

D. A. DORSEY
REAL ESTATE
1009 N.W. Second Ave.

WE WILL SELL YOU A LOT AND BUILD ON TERMS
YOUR BUSINESS SOLICITED
MIAMI, FLORIDA

Nov. 25, 1929.

Received of Griff & Stein ninety dollars (\$90.) for a twelve month lease on lots I7 & I8, block 46, City of Miami North. Said rental yielding and paying to the owner D.A.Dorsey forty dollars (\$40.) each month for the first six months beginning Dec.1st, 1929 and fifty dollars (\$50.) each month for the last six months beginning May 1st 1930 and payable on the first day of each month thereafter. This lease is to terminate on Nov.30, 1930. Failure on part of tenants to make payments as specified automatically cancels this lease. Tenants agree to return property to owner in decent condition at end of period.

D. A. Dorsey owner

Griff & Stein Tenants
by W. H. Griff

Subscribed to by us

W. H. Bailey

W. H. Rebecca S. Dorsey

(COPY)
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

In the application of
Dana Albert Dorsey
Power Wheel Units
Filed April 8, 1933
Serial No. 665,179 Div. 45, Room 7097

Hon. Commissioner of Patents,
Sir:

This amendment is presented pursuant and responsive to official letter of November 8, 1934.

Answering the first paragraph of the official letter, it is thought that the drawing shows and the specification sets forth clearly that the wheel 16 is integral with wheel 13.

Answering the second paragraph of the official letter, the bearing 10 is intended to and does function as a thrust bearing to prevent endwise movement of the seat 23 outwardly. It is not seen that there would be any possibility of the seat 23 moving outwardly with respect to the bearing 10, but perhaps the Examiner's statement and meaning are not fully understood, and if this does not explain the construction as desired, it is asked that the Examiner please make his request more specific.

Answering the fourth paragraph, the use of counterweights is old and is well known, and it is therefore asked that the request for specific showing of counterweight portions be waived. Obviously counterweights can be added at any points that may be advisable or necessary.

The several statements of the Examiner as to operativeness have been submitted to the applicant, and applicant responds as follows:

"First 21-22 Drivers made in circular curved manner, inserted into spring socket in small wheel 13 spring

Re: Power Wheel Units

-2-

sockets pointing angling forward 45 degrees, Driver 21-22 one end placed in spring socket pressed or pulled back, the other end circulatory inserted in large wheel 16 with the same spring arrangement as in small wheel. If properly adjusted the unit will move forward slowly, then repeat same process with Driver 22 reversably, the unit will go faster.

"Now as to the hooks or booster mechanism, a shiver sprocket on the main shaft center around is anchored a strong resilient wire spring, with resiliency enough 1 and $1\frac{1}{2}$ times around main shaft and attached to hooks about 65% from shives to the end of hooks, pull hooks with spring wire attached then hook on to Drivers in center of Drivers. When spring tries to recede or come back it brings the whole machine with it, unit will move forward continuously. The other hook in opposite direction attached the same. Extend around the shaft $2\frac{1}{2}$ times, 1st hook pulling up and 2nd hook pulling down on opposite side of Drivers, make powerful force and swift rotation, especially when pressure is put on by screwing up hand wheel. There must be a ratchet attached to the base or ball of each hook in order that when the spring wires on each hook are pressed back and the ratchet is clicked in the other side, the receding wire brings the whole thing back, thus we have Ever-Revolution with power".

It is thought this explanation will clear up the points upon which the Examiner has asked additional information.

Applicant states that he is, with the assistance of a mechanical engineer, now working upon the construction of a power wheel unit constructed according to the showing of this application; but, that through the fact that Miami, Florida is so far distant from foundres, machine shops and other sources of supply of necessary materials, he has been delayed in completion of the working device. Therefore, applicant request attorney to ask that the Examiner kindly extend the time for submission of a working model, if the

Re: Power Wheel Units

-3-

above additional explanation of the applicant does not clear up the points of doubt.

If the Examiner can grant an extension of the time for submission of the model this will be greatly appreciated; and otherwise it is suggested that the rejection upon the grounds of inoperativeness may be made final, under the authority of ex parte Davidson 1906 C.D. 81, which will in effect accomplish an extension of the time for submission of a working model.

Since the rejection of the claims is not upon references, but is upon the ground that the device is held to be inoperative, applicant's explanation, as quoted above, is submitted as a full response to this rejection.

Favorable action upon this presentation is asked.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney.

Washington, D. C.

May 2, 1935.