same period. Evaporation is the major outflow from Lake Okeechobee.

Table 8 shows the monthly evaporation data for selected locations within the District area. As compared to the total rainfall of 55.4 inches, total evaporation lost for this 21 month period was 96.15 inches for Lake Okeechobee. This loss was $101.2 \%$ of normal. The table below summarizes the total inflow, outflow, rainfall, and evapora-tion for the period June 1980 through July 1981, and August 1981 through February 1982.

Comparison of Surface Water Inflows and Outflows in Lake Okeechobee

|  | June '80 | $\%$ of Aug. ' $81 \%$ of Normal Feb. '82Normal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Julv'81 |  |  |  |
|  | (AF) |  |  |  |
| S.W.Inflow | 332,471 | 12.7 | 761,735 | 58.1 |
| Rainfail | 1,405,000 | $68.3-$ | 655,000 | 82.7 |
| Total Inflow | 1,737,471 |  | 1,416,735 |  |
| S.W.Outflow | 1,198,050 | 78.8 | 161,509 | 26.3 |
| Evapotranspiration <br> (Inches) | $\begin{array}{r} 2,771,200 \\ 69.79 \end{array}$ | 102.2- | 944,000 | 98.4 |
| Total Outflow | 3,969,250 |  | 1,105,509 |  |
|  | 2,231,779 |  | -311,226 |  |
|  | Deficit |  | Increase |  |

Total water releases from Lake Okeechobee for the period June 1980
through July 1981 were more than three times the total inflow, and the total ET losses were almost twice as much as rainfall input to the lake, and more than twice the amount of surface water outflow from the lake. Therefore, it can be concluded that far below normat rainfall and surface inflow were the primary causes of the lake stage dropping to a record low of 9.75 ft msl on July 29, 1981. The increasing rainfall and inflow during the period from August 1981 through February 1982, combined with a reduction of outflows, were the main reasons that the lake stage started to recover.
f. The Water Conservation Areas

The Water Conservation Areas receive runoff from the Everglades Agricultural Areas and a portion of the C-11 basin in Broward County; therefore, a rainfall deficit in these areas will have an impact on the amount of inflow to the WCA's.

There is a close correlation between rainfall deficit and the reduction of water storage in the Water Conservation Areas for the period June 1980 through July 1981. Due to Tropical Storm Dennis, water storage in the WCA's recovered and reached the top of regulation schedule on August 20, 1981.

The following table summarizes the total rainfall, inflows, outflows, water releases, and E'T for the WCA's for the period June 1980 through July 1981 as compared to normal values.

Total
Coastal
Rainfall Inflow Outflow Releases ET
$\%$ Norm $\%$ Norm $\%$ Norm $\%$ Norm $\%$ Norm

| 1 | 70.0 | 35.4 | 55.8 | 208.8 | 110.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 A | 67.0 | 35.3 | 73.2 | 80.7 | 110.0 |
| 3A | 81.3 | 69.1 | 30.3 | 122.1 | 107.3 |

A drawdown in WCA-2A started November 1, 1980, causing a substantial amount of water to be released to WCA's 2 B and 3A. The ET rate increased from $7 \%$ to $10 \%$ above normal. The water releases for WCA-1 were also above normal. Seepage during this period was less than normal due to lower hydraulic heads; therefore, the reduction of water storage in the WCA's was due to the lack of normal rainfall, surface water inflows, and an increase
in ET in the areas. (Ref. Tables 9, 10, and 11 f 9 or quantitative comparisons.)
g. Hydrological Comparisons, of Droughts of 1970-71, 1973-74, 1980-81

Table 12 shows the comparisons of surface water inflows from tributaries to Lake Okeechobee during June through October and November through May for the droughts of 1970-71, 197374 and 1980-81. The surface water inflows during the 1980-81 drought were far less than during the droughts of 1970-71 and 1973-74. Inflow from the Kissim-mee River was slightly above normal during the period June 1970 through October 1970 as compared to $31 \%$ of normal for the same period in 1973 , and $16 \%$ of normal for the 1980-81 drought. The surface water inflows during the dry season of 1980-81 were also far less than for other drought periods except for the St. Lucie Canal basin.

Table 13 presents the comparisons of the surface water outflows from Lake Okeechobee during the periods JuneOctober and November-May. In general, the outflows from the lake during the 1980-81 drought were more than for the 1970-71 and 1973-74 drought periods, except for releases to the Everglades Agricultural Areas during the dry season. This was due to the water supply restrictions during the 1980-81 dry season. All these outflows were demand deliveries. The water demand increases as the rainfall decreases.

Tables 9,10 , and 11 show the comparisons of rainfall, surface water inflows, outflows and water releases in the three Water Conservation Areas for the wet (June through October) and dry (November through May) seasons.

Table 9 shows these comparisons for WCA-1. The wet season rainfall for the 1980 drought was less than other previous dry years, such as $1970,1971,1973$, and 1974. Dry season rainfall for $1980-$ 81 was more than for 1970-71, but less than for 1973-74. Inflows to WCA-1 during the 1980 and 1981 wet seasons were slightly more than during the 1970 wet season, but far below the 1973 inflows in the wet season. However, the inflows to WCA-1 during the dry season of 1980-81 were slightly higher than
those in the 1970-71 and 1973-74 dry seasons. Outflows from WCA-1 during the wet season of 1980-81 were about the same as in 1970, 1973, and 1974, but much greater than in 1971 which was only $0.1 \%$ of normal. Outflows during the dry season of $1980-81$ were slightly more than in 1970-71 and in 1973-74; however, water releases to meet local demands from WCA-1 during the 198081 drought were much greater than other previous droughts. Outflows from WCA- 1 included the outflows through the S-10 structures and water releases through S-5A(S), S-3 at L-40 (Lake Worth Drainage District), and S-39, etc. The local dry season demand in the east Palm Beach County area from WCA-1 was $174.9 \%$ of normal as shown in the last column of Table 9 .

Table 10 shows the comparisons of rainfall, inflow, outflow and water releases for WCA-2A. The wet season rainfall of the 1980-81 drought was less than other previous drought periods, in general. The dry season rainfall was slightly more than in 1970-71, but less than in 1973-74. Inflow to WCA-2A during the wet season of $1980-81$ was much greater than in 1971, but less than other periods. Inflow to WCA-2A during the dry season of $1980-81$ was less than other periods, which may have been due to the drawdown schedule at the time. Outflows from WCA-2A during the 1980 wet season and the 1980-81 dry season were more than in other periods, a contributing factor to the increase in outflows was the drawdown schedule in WCA-2A.

Table 11 shows the comparison of rainfall, inflow, and outflow in WCA3A. The wet season rainfall for 1980 was $20 \%$ less than in other drought periods; however, the dry season rainfall in 1980-81 was more than in 1970-71 and in 1973-74. Surface inflows to WCA-3A were near normal for the wet seasons of 1970 and 1980 , and $150 \%$ to $250 \%$ of normal for 1973 and 1974. The surface inflows to WCA-3A during the dry seasons of 1970-71, 1973-74, and 1980-81, however, were approximately 27,47 , and 50 percent of normal, respectively. Surface outflows during the wet season of 1971 were about $34 \%$ of normal as compared to $49 \%$ and $54 \%$ of normal for 1973 and 1980,

TABLE 9. COMPARISON OF RAINFALL, SURFACE WATER INFLOW AND OUTFLOW IN WCA-1

|  | Rainfall Inches | $\%$ of <br> Normal | Inflow $\mathrm{AF}$ | \% of <br> Normal | Total Outflow AF | $\%$ of <br> Normal | Water Releases to LEC AF | $\%$ of Normal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period: June - October |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 25.44 | 81.5 | 201,850 | 45.0 | 210,362 | 108.6 | 28,732 | 185.4 |
| 1971 | 30.51 | 97.7 | 163,967 | 36.6 | 200 | 0.1 | 200 | 1.3 |
| 1973 | 34.84 | 111.6 | 296,670 | 66.1 | 158,190 | 81.7 | 760 | 4.9 |
| 1974 | 31.00 | 99.3 | 411,480 | 91.7 | 263,900 | 136.3 | 2,340 | 15.1 |
| 1980 | 22.24 | 71.2 | 216,710 | 48.3 | 188,580 | 97.4 | 61,650 | 397.9 |
| 1981 | 29.17 | 93.4 | 174,398 | 38.9 | 165,235 | 85.3 | 22,420 | 144.7 |
| Period: November - May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1971 | 9.34 | 56.7 | 36,893 | 25.0 | 58,340 | 31.6 | 58,340 | 115.9 |
| 1973-1974 | 16.01 | 97.3 | 25,399 | 17.2 | 47,110 | 25.5 | 30,410 | 60.4 |
| 1980-1981 | 11.68 | 71.0 | 38,491 | 26.1 | 88,037 | 47.7 | 88,037 | 174.9 |

TABLE 10. COMPARISON OF RAINFALL, SURFACE WATER INFLOW AND OUTFLOW IN WCA-2A

|  | Rainfall Inches | \% of <br> Normal | Inflow $\mathrm{AF}$ | $\%$ of <br> Normal | Total Outflow AF | \% of <br> Normal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Water } \\ \text { Releases } \\ \text { to L.E.C.AF } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% of <br> Normal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period: June - October |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 30.78 | 98.3 | 224,450 | 84.7 | 267,037 | 138.6 | 74,710 | 305.1 |
| 1971 | 31.40 | 100.3 | 67,391 | 25.4 | 4,130 | 2.1 | 4,130 | 16.9 |
| 1973 | 29.65 | 94.7 | 254,650 | 96.1 | 116,500 | 59.7 | 4,320 | 17.6 |
| 1974 | 28.67 | 90.0 | 449,530 | 169.6 | 82,050 | 42.0 | 880 | 3.6 |
| 1980 | 22.79 | 72.8 | 192,910 | 72.8 | 280,280 | 143.5 | 28,680 | 117.1 |
| 1981 | 34.08 | 108.8 | 188,320 | 71.0 | 219,630 | 112.5 | 1,350 | 5.5 |
| Period: November - May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1971 | 8.40 | 54.0 | 37,275 | 19.5 | 52,490 | 24.7 | 50,170 | 170.9 |
| 1973-1974 | 12.33 | 79.2 | 48,232 | 25.2 | 131,860 | 61.9 | 43,680 | 148.8 |
| 1980-1981 | 10.35 | 66.5 | 21,513 | 11.3 | 100,933 | 47.4 | 29,090 | 99.1 |

TABLE 11. COMPARISON OF RAINFALL, SURFACE WATER INFLOW AND OUTFLOW IN WCA-3A

| Rainfall Inches | $\%$ of Normal | Inflow AF | $\%$ of Normal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outflow } \\ \mathrm{AF}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ of Normal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Water } \\ \text { Delivery } \\ \text { to ENP/AF } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period: June-October $\quad$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 197027.09 | 90.9 | 439,840 | 106.1 | 478,650 | 155.4 | 431,850 |
| 1971 28.73 | 96.5 | 381,160 | 92.0 | 106,090 | 34.4 | 104,090 |
| 1973 31.85 | 107.0 | 616,890 | 148.9 | 149,780 | 48.6 | 140,970 |
| 1974 | 95.8 | 1,058,900 | 255.5 | 324,210 | 105.3 | 324,210 |
| $1980 \quad 23.00$ | 77.2 | 399,332 | 96.4 | 165,240 | 53.6 | 165,240 |
| 1981 35.92 | 120.6 | 398,493 | 96.2 | 149,840 | 48.6 | 136,450 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1971 7.96 | 54.97 | 91,059 | 26.9 | 152,440 | 19.6 | 132,060 |
| 1973-1974 6.62 | 45.72 | 159,236 | 47.0 | 178,290 | 22.9 | 122,190 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}1980-1981 & 12.60\end{array}$ | 87.02 | 169,992 | 49.6 | 172,449 | 22.2 | 130,390 |

## TABLE 12. COMPARISON OF INFLOW TO LAKE OKEECHOBEE

| Fisheating Creek \& Istokpoga Basin AF \% Normal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Kissimmee River AF \% of Normal |  | Agricultural Areas AF $\%$ of Normal |  | Upper East Coast AF \% of Normal |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period: June - October |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 164,473 | 45.54 | 814,790 | 116.52 | 273,558 | 87.57 | 18,570 | 24.80 |
| 1971 | 355,503 | 90.3 | 371,279 | 56.93 | 607,398 | 189.18 | 43,594 | 58.22 |
| 1973 | 516,600 | 143.05 | 216,140 | 30.91 | 238,627 | 76.39 | 93,124 | 127.09 |
| 1974 | 730,339 | 205.4 | 1,507,819 | 231.20 | 385,643 | 120.11 | 96,193 | 128.45 |
| 1980 | 46,281 | 12.80 | 112,902 | 16.16 | 27,668 | 8.86 | 57,394 | 76.65 |
| 1981 | 70,996 | 20.0 | 170,380 | 29.27 | 376,955 | 117.41 | 47,343 | 63.23 |
| Period: November-May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970-1971 | 4,508 | 3.09 | 240,908 | 53.88 | 26,757 | 22.62 | 1,920 | 4.05 |
| 1973-1974 | 13,777 | 9.46 | 164,150 | 36.71 | 38,914 | 32.90 | 11,244 | 23.72 |
| 1980-1981 | 2,895 | 1.99 | 38,769 | 8.67 | 11,011 | 9.31 | 17,674 | 37.28 |

TABLE 13. COMPARISON OF OUTFLOW FROM LAKE OKEECHOBEE

respectively. The surface outflows during the dry season of 1970-71, 197374 , and $1980-81$ were about $20 \%$ of normal. Surface outflows from WCA-3A were, generally, either releases to meet Everglades National Park demands or releases to recharge wellfields close to
the Miami Canal. The system was not able to meet minimum park requirements during the wet seasons of 1971 , 1973, and 1981, and the dry seasons of 1970-71, 1973-74, and 1980-81. Thiswas due to severe water shortages in the area caused by severe rainfall deficits.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

The aforementioned findings can be summarized briefly, as follows:
A. The overall water loss during the period June 1, 1980 through July 31, 1981 from the major water storage areas within the District was $3,074,730$ AF. Lake Okeechobee alone lost about 2,232,000 AF , the Water Conservation Areas lost about 807,000 AF and the Upper Kissimmee Lakes lost about $36,000 \mathrm{AF}$. Lake Istokpoga gained 270 AF . The period from August 1981 through February 1982, although less than normal, was still a flling period for most of the water storage area with the exception of the Water Conservation Areas. Water storage increased substantially in the Water Conservation Areas after Tropical Storm Dennis (August 16-19, 1981). The conditions in the Lower East Coast area and the rest of the south Florida peninsula were drastically changed from drought to flooding conditions.
B. There were significant increases in evaporation in the Upper Kissimmee Basin as recorded at the Lake Alfred experimental station (approximately $121 \%$ of normal during June 1980 through July 1981). The overall evaporation for the 21 month period (June 1980 through Febru-ary 1982) was slightly above normal. The increasing rainfall deficit did not significantly decrease the storage in the Upper Kissimmee Basin lakes. This implied that the lakes were receiving a certain amount of groundwater recharge from the upland basins sufficient to compensate the increasing evaporation loss due to lack of rainfall in the basin. Lake Istokpoga had similar conditions in which the storage did not decrease further with increased rainfall deficit.
C. Total runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee from Fisheating Creek and Lake Istokpoga during the period of June 1980-February 1982 was $11.7 \%$ of normal, and $9.8 \%$ of normal for the June 1980-July 1981 period. The rainfall deficit for the same period was 27.5 inches for the Fisheating Creek and Lake Istokpoga basins, and 25 to 30 inches for the Everglades Agricultural Area. The total runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee during those periods from the Everglades Agricultural Area was $15.3 \%$ and $4.1 \%$ of normal, respectively. The Kissimmee River and Upper Kissimmee Lakes basins, which generally contribute approximately $45 \%$ of the total inflow to Lake Okeechobee, contributed only $14.9 \%$ of normal from June 1980 through February 1982, and was $11.8 \%$ of normal for the period June 1980 through July 1981. The total rainfall deficit was
21.8 inches for the Upper Kissimmee Lakes, and 30.5 inches for the Kissimmee River Basin. All this indicated that the far below normal rainfall in these major tributaries of Lake Okeechobee had a severe impact on the runoff contribution to the lake.
D. The overall runoff contribution to Lake Okeechobee during the June 1980-July 1981 drought period was approximately $332,500 \mathrm{AF}$, and the total outflow was approximately $1,198,000 \mathrm{AF}$ (ET not included) which was $360 \%$ of the total inflow. The ET rate from the lake during this period was 69.79 inches, or $102.2 \%$ of normal, and the rainfall was $37.80 \%$ of normal; therefore, the lack of normal rainfall in the lake and its tributaries caused the lake stage to drop to a record low of 9.75 ft msl on July 29, 1981.
E. Evaporation in the Everglades Agricultural Area was about $107 \%$ of normal for the period of June 1980 through July 1981. The increasing water delivery during this period indicated an increased water demand resulting from the rainfall deficit and the increasing ET.
F. The drought for the Lower East Coast and the Water Conservation Areas ended right after the passage of Tropical Storm Dennis which caused extensive flooding in the south Dade County area. The total surface inflow to the Water Conservation Areas was about $1,070,000$ AF during the drought period from June 1980 through July 1981, and the total water releases from the Water Conservation Areas to the Lower East Coast and the Everglades National Park was about $574,000 \mathrm{AF}$. Rainfall in the Water Conservation Areas was between $67 \%$ to $81 \%$ of normal, and ET ranged from $107.3 \%$ to $110 \%$ of normal. Water releases to the Lower East Coast were $208.8 \%, 80.7 \%$, and $122.1 \%$ of normal for WCA-1, 2A, and 3A; therefore, the water loss in the Water Conservation Areas during this 198081 drought was caused by a lack of normal rainfall and an increase in ET and water supply demands.
G. The hydrological comparisons of the 1970-71, 1973-74, and 1980-81 droughts indicated that the 1980-81 drought was more severe and extensive in the District, with the exception of the Lower East Coast area.

## APPENDIX A <br> ****** * * * * * * * * * <br> DROUGHT FREQUENCY

Since droughts are characterized by long duration, the analysis of meteorological drought in this study was based on the least amount of rainfall that occurred in a specific duration. These specific durations were June through October, June through May of the following year, and November through April. For a given period of available record, the lowest rainfall values for six consecutive months, within a water year, were selected for frequency analysis.

Regional rainfall was based on the major watersheds within the District. A total of 14 basins were selected. The rainfall stations located within or around each basin were used. The monthly rainfall data from these stations were checked and sorted for short term and missing records. Then the basin rainfall was computed based on the weighted Thiessen Coefficient of all available rain gauges. If there were more than one missing record, a new weighted Thiessen Coefficient was computed based on the available rain gauges. If there were only two stations available, then the basin rainfall was based on the average of these two stations. The length of record used in this analysis was approximately 50 years.

The Gumbel Extreme Theory and the Log Pearson Type III Distribution were originally chosen for the analysis of drought frequency. After several statistical tests and plots of data points (Weibull Plotting Position Formula) with values computed from the statistical distributions, it was concluded that the Gumbel Extreme Theory did not provide better results than the Log-Pearson Type III Distribution; therefore, Log-Pearson Type III Distrib-ution was considered suitable for this type of low rainfall analysis. The results of the drought frequency analysis for the 14 basins are shown in Figures A1 through A14.

A. Weibull Plotting Position Formula

$$
P_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{X})=\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{n}+1} \times 100
$$

where
$P_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{X})=$ probability of an event $X$ equal or greater
$\mathbf{M}=$ largest to the smallest values
$n=$ the number of years of record

## B. Log Pearson Tupe III Distribution

1. Transform the n annual events, $X_{i}$, to their logarithmic values $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$;
i.e. $Y_{i}=\log X_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots n$
2. Compute the mean logarithm, $\overline{\mathrm{Y}}$
3. Compute the standard deviation of the logarithms, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}$
4. Compute the coefficient of skewness, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$, where

$$
C_{s}=n \frac{\sum(Y-\bar{Y})^{3}}{(n-1)(n-2) S_{y}^{3}}
$$

5. Compute $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}$
where $K_{t}$ is the skew coefficient from LogPearson Type III Distribution Table obtained from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$
6. Compute $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}=\operatorname{antilog} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}$
***************************************************
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Fīgure A1 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR EASTERN PALM BEACH COUNTY



Figure A3 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR DADE COUNTY


Figure A4 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR E. EVERGLADES AGRICULTURAL AREA
RAINFALL-INCHES


Figure A5 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR W. EVERGLADES AGRICULTTURAL AREA


Figure A6 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE UPPER EAST COAST*
RAINFALL-INCHES

多 $\overrightarrow{8}$


Figure A7 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR FISHEATING CREEK BASIN


Figure A8 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE UPPER KISSIMMEE LAKES BASIN


Figure A9 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE KISSIMMEE RIVER BASIN


Figure A10 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR EAST AND WEST CALOOSAHATCHEE BASINS
RAINFALL-INCHES


Figure Al1 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE LOWER WEST COAST-EAST COLLIER BASIN


Figure A12 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE LOWER WEST COAST-WEST COLLIER BASIN


Figure A13 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE LOWER WEST COAST ESTEREO BASIN


Figure A14 DROUGHT FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE LOWER WEST COAST TIDAL CALOOSAHATCHEE \& NORTH COAST BASINS

Station MRFIOO MRF101 MRF102

MRF106
MRF107
MRF108
MRF109
MRF115
MRF12
MRF131
MRF138
MRF145
MRF206
MRF253
MRF254
MRF39
MRF4003（ARST3）
MRF4005（ARST5）
MRF4008（ARSII）
MRF4011（CARSI4）
MRF4013（ARSM1）
MRF4017（ARSM5）
MRF46
MRF47
MRF50

## MRF5007 <br> MRF54 <br> MRF56 <br> MRF57 <br> MRF60 <br> MRF6003（WB1641） MRF6005（WB7205） MRF6006（WB4797） MRF6007（WB0478 MRF6008（WB9707）

 MRF6009（WB4707）MRF6010（WR5973）
MRF6011（WB0390）
MRF6012（WR9401） MRF6013（WB0369）
MRF6014（WB2288
MRF6015（WB0228） MRF6016（WB7395） MRF6017（WB7397） MRF6018（WB8942） MRF6019（WB6251） MRF6020（WB3137） MRF6021（WB2936） MRF6022（WB5612） MRF6023（WB9214）

MRF6024（WB4332） MRF6025（WB3840） MRF6026（WB4620） MRF6027（WB4625） MRF6030（WB1869） MRF6031（WB4845） MRF6032（WB3207） MRF6033（WB6480） MRF6038（WB5895） MRF6044（WB4662） MRF6046（WB4866） MRF6047（WB6078） MRF6048（WB2850） MRF6053（WB8841） MRF6058（WB）1716 MRF6063（WB5658） MRF6066（WB3909） MRF6068（WB3171）

MRF6069（WB3163） MRF6070（WB2114 MRF6071（WB7254） MRF6073（WB4198． MRF6074（WB5182）

$\frac{\text { Station }}{\text { MRF6075（WB9625）}}$
MRF6077（WB4771） MRF6080（WB1310） MRF6082（WB8620） MRF6083（WB1649） URF6085（WB0887） MRF6093（WB3186） MRF61
MRF6107（WB7760）
MRF6118（WB2298）
MRF6119（WB0611）
MRF6121（WB5719）
MRF6126iWB4091
MRF6127（WB1305）
MRF62
MRF65
MRF6
MRF67
MRF68
MRF7034（WB6485）
MRF7035（WB7859）
MRF7037（WB7293）
MRF7039（WB1654）
MRF7040（WB0616）
MRF7043（WB6657）
MRF7045（WB2923）
MRF7052（WB5035）
MRF7054（WB8780）
MRF7055（WB6318）
MRF7057（WB5668）
MRF7065（WB5663）
MRF7067（WB6988）
MRF7072（WB0845）
MRF7079（WB6638）
MRF7088（WB8775）
MRF7093（WB3186）
MRF71
MRF7126（WB4091）
MRF72
MRF73
MRF76
MRF78
MRF79
MRF81
MRF84
MRF85
MRF86
MRF87
MRF88
MRF90
MRF92
MRF93
MRF95
MRF96
MRF98
MRF99
MRF7086（WB6323）
MRF9008（DC001）
MRF9018（DC018）
MRF9025（DC025）
MRF9095（DC095）
MRF90974DC097）
MRF9098\｛DC098）
CW001
MRF89

Perio
1939－1982 W Station Name 1939－1964 Aake Hi 1939－1967 Captiva
1935－1982 Stuart 1N
1943－1966 Clewiston
1851－1982 Fort Myers
1929－1973 Liberty Point
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 1949－1982 Royal Palm Ranger } & 14 & 58 & 37 \\ \text { 1956－1982 Devil＇s Garden } & 34 & 44 & 32\end{array}$
1924－1982 Belle Glade
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Experiment Sta．} & 05 & 44 & 37 \\ \text { Miles City Tower } & 19 & 49 & 30\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 1956－1969 Miles City Tower } & 19 & 49 & 30 \\ 1910-1982 \text { Homestead } & & \\ \text { E }\end{array}$

1940－1981 Tamiami Trail＠ 40 mile bend $\quad 16 \quad 54 \quad 35$
Canal 1
1901－1981 Miami WB City $\quad 19 \quad 5441$

| 1939－1982 Miami WB Airport | 30 | 53 | 41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1941-1981$ |  |  |  |
| 1948 | 10 | 52 | 39 |


| 1948－1981 Boca Raton | 19 | 47 | 43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1940-1982$ | Orlando WB Airport | 30 | 22 |


| 1941－1981 Fort Myers | 01 | 45 | 24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1929-1983$ | Miami Lock | 02 | 43 |
| 35 |  |  |  |

1940－1981 Homestead
ExperimentalSta
1940－1972 South Shor
1929－1983 South Bay
1956．1983 S－5A
1928－1983 Greenacres
1957－1982 Manatee Plantation at 6 mile bend
1940－1983 Lake Worth Road and E1
1940－1983 Boynton Read $x$ Military Trail
1928－1983 SR－804 nr．Turnpike
1957－1983 Shawano Pump 6
1957－1983 Sawyer Ranch
1940－1983 SR－804 \＆SR－？
1955－1983 Lake Worth Drainag
Distruct Office
1928－1983 SR－806，7．5 mi．west of Delray
1955－1982 SR－806 \＆SR－7
1960－1982 S－6
1967－1982 Big B Ranch
1962－1982 S 8
1973－1982 S－7
1942－1982 North New River Canal2
1960－1982 Wheeler Frye
1953－1982 Stonebraker
1975－1982 Kendall Lakes West
1969－1982 Ira Ebersole
1969－1982 Homestead Airport
1969－1982 Tamiami Airport
1944－1980 West Palm Beach Water Plant
1959－1982 Water Conservation Area 1.8
54
$21 \quad 43$
36
43
28
22
41
34
25
24
33
37
32
37
30
38
33
34
37
39
37
35
．
37
34



Figure B1 RAINFALL STATIONS

